

EPRILP2020

Placer County Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP)

Prepared by Placer County Transportation Planning Agency 299 Nevada Street Auburn, CA 95603 530.823.4030 www.pctpa.net



CHERYL MAKI City of Auburn TRINITY BURRUSS City of Colfax PAUL JOINER City of Lincoln **BRIAN BAKER** Town of Loomis KEN BROADWAY City of Rocklin **JOHN ALLARD** City of Roseville JIM HOLMES KIRK UHLER Placer County IAIME WRIGHT Citizen Representative MIKE LUKEN **Executive Director**

December 12, 2019

Susan Bransen, Executive Director California Transportation Commission 1120 N Street, Mail Station 52 Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: PCTPA 2020 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP)

Dear Ms. Bransen:

The Board of Directors for the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA) adopted at the October 23, 2019 meeting the 2020 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) for submittal to the California Transportation Commission (CTC) as part of the development of the 2020 State Transportation Improvement Program. The 2020 RTIP is enclosed, including a copy of the Board of Directors resolution.

Individual STIP Project Programming Request forms are included as an attachment for the PCTPA 2020 RTIP projects. The following transportation projects and recommendations comprise our 2020 RTIP and ITIP submittal.

Regional Transportation Improvement Program

Planning, Programming, and Monitoring

The PCTPA requests the CTC program Regional Choice funds to PCTPA for planning, programming, and monitoring of the county's RTIP program as follows:

- FY 2020/21 \$145,000
- FY 2021/22 \$144,000
- FY 2022/23 \$144,000
- FY 2023/24 \$144,000
- FY 2024/25 \$146,000

Interregional Transportation Improvement Program

No ITIP funding requests are proposed.

RTIP / RTP Consistency

The attached 2020 RTIP was completed using the template developed by the Regional Transportation Planning Agencies (RTPA) group. The report provides an analysis of both

Susan Bransen, Executive Director PCTPA 2020 RTIP Page 2

quantitative and qualitative performance indicators and measures that indicate how the PCTPA 2020 RTIP is consistent with the goals established in the adopted 2040 RTP (http://pctpa.net/rtp2040/).

The projects are also consistent with the Sacramento Area of Governments (SACOG) adopted 2020 Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy. To the best of PCTPA's knowledge, at this time, the projects identified for funding in the proposed 2020 RTIP are not anticipated to be impacted by implementation of the Safer Affordable Fuel Efficient Vehicles Rule Part One – One National Program which became effective on November 26, 2019

Should you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at (530) 823-4030, or Luke McNeel-Caird of my staff at (530) 823-4033.

Sincerely

Michael W. Luken Executive Director

Enclosures:

- 1. PCTPA 2020 RTIP
- C: Amarjeet Benipal, District 3 Director, Caltrans Bruce De Terra, Division of Transportation Programming, Caltrans (2 copies) Attn: Rambabu Bavirisetty, Office of STIP, Caltrans Luke McNeel-Caird, PCTPA

PLACER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY (PCTPA)

2020 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (2020 RTIP)

Table of Contents

Page Number

Cover Letter

A. Overview and Schedule

Section 1. Executive Summary	.5
Section 2. General Information	.5
Section 3. Background of Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP)	.6
Section 4. Completion of Prior RTIP Projects	. 6
Section 5. RTIP Outreach and Participation	7

B. 2020 STIP Regional Funding Request

Section 6. 2020 STIP Regional Share and Request for Programming
Section 7. Overview of Other Funding Included With Delivery of RTIP Projects8
Section 8. Interregional Transportation Improvement Program (ITIP) Funding
Section 9. Multi-Modal Corridors - Projects Planned Within the Corridor

C. Relationship of RTIP to RTP/SCS/APS and Benefits of RTIP

Section 10. Regional Level Performance Evaluation9	
Section 11. Regional and Statewide Benefits of RTIP11	I

D. Performance and Effectiveness of RTIP

Section 12. Evaluation of the Cost Effectiveness of RTIP	11
Section 13. Project Specific Evaluation	11

E. Detailed Project Information

```
Section 14. Overview of Projects Programmed with RIP Funding......11
```

F. Appendices

Section 15. Project Programming Request (PPR) Forms Section 16. Board Resolution or Documentation of 2020 RTIP Approval This page is left blank.

A. Overview and Schedule

Section 1. Executive Summary

The Board of Directors for the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA) adopted at the October 23, 2019 meeting the 2020 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) for submittal to the California Transportation Commission (CTC) as part of the development of the 2020 State Transportation Improvement Program.

Section 2. General Information

-	Regional Agency Name Placer County Transportation Plan	ning Agency (PCTPA)
-	Agency website links for Regiona and Regional Transportation Pla	al Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) າ (RTP)
	Regional Agency Website Link:	http://www.pctpa.net
	RTIP document link:	http://www.pctpa.net/regional-planning/
	RTP link:	http://www.pctpa.net/rtp2040/

- Regional Agency Executive Director Contact Information

Name	Mike Luken
Title	Executive Director
Email	mluken@pctpa.net
Telephone	530-823-4030

- RTIP Manager Staff Contact Information

Name	Luke McNeel-Caird	Title	Deputy Executive Director
Address	299 Nevada Street		
City/State	Auburn, CA		
Zip Code	95603		
Email	Imcneel-caird@pctpa.net		
Telephone	530-823-4033	Fax	530-823-4033

- California Transportation Commission (CTC) Staff Contact Information

Name	Teresa Favila	Title	Associate Deputy Director
Address	1120 N Street		
City/State	Sacramento, CA		
Zip Code	95814		
Email	teresa.favila@catc.ca.gov		
Telephone	916-653-2064	Fax	916-653-2134

Section 3. Background of Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP)

A. What is the Regional Transportation Improvement Program?

The Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) is a program of highway, local road, transit and active transportation projects that a region plans to fund with State and Federal revenue programmed by the California Transportation Commission in the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). The RTIP is developed biennially by the regions and is due to the Commission by December 15 of every odd numbered year. The program of projects in the RTIP is a subset of projects in the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), a federally mandated master transportation plan which guides a region's transportation investments over a 20 to 25 year period. The RTIP is based on all reasonably anticipated funding, including federal, state and local sources. Updated every 4 to 5 years, the RTP is developed through an extensive public participation process in the region and reflects the unique mobility, sustainability, and air quality needs of each region.

B. Regional Agency's Historical and Current Approach to developing the RTIP

As part of developing the adopted 2040 RTP (December 2019), PCTPA developed both quantitative and qualitative performance measures. The RTP is directly linked to the PCTPA RTIP in that the projects identified as part of the RTIP are also included in the funding constrained RTP.

PCTPA has a history of successful collaboration with Caltrans and local agencies to deliver regional transportation projects, including the \$325 million Highway 65 Lincoln Bypass in 2012, the largest transportation project in Placer County history. The Highway 65 Lincoln Bypass was delivered using both RTIP and ITIP funding, as well as other funding sources.

Measure M was placed on the November 2016 ballot in Placer County for a countywide transportation sales tax measure. The ½ cent sales tax measure received 64 percent support, just shy of the 2/3 majority needed to pass. The sales tax was estimated to generate \$1.6 billion over 30 years to be used for transportation projects in Placer County, including the proposed Interstate 80/State Route 65 interchange reconfiguration. PCTPA is now looking at a placing a transportation sales tax measure on the 2020 ballot.

Section 4. Completion of Prior RTIP Projects

The last project completed in Placer County using STIP funding was Phase 1 of the Highway 65 Lincoln Bypass, which was completed in 2012. Significant STIP funding was advanced to complete the Lincoln Bypass that resulted in a project with significant benefits to the public but also resulted in a currently overdrawn balance of approximately \$25 million for Placer County's regional choice STIP funding. Without additional funding available, there were no projects completed in Placer County using STIP funding between adoption of the 2018 RTIP and the current 2020 RTIP.

Section 5. RTIP Outreach and Participation

A. <u>RTIP Development and Approval Schedule</u>

Action	Date
CTC adopts Fund Estimate and Guidelines	August 14, 2019
Caltrans identifies State Highway Needs	September 15, 2019
Caltrans submits draft ITIP	October 1, 2019
CTC ITIP Hearing, North	October 8, 2019
CTC ITIP Hearing, South	October 15, 2019
PCTPA adopts 2020 RTIP	October 23, 2019
Regions submit RTIP to CTC (postmark by)	December 15, 2019
Caltrans submits ITIP to CTC	December 15, 2019
CTC STIP Hearing, South	January 30, 2020
CTC STIP Hearing, North	February 6, 2020
CTC publishes staff recommendations	February 28, 2020
CTC Adopts 2020 STIP	March 25-26, 2020

B. Public Participation/Project Selection Process

PCTPA actively solicits the participation of the general public as part of its ongoing transportation planning work. PCTPA includes outreach to Native American tribal governments, specifically, the United Auburn Indian Community. The adopted Placer County 2040 Regional Transportation Plan (December 4, 2019) Appendix B contains Interagency and Public Involvement Process. The outreach efforts for the Placer County 2040 RTP was a multipronged approach. PCTPA coordinate with SACOG on interagency consultation and coordination to identify project lists and future land use forecasts used in the evaluation of their Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) scenarios. Residents, civic groups, and the private sector were engaged statistically valid phone polling, a pop-up workshop at the Sierra College Campus in Rocklin, and a series of three on-line surveys. The on-line surveys built upon the success of recent online outreach efforts and engaged over 2,300 residents. Past RTP updates that utilized in-person presentations and workshops had relatively low turnout for such a high investment in resources. The surveys provided a wealth of feedback for use far beyond the RTP. There were two key takeaways from the responders that were incorporated into the RTP. First, highways and congestion are a top priority. Throughout the surveys, Placer County's community made clear that traffic is a concern and the RTP's section on Regionally Significant Roadway Projects highlights how those concerns can be alleviated. This desire to alleviate congestion to improve quality of life has been a consistent theme through multiple RTP update processes. The second takeaway is that transportation funding is confusing. Particularly in the second survey, responders indicated that they didn't understand what the various taxes fund, reinforcing PCTPA's work to educate and inform the community on the complexities of transportation funding. The RTP's financial element breaks down these revenue sources, providing some clarity for the community.

Other examples of public outreach implemented by PCTPA include the collaboration between Caltrans and PCTPA on the Highway 65 Lincoln Bypass webpage, which provided Placer County residents, businesses, and visitors up to the date information on the \$325 million construction project along the west side of the City of Lincoln.

PCTPA completed the Project Approval and Environmental Document (PA&ED) phase for both the I-80/SR 65 Interchange Improvements, the I-80 Auxiliary Lanes, and the Highway 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure project in September 2016, October 2016, and December 2019, respectively. Extensive public outreach and engagement occurred including stakeholder meetings and community workshops. Public outreach is advertised through social media platforms, public meeting notices in the local newspaper, published articles in local and regional newspapers, posting on community websites, PCTPA's website, and the project's website. In addition, project updates are provided in between community workshops through project newsletters, newspaper articles, and a comprehensive project website.

C. Consultation with Caltrans District

Caltrans District: 3

Pursuant to the STIP Guidelines, Caltrans submits a list of projects to each RTPA for inclusion in their respective RTIPs for the 2020 STIP. Since PCTPA does not have programming capacity, Caltrans has no requests for the PCTPA 2020 RTIP.

B. 2020 STIP Regional Funding Request

Section 6. 2020 STIP Regional Share and Request for Programming

A. 2020 Regional Fund Share Per 2020 STIP Fund Estimate

Our target share in the 2020 STIP is \$0. Significant STIP funding was advanced to complete the Lincoln Bypass that resulted in a project with significant benefits to the public but also resulted in a currently overdrawn balance of approximately \$25 million for Placer County's regional choice STIP funding.

B. Summary of Requested Programming

No projects are proposed for programming as part of the 2020 STIP.

Section 7. Overview of Other Funding Included With Delivery of Regional Improvement Program (RIP) Projects

No projects are proposed for programming as part of the 2020 STIP.

Section 8. Interregional Transportation Improvement Program (ITIP) Funding

The purpose of the Interregional Transportation Improvement Program (ITIP) is to improve interregional mobility for people and goods in the State of California. As an interregional program, the ITIP is focused on increasing the throughput for highway and rail corridors of strategic

importance outside the urbanized areas of the state. A sound transportation network between and connecting urbanized areas ports and borders is vital to the state's economic vitality. The ITIP is prepared in accordance with Government Code Section 14526, Streets and Highways Code Section 164 and the STIP Guidelines. The ITIP is a five-year program managed by Caltrans and funded with 25% of new STIP revenues in each cycle. Developed in cooperation with regional transportation planning agencies to ensure an integrated transportation program, the ITIP promotes the goal of improving interregional mobility and connectivity across California.

PCTPA is not currently requesting ITIP funding for projects as part of the 2020 STIP.

Section 9. Projects Planned Within Multi-Modal Corridors

No projects are proposed for programming as part of the 2020 STIP.

C. Relationship of RTIP to RTP/SCS/APS and Benefits of RTIP

Section 10. Regional Level Performance Evaluation

In 2008, the California legislature adopted SB 375. SB 375 requires the California Air Resources Board (CARB) to set performance targets for passenger vehicle emissions in each of 18 Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) in the state for 2020 and 2035, requires an Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) to include a Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) that integrates the land use and transportation components, and amends CEQA to provide incentives for residential and residential mixed use projects that help to implement an MTP/SCS that meets the CARB targets.

CARB initially set reduction targets for the Sacramento region of 7 and 16 percent per capita GHG reduction by 2020 and 2035, respectively. In 2019, CARB increased the 2035 reduction target to 19 percent per capita GHG reduction. SACOG, which covers a six County region including Placer County, adopted the 2020 Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy on November 18, 2019, which incorporated the 2040 Placer County RTP adopted on December 4, 2019.

The 2040 Placer County RTP provides a starting place to work toward Placer County's responsibility to address regional GHG targets; and is in alignment with the principles of AB 32 and SB 375. The 2040 RTP contains many goals and policies to reduce vehicle trips and improve air quality. The goal areas containing the most explicit policies relating to GHGs are: Pedestrian, Bicycle, and Low Speed Vehicles (NEVs), Transportation Systems Management, and Integrated Land Use, Air Quality, & Transportation Planning. The Action Element also contains action plans that are intended to further the RTP's air quality-related goals and policies. The action plans include both short-term and long-term steps for each transportation mode. PCTPA works closely with SACOG to reduce GHG emissions through the MTP/SCS planning process.

A. <u>Regional Level Performance Indicators and Measures (per Appendix B of the STIP</u> <u>Guidelines).</u>

Performance measures below are based on available data from the 2040 Placer County RTP adopted in December 2019.

Table B1 Evaluation – Regional Level Performance Indicators and Measures						
Goal	Indicator/Measure	Current System Performance (Baseline Year 2016)	Projected System Performance (Year 2040)			
Congestion Reduction	Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) per capita.	27.55	25.30			
	Percent of congested VMT (at or below 35 mph)	1.17%	0.96%			
	Commute mode share (travel to work or school)	Automobile - 92.3% Transit - 0.3% Bike/Walk - 6.4% Other – 1.0%	Automobile – 91.4% Transit - 0.6% Bike/Walk – 7.1% Other – 0.9%			
Infrastructure Condition	Percent of distressed state highway lane-miles	N/A	N/A			
	Pavement Condition Index (local streets and roads)	68	N/A			
	Percent of highway bridges by deck area classified in Poor condition	23%	N/A			
	Percent of transit assets that have surpassed the FTA useful life period	48%	N/A			
System	Highway Buffer Index	N/A	N/A			
Reliability	Accessibility and on-time performance for rail and transit	N/A	N/A			
Safety	Fatalities and serious injuries per capita	0.55 per 1,000 capita	N/A			
	Fatalities and serious injuries per VMT	19.95 per 1,000 VMT	N/A			
Economic Vitality	Percent of housing and jobs within 0.5 miles of transit stops with frequent transit service	12% Housing 23% Jobs	14% Housing 22% Jobs			
	Mean commute travel time (to work or school)	18.7 minutes (Year 2008)	18.4 minutes			
	Farebox recovery ratio	18.6	N/A			
Environmental Sustainability	Change in acres of agricultural land	156,366 acres	146,193 acres			
	CO ₂ emissions reduction per capita	22.80 per 1,000 capita	20.85 per 1,000 capita 8.5% reduction from 2016			

Section 11. Regional and Statewide Benefits of RTIP

No projects are proposed for programming as part of the 2020 STIP.

D. Performance and Effectiveness of RTIP

Section 12. Evaluation of Cost Effectiveness of RTIP

With completion of the Lincoln Bypass in 2012, the current RTIP does not include any new projects due to the overdrawn balance of approximately \$25 million for Placer County's regional choice STIP funding.

Section 13. Project Specific Evaluation

PCTPA is not submitting any new RTIP projects for the 2020 STIP.

E. Detailed Project Information

Section 14. Overview of Projects Programmed with RIP Funding

PCTPA is not submitting any new projects for RIP funding in the 2020 STIP.

PCTPA is proposing to program \$723,000 of Planning, Programming, and Monitoring (PPM) for FY 2020/21 through FY 2024/25.

F. Appendices

Section 15. Projects Programming Request Forms

Section 16. Board Resolution or Documentation of 2020 RTIP Approval

<u>Appendix</u>

Section 15. Projects Programming Request Forms

STATE OF CALIFORNIA • DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION **PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST** DTR 0001 (Paying Mar. 1 2018 v7 08)

03 0319000227 0L11 PLA25679 County Route/Corridor PM Bk PM Ahd Project Sponsor/Lead Agency PLA Placer County Transportation Planning Ag Placer County Transportation Planning Ag PLA MPO Element Project Manager/Contact Phone E-mail Address Luke McNeel-Caird (530)823-4033 Imcneel-caird@pctpa.net oject Title anning, Programming and Monitoring programming and Monitoring monetoring programming and Monitoring mplementing Agency &&ED Placer County Transportation Planning Agency S&E Placer County Transportation Planning Agency S&E Placer County Transportation Planning Agency ght of Way Placer County Transportation Planning Agency opstruction Placer County Transportation Planning Agency	
03 0319000227 0L11 PLA25679 County Route/Corridor PM Bk PM Ahd Project Sponsor/Lead Agency PLA Placer County Transportation Planning Ag Placer County Transportation Planning Ag PLA MPO Eleme Project Manager/Contact Phone E-mail Address Luke McNeel-Caird (530)823-4033 Imcneel-caird@pctpa.net oject Title anning, Programming and Monitoring cation (Project Limits), Description (Scope of Work) anning, Programming and Monitoring SED Placer County Transportation Planning Agency s&E Placer County Transportation Planning Agency system Placer County Transportation Planning Agency	ency
County Route/Corridor PM Bk PM Ahd Project Sponsor/Lead Agency PLA Placer County Transportation Planning Ag Placer County Transportation Planning Ag PLA MPO Eleme MPO Eleme SACOG LA Project Manager/Contact Phone E-mail Address La Luke McNeel-Caird (530)823-4033 Imcneel-caird@pctpa.net Dject Title nning, Programming and Monitoring cation (Project Limits), Description (Scope of Work) mning, Programming and Monitoring mponent Implementing Agency SED Placer County Transportation Planning Agency &E Placer County Transportation Planning Agency Sency Sency ht of Way Placer County Transportation Planning Agency Placer County Transportation Planning Agency placer County Transportation Planning Agency Placer County Transportation Planning Agency Sency gislative Districts Placer County Transportation Planning Agency Sency Sency	
PLA Placer County Transportation Planning Ag MPO Eleme SACOG LA Project Manager/Contact Phone E-mail Address Luke McNeel-Caird (530)823-4033 Imcneel-caird@pctpa.net oject Title Imcneel-caird@pctpa.net imcneel-caird@pctpa.net oject Title Imcneel-caird@pctpa.net imcneel-caird@pctpa.net operation (Project Limits), Description (Scope of Work) Imning, Programming and Monitoring implementing Agency & ED Placer County Transportation Planning Agency implementing Agency implementing Agency & E Placer County Transportation Planning Agency implementing Agency implementing Agency int of Way Placer County Transportation Planning Agency implementing Agency implementing Agency int of Way Placer County Transportation Planning Agency implementing Agency implementing Agency int of Way Placer County Transportation Planning Agency implementing Agency implementing Agency istruction Placer County Transportation Planning Agency implementing Agency implementing Agency istruction Placer County Transportation Planning Agency implementing Agency	
MPO Element SACOG LA Project Manager/Contact Phone E-mail Address Luke McNeel-Caird (530)823-4033 Imcneel-caird@pctpa.net oject Title imning, Programming and Monitoring imcneel-caird@pctpa.net cation (Project Limits), Description (Scope of Work) imning, Programming and Monitoring implementing Agency &ED Placer County Transportation Planning Agency &E Placer County Transportation Planning Agency &E Placer County Transportation Planning Agency information Planning Agency information Planning Agency gift of Way Placer County Transportation Planning Agency information Planning Agency information Planning Agency gislative Districts Placer County Transportation Planning Agency information Planning Agency	
Import Implementing Agency Agency Placer County Transportation Planning Agency Base of Way Placer Co	
Project Manager/Contact Phone E-mail Address Luke McNeel-Caird (530)823-4033 Imcneel-caird@pctpa.net oject Title	
Luke McNeel-Caird (530)823-4033 Imcneel-caird@pctpa.net oject Title Imcneel-caird@pctpa.net anning, Programming and Monitoring Imcneel-caird@pctpa.net ocation (Project Limits), Description (Scope of Work) Imcneel-caird@pctpa.net anning, Programming and Monitoring Imcneel-caird@pctpa.net omponent Imcneel-caird@pctpa.net A&ED Placer County Transportation Planning Agency S&E Placer County Transportation Planning Agency ght of Way Placer County Transportation Planning Agency onstruction Placer County Transportation Planning Agency option Placer County Transportation Planning Agency	
oject Title anning, Programming and Monitoring ocation (Project Limits), Description (Scope of Work) anning, Programming and Monitoring omponent Implementing Agency &ED Placer County Transportation Planning Agency &&E Placer County Transportation Planning Agency S&E Placer County Transportation Planning Agency ght of Way Placer County Transportation Planning Agency onstruction Placer County Transportation Planning Agency option filtered based on the planning Agency Implementing Agency	
anning, Programming and Monitoring vaction (Project Limits), Description (Scope of Work) anning, Programming and Monitoring omponent Implementing Agency v&ED Placer County Transportation Planning Agency v&EE Placer County Transportation Planning Agency v&E Placer County Transportation Planning Agency value Placer County Transportation Planning Agency restruction Placer County Transportation Planning Agency protocol Placer County Transportation Planning Agency	
operation (Project Limits), Description (Scope of Work) anning, Programming and Monitoring opponent Implementing Agency A&ED Placer County Transportation Planning Agency S&E Placer County Transportation Planning Agency S&E Placer County Transportation Planning Agency S&E Placer County Transportation Planning Agency Share County Transportation Planning Agency Placer County Transportation Planning Agency Share County Transportation Planning Agency Share County Transportation Planning Agency Share Districts Share County Transportation Planning Agency	
A&ED Placer County Transportation Planning Agency S&E Placer County Transportation Planning Agency ght of Way Placer County Transportation Planning Agency Donstruction Placer County Transportation Planning Agency egislative Districts Placer County Transportation Planning Agency	
Implementing Agency A&ED Placer County Transportation Planning Agency S&E Placer County Transportation Planning Agency ght of Way Placer County Transportation Planning Agency Post Placer County Transportation Planning Agency ght of Way Placer County Transportation Planning Agency Post Placer County Transportation Planning Agency	
A&ED Placer County Transportation Planning Agency S&E Placer County Transportation Planning Agency ight of Way Placer County Transportation Planning Agency onstruction Placer County Transportation Planning Agency egislative Districts Placer County Transportation Planning Agency	
A&ED Placer County Transportation Planning Agency S&E Placer County Transportation Planning Agency ght of Way Placer County Transportation Planning Agency Ponstruction Placer County Transportation Planning Agency egislative Districts Placer County Transportation Planning Agency	
S&E Placer County Transportation Planning Agency ght of Way Placer County Transportation Planning Agency onstruction Placer County Transportation Planning Agency egislative Districts Placer County Transportation Planning Agency	
ght of Way Placer County Transportation Planning Agency ponstruction Placer County Transportation Planning Agency egislative Districts Placer County Transportation Planning Agency	
Description Placer County Transportation Planning Agency ogislative Districts Operation	
egislative Districts	
	1,4
urpose and Need or planning, programming, and monitoring of Placer County's RTIP program.	
Category Outputs/Outcomes Unit	Total
ADA Improvements N Bike/Ped Improvements N Reversible Lane and	
c. Sustainable Communities Strategy Goals N Reduces Greenhouse Gas Emissions	
c. Sustainable Communities Strategy Goals N Reduces Greenhouse Gas Emissions roject Milestone Existing	Propos
e. Sustainable Communities Strategy Goals N Reduces Greenhouse Gas Emissions roject Milestone Existing roject Study Report Approved	Propos
e. Sustainable Communities Strategy Goals N Reduces Greenhouse Gas Emissions oject Milestone Existing oject Study Report Approved agin Environmental (PA&ED) Phase	
e. Sustainable Communities Strategy Goals N Reduces Greenhouse Gas Emissions oject Milestone Existing oject Study Report Approved egin Environmental (PA&ED) Phase rculate Draft Environmental Document Document	
e. Sustainable Communities Strategy Goals N Reduces Greenhouse Gas Emissions roject Milestone Existing oject Study Report Approved egin Environmental (PA&ED) Phase rculate Draft Environmental Document Document raft Project Report	
Sustainable Communities Strategy Goals N Reduces Greenhouse Gas Emissions roject Milestone Existing oject Study Report Approved egin Environmental (PA&ED) Phase rculate Draft Environmental Document Document Type raft Project Report de Environmental Phase (PA&ED Milestone) egin Design (PS&E) Phase	
E. Sustainable Communities Strategy Goals N Reduces Greenhouse Gas Emissions roject Milestone Existing oject Study Report Approved egin Environmental (PA&ED) Phase rculate Draft Environmental Document Document raft Project Report definition of the environmental Phase (PA&ED Milestone) egin Design (PS&E) Phase nd Design Phase (Ready to List for Advertisement Milestone)	
c. Sustainable Communities Strategy Goals N Reduces Greenhouse Gas Emissions roject Milestone Existing roject Study Report Approved egin Environmental (PA&ED) Phase groulate Draft Environmental Document Document Type raft Project Report egin Design (PS&E) Phase nd Design Phase (Ready to List for Advertisement Milestone) egin Right of Way Phase	
c. Sustainable Communities Strategy Goals N Reduces Greenhouse Gas Emissions roject Milestone Existing roject Study Report Approved egin Environmental (PA&ED) Phase irculate Draft Environmental Document Document Type raft Project Report egin Design (PS&E) Phase ind Design Phase (Ready to List for Advertisement Milestone) egin Right of Way Phase egin Right of Way Phase (Right of Way Certification Milestone) egin Right of Way Phase (Right of Way Certification Milestone)	
e. Sustainable Communities Strategy Goals N Reduces Greenhouse Gas Emissions oject Milestone Existing oject Study Report Approved egin Environmental (PA&ED) Phase rculate Draft Environmental Document aft Project Report aft Project Report aft Project Report aft Phase (PA&ED Milestone) egin Design (PS&E) Phase ad Design Phase (Ready to List for Advertisement Milestone) egin Right of Way Phase ad Right of Way Phase (Right of Way Certification Milestone) egin Construction Phase (Contract Award Milestone)	
A. Sustainable Communities Strategy Goals N Reduces Greenhouse Gas Emissions oject Milestone Existing oject Study Report Approved gin Environmental (PA&ED) Phase rculate Draft Environmental Document Document Type aft Project Report aft Project Report rd Environmental Phase (PA&ED Milestone) egin Design (PS&E) Phase rd Design Phase (Ready to List for Advertisement Milestone) egin Right of Way Phase rd Right of Way Phase (Right of Way Certification Milestone) egin Right of Way Phase (Right of Way Certification Milestone)	

For individuals with sensory disabilities, this document is available in alternate formats. For information call (916) 654-6410 or TDD (916) 654-3880 or write Records and Forms Management, 1120 N Street, MS-89, Sacramento,

PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST

DTP-0001 (Revised Mar, 1 2018 v7.08)

Additional Information

Date: 10/02/19

STATE OF CALIFORNIA • DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION **PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST**

DTP-0001 (Revised Mar, 1 2018 v7.08)

DTP-0001 (Revised Mar, 1 2018 v7.08)						Date: 10/02/19
District	County	Route	EA	Project ID	PPNO	Alt. ID
03	PLA, ,	, ,		0319000227	0L11	
Project Title:	t Title: Planning, Programming and Monitoring					

		Exis	ting Total F	Project Cost	t (\$1,000s)					
Component	Prior	20-21	21-22	22-23	23-24	24-25	25-26+	Total	Implementing Agency	
E&P (PA&ED)									Placer County Transportation	
PS&E									Placer County Transportation	
R/W SUP (CT)									Placer County Transportation	
CON SUP (CT)									Placer County Transportation	
R/W									Placer County Transportation	
CON	2,345	170	170	170				2,855	Placer County Transportation	
TOTAL	2,345	170	170	170				2,855		
		Prop	osed Total	Project Cos	st (\$1,000s)				Notes	
E&P (PA&ED)										
PS&E										
R/W SUP (CT)										
CON SUP (CT)										
R/W										
CON	2,345	145	144	144	144	146		3,068		
TOTAL	2,345	145	144	144	144	146		3,068		

Fund No. 1:	RIP - State	Cash (ST-C	CASH)						Program Code
	Existing Funding (\$1,000s)							20.30.600.670	
Component	Prior	20-21	21-22	22-23	23-24	24-25	25-26+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)									Placer County Transportation Plan
PS&E									\$57 CON voted 07/16/98
R/W SUP (CT)									\$52 CON voted 08/02/99
CON SUP (CT)									\$28 CON voted 02/23/00 \$110 CON voted 07/01/01
R/W									\$53 CON voted 09/23/02
CON	2,345	170	170	170				2,855	\$40 CON voted 02/26/04
TOTAL	2,345	170	170	170				2,855	\$75 CON voted 03/03/05
			Proposed F	unding (\$1	,000s)				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)									
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W									
CON	2,345	145	144	144	144	146		3,068	
TOTAL	2,345	145	144	144	144	146		3,068	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA • DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST

DTP-0001 (Revised Mar, 1 2018 v7.08)

District County Route EA Project ID PPNO Alt. ID 03 PLA 0319000227 0L11

U3 PLA			0319000227	ULII	
SECTION 1 - All Projects					
Project Background					
For planning, programming, and monitor	ing of Placer Count	ty's RTIP pro	gram.		
	0		•		
Programming Change Requested					
The CTC has provided to PCTPA \$577,					
\$146,000 in programming capacity for F					
year period, with \$145,000 in FY 2020/2	1, \$144,000 in FY 2	2021/22 throu	ıgh FY 2023/24, a	nd \$146,000 in 2024/2	5.
Reason for Proposed Change					
Program the maximum amount of allowa	ible funds toward Pl	PM.			
If proposed change will delay one or	more components	s. clearly exp	lain 1) reason th	e delav. 2) cost incre	ase related
to the delay, and 3) how cost increase		,,,,		, _, _,	
No delays are anticipated.					
Other Significant Information					
None.					
SECTION 2 - For SB1 Projects	Only				

Project Amendment Request (Please follow the individual SB1 program guidelines for specific criteria)

SECTION 3 - All Projects

Approvals

I hereby certify that the above information is complete and accurate and all approvals have been obtained for the processing of this amendment request.*

Name (Print or Type)	Signature	Title	Date
Michael W. Luken		Executive Director	

Attachments

1) Concurrence from Implementing Agency and/or Regional Transportation Planning Agency

2) Project Location Map

<u>Appendix</u>

Section 16. Board Resolution of 2020 RTIP Approval

PLACER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY

IN THE MATTER OF: THE 2020 REGIONAL RESOLUTION NO. 19-28 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (RTIP)

The following resolution was duly passed by the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency at a regular meeting held October 23, 2019 by the following vote on roll call:

AYES: Allard, Broadway, Clark-Crets, Holmes, Joiner, Maki, Uhler, Wright

NOES: None

ABSENT: None

Signed and approved by me after its passage

Chair

Placer County Transportation Planning Agency

Executive Director

WHEREAS, pursuant to California Government Code, Title 7.91, Section 67910, the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA) was created as a local area planning agency to provide regional transportation planning for the area of Placer County, exclusive of the Lake Tahoe Basin; and

WHEREAS, California Government Code Section 29532.1c identifies PCTPA as the designated regional transportation planning agency for Placer County, exclusive of the Lake Tahoe Basin; and

WHEREAS, it is the intent and policy of PCTPA to improve and maximize the efficiency of transportation services in Placer County; and

WHEREAS, the PCTPA has reviewed funding policies and considered comment received from its member jurisdictions on their transportation needs and priority projects; and

PCTPA Board of Directors 2020 RTIP ADOPTION October 2019 Page 2

affect our overall approach, as we have long recognized our advance would take a long time to repay. Meanwhile, the residents, visitors, and businesses of Placer County are already enjoying the use of the Lincoln Bypass.

While PCTPA has an advance of funds, the CTC still allows us to program funds to support the Agency's requirements to plan, program, and monitor regional transportation projects. Staff therefore recommends the following as our 2020 RTIP submittal:

Planning, Programming, and Monitoring (PPM) - \$0.723 million RTIP

These funds cover the Agency's costs to plan, program, and monitor the projects contained in the RTIP and ITIP, to ensure that projects are within the scope and costs programmed, assuring that projects move as quickly as possible to construction, and that the timely use of funds requirements are met. The CTC is allowing us to program \$723,000 for FY 2020/21 through FY 2024/25.

Staff is recommending that the maximum amount of funds allowable be programmed in PPM as an offset of administrative funding from the Transportation Development Act (TDA)'s Local Transportation Fund (LTF). Staff is recommending the \$723,000 be spread over the five year RTIP period, with \$145,000 in FY 2020/21, \$144,000 in FY 2021/22 through FY 2023/24, and \$146,000 in FY 2024/25, a decrease from the \$165,000 programmed in the current fiscal year.

LM:MWL:ss