



A G E N D A

Wednesday, May 27, 2020
10:45 p.m.

Placer County Transportation Planning Agency
299 Nevada Street, Auburn, CA 95603

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCEDURES

In order to protect public health and the safety of our Placer County citizens, Public Comment for this April 22nd meeting will be offered through a remote call-in line or joining the web-based meeting. Public Comment will be opened for each agenda item in sequence. Be prepared to speak on the specific agenda item you wish to comment on when the Board Chair announces the item. Please see below for remote access to this meeting:

Remote access: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/81054427506>

You can also dial in using your phone: +1 669 900 9128
Webinar ID: 810 5442 7506

- A. Flag Salute**
- B. Roll Call**
- C. Approval of Minutes: May 22, 2019** **Action**
Pg. 1
- D. Agenda Review**
- E. Public Comment**
- F. Consent Calendar** **Action**
Pg. 3

These items are expected to be routine and non-controversial. They will be acted upon by the Board at one time without discussion. Any Board member, staff member, or interested citizen may request an item be removed from the consent calendar for discussion.

 - 1. **Authorization for Investment of SPRTA Funds with the Local Agency Investment Fund** Pg. 4

299 Nevada Street • Auburn, CA 95603 • (530) 823-4030

- | | | |
|-----------|---|-------------------------|
| G. | <u>10:45 A.M. PUBLIC HEARING:</u> South Placer Regional Transportation and Air Quality Mitigation Fee Program Inflationary Adjustment 2020 | Action
Pg. 6 |
| H. | Regional Transportation and Air Quality Mitigation Fee Allocation Request for Highway 65 Widening | Action
Pg. 21 |
| J. | Executive Director’s Report | Info |
| K. | Board Direction to Staff | |
| L. | Informational Items | |
| | 1. TAC Minutes | |
| | a. June 11, 2019 | Pg. 26 |
| | b. September 10, 2019 | Pg. 28 |
| | c. October 8, 2019 | Pg. 29 |
| | d. February 11, 2020 | Pg. 31 |
| | e. April 7, 2020 | Pg. 33 |
| | f. May 12, 2020 | Pg. 35 |
| | 2. Audition Financial Statement – June 30, 2019 | Under Separate
Cover |
| | 3. Financial Reports | Under Separate
Cover |
| | a. March 31, 2019 | |
| | b. June 30, 2019 | |
| | c. September 30, 2019 | |
| | d. December 31, 2019 | |



ACTION MINUTES

May 22, 2019

The regular meeting of the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority Board convened on Wednesday, May 22, 2019 at 3:00 p.m. at the Placer County Board of Supervisors, 175 Fulweiler Avenue, Auburn, California.

BOARD IN

ATTENDANCE: John Allard
Ken Broadway, Chair
Alyssa Silhi
Kirk Uhler

STAFF: Mike Luken
Luke McNeel-Caird
Solvi Sabol

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Upon motion by Allard and second by Uhler, the minutes of April 24, 2019 were unanimously approved.

CONSENT CALENDAR

It was moved, seconded (Uhler/Allard) and passed by unanimous vote that the following consent items be approved.

1. Letter of Task Agreement for Traffic Modeling Services for FY 2019/20 – Fehr and Peers Associates: \$15,000
2. Letter of Task Agreement for Legal Services for FY 2019/20 – Sloan Sakai Yeung & Wong LLP: \$2,500
3. Letter of Task Agreement for Fiscal Audit Services for FY 2019/20 – Richardson & Company LLP: \$6,100

PUBLIC HEARING: SOUTH PLACER REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AND AIR QUALITY MITIGATION FEE PROGRAM INFLATIONARY ADJUSTMENT 2019

Luke McNeel-Caird, Deputy Executive Director, provided a summary of the staff report. Chair Broadway opened the public hearing. No public comment was received. Chair Broadway closed the public hearing.

It was moved/seconded (Uhler/Allard) and passed by unanimous vote that the Board approve Resolution #19-02, adopting the inflationary adjustment for the South Placer Regional Transportation and Air Quality Mitigation Fee.

FY 2019/20 ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET

Luke McNeel-Caird, Deputy Executive Director, provided a summary of the staff report. It was moved/seconded (Allard/Uhler) and passed by unanimous vote that the Board

approve the FY 2019/20 budget for the administration of the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority.

NEVADA STATION OPERATING BUDGET

Mike Luken, Executive Director, provided a summary of the staff report. It was moved/seconded (Allard/Uhler) and passed by unanimous vote that the Board approve the Nevada Station Building budget for fiscal years 2019/20 and 2020/21 as provided and certify that this budget includes required bond payments.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Mike Luken, Executive Director, said that he will be providing an Executive Director's report at the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA) meeting which is immediately following this SPRTA meeting.

ADJOURN

The meeting adjourned at approximately 3:10 p.m.

Michael W. Luken, Executive Director

Ken Broadway, Chair



City of Lincoln • City of Rocklin • City of Roseville • Placer County

TO: SPRTA Board of Directors

DATE: May 27, 2020

FROM: Michael Luken, Executive Director

SUBJECT: CONSENT CALENDAR

Below is the Consent Calendar item for the May 27, 2020 agenda for your review and action.

1. Authorization for Investment of SPRTA Funds with the Local Agency Investment Fund

The Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) is a voluntary investment alternative for California's local governments and is administered by the California State Treasurer under Government Code 16429.1 et. seq. The LAIF program offers local agencies and special districts the opportunity to participate in a major portfolio using the investment expertise of the State Treasurer's Office at no additional cost to the taxpayer. The attached resolution authorizes investment of monies in LAIF and designates staff (Executive Director, Deputy Executive Director, and Fiscal/Administrative Officer) authorized to make transactions. Staff recommends approval.

2. Acceptance of Financial Audit

Accept the FY 2018/19 annual financial audit of the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority (audit under separate cover).

ML:ss

RESOLUTION NO. 20-02

**A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE
SOUTH PLACER REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
AUTHORIZING INVESTMENT OF MONIES IN
THE LOCAL AGENCY INVESTMENT FUND**

WHEREAS, The Local Agency Investment Fund is established in the State Treasury under Government Code section 16429.1 et. seq. for the deposit of money of a local agency for purposes of investment by the State Treasurer; and

WHEREAS, the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority (SPRTA) hereby finds that the deposit and withdrawal of money in the Local Agency Investment Fund in accordance with Government Code section 16429.1 et. seq. for the purpose of investment as provided therein is in the best interests of SPRTA;

WHEREAS, the Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement for SPRTA as Amended and Restated, dated October 1, 2003, specifies the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA) agrees and consents to provide staff and act as Treasurer for SPRTA, pursuant to Section 7. Administration and Section 21. Agreement of PCTPA to Provide Staff and Act as Treasurer;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that SPRTA hereby authorizes the deposit and withdrawal of SPRTA monies in the Local Agency Investment Fund in the State Treasury in accordance with Government Code section 16429.1 et. seq. for the purpose of investment as provided therein.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, as follows:

Section 1. The following PCTPA officers holding the title(s) specified hereinbelow or their successors in office are each hereby authorized to order the deposit or withdrawal of monies in the Local Agency Investment Fund and may execute and deliver any and all documents necessary or advisable in order to effectuate the purposes of this resolution and the transactions contemplated hereby:

<u>SPRTA Title</u>	<u>PCTPA Title (Administrative Agent)</u>	<u>Name</u>
<u>Executive Director</u>	<u>Executive Director</u>	<u>Michael W. Luken</u>
<u>Deputy Executive Director</u>	<u>Deputy Executive Director</u>	<u>Luke McNeel-Caird</u>
<u>Fiscal/Administrative Officer</u>	<u>Fiscal/Administrative Officer</u>	<u>Shirley LeBlanc</u>

Section 2. This resolution shall remain in full force and effect until rescinded by SPRTA by resolution and a copy of the resolution rescinding this resolution is filed with the State Treasurer's Office.

Passed and Adopted by the Board of the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority, this 27th day of May 2020, by the following vote on roll call:

AYES Board Members:

NOES Board Members:

ABSENT Board Members:

John Allard, Chair

ATTEST:

Mike Luken, Executive Director



SOUTH PLACER

**REGIONAL
TRANSPORTATION
AUTHORITY**

City of Lincoln • City of Rocklin • City of Roseville • Placer County

TO: SPRTA Board of Directors

DATE: May 27, 2020

FROM: Luke McNeel-Caird, Deputy Executive Director

**SUBJECT: 10:45 A.M. PUBLIC HEARING: SOUTH PLACER REGIONAL
TRANSPORTATION AND AIR QUALITY MITIGATION FEE PROGRAM
INFLATIONARY ADJUSTMENT 2020**

Action Requested

1. Conduct a public hearing to consider the inflationary adjustment to the South Placer Regional Transportation and Air Quality Mitigation Fee Program
2. Approve Resolution #20-02, adopting the inflationary adjustment for the South Placer Regional Transportation and Air Quality Mitigation Fee

Background

In April 2002, the SPRTA Board adopted the Regional Transportation and Air Quality Mitigation Fee, which assessed new development for its impacts on specified regional transportation facilities. These fees went into effect on July 1, 2002. SPRTA Joint Powers Agreement (JPA), amended October 2003, provides that an annual inflationary adjustment to the fees, based on the published Construction Cost Index, be brought to the Board for consideration.

Discussion

The Board adopted a comprehensive Fee Program update in October 2014, which reflects the latest project construction costs, and an inflationary adjustment each year from 2015 to 2019. The annual inflationary adjustment based on the Construction Cost Index ensures that funds collected are enough to build the projects.

In accordance with the provisions of the JPA and based on the most current Construction Cost Index figures shown in Attachment 1, the annual inflationary adjustment has been calculated to be 2.88336%. The resulting fee schedules are shown in Attachment 2.

Adoption of Fee Program Adjustment

The SPRTA TAC has reviewed the updated fee schedules and unanimously concurs with approval by the Board. In accordance with the Joint Powers Agreement, any changes to the Mitigation Fee must be adopted by unanimous vote of the four SPRTA members and is specified in Resolution #20-02. All legal requirements, including public notices, have been met. If adopted, the fees would go into effect on July 1, 2020.

The Tier II Development Fee Program will also be updated to reflect the same annual inflationary adjustments, which each jurisdiction directly implements.

Attachments

LM:ML:ss

Construction Cost Index Inflation

SPRTA Fees (Regional Mitigation Fee and Tier 2 Fee)

SPRTA	Date	20 Cities	San Fran.	Average	% Change	
	Apr-19	11228.07	12322.23	11775.15		
	Apr-20	11412.67	12816.67	12114.67	2.88%	<i>2019-2020 Summary</i> <u>2.88%</u>
						<u><i>2020 Summary</i></u> 1.64% <i>Percent change for 20 City Average</i> 4.01% <i>Percent change for San Francisco</i>

Notes:

CCI based on April 2020 Engineering News-Record

Table updated April 13, 2020

**2014 SPRTA Impact Fee Update With 2015 to 2020 Inflation Adjustment
Updated for ITE Trip Generation, 9th Edition
Detailed DUE Rates**

**Agenda Item G
Attachment 2**

ITE Code	Land Use Category	P.M. Peak Hour Trip Rate Per Unit ¹		Trip Length ²	% New Trips ²	VMT per Unit	DUE per Unit
Industrial							
110	Light Industrial	0.97	0.97/1,000 s.f.	5.1	92	4.55	0.910
120	Heavy Industrial	0.19	0.19/1,000 s.f.	5.1	92	0.89	0.178
130	Industrial Park	0.85	0.85/1,000 s.f.	5.1	92	3.99	0.798
140	Manufacturing	0.73	0.73/1,000 s.f.	5.1	92	3.43	0.685
150	Warehousing	0.32	0.32/1,000 s.f.	5.1	92	1.50	0.300
151	Mini-Warehousing	0.26	0.26/1,000 s.f.	3.1	92	0.74	0.148
Residential							
210	Single Family	1.00	1.00/DU	5	100	5.00	1.000
220	Apartment	0.62	0.62/DU	5	100	3.10	0.620
231	Attached Condominium/Townhome	0.78	0.78/DU	5	100	3.90	0.780
240	Mobile Home Park	0.59	0.59/DU	5	100	2.95	0.590
251	Senior Adult Housing - Detached	0.27	0.27/DU	5	100	1.35	0.270
252	Senior Adult Housing - Attached	0.23	0.23/DU	5	100	1.15	0.230
253	Congregate Care	0.17	0.17/DU	2.8	74	0.35	0.070
260	Recreational Home	0.26	0.26/DU	2.8	75	0.55	0.109
Lodging							
310	Hotel	0.60	0.60/Room	6.4	71	2.73	0.545
311	All Suites Hotel	0.40	0.40/Room	6.4	71	1.82	0.364
312	Business Hotel	0.62	0.62/Room	6.4	71	2.82	0.563
320	Motel	0.47	0.47/Room	6.4	59	1.77	0.355
Recreational							
411	City Park	0.16	0.16/Acre	6.4	90	0.92	0.184
430	Golf Course	2.92	2.92/Hole	7.1	90	18.66	3.732
444	Movie Theater	3.80	3.80/1,000 s.f.	2.3	85	7.43	1.486
492	Health/Fitness Club	3.53	3.53/1,000 s.f.	3	75	7.94	1.589
493	Athletic Club	5.96	5.96/1,000 s.f.	3	75	13.41	2.682
495	Recreational Community Center	2.74	2.74/1,000 s.f.	3	75	6.17	1.233
Institutional							
520	Elementary School	1.21	1.21/1,000 s.f.	4.3	80	4.16	0.832
536	Private School (K - 12)	1.70	1.70/1,000 s.f.	4.3	80	5.85	1.170
530	High School	0.97	0.97/1,000 s.f.	4.3	90	3.75	0.751
560	Church	0.55	0.55/1,000 s.f.	3.9	90	1.93	0.386
565	Day Care Center	12.34	12.34/1,000 s.f.	2	74	18.26	3.653
590	Library	7.30	7.30/1,000 s.f.	3.9	90	25.62	5.125
Medical							
610	Hospital	0.93	0.93/1,000 s.f.	6.4	77	4.58	0.917
620	Nursing Home	0.74	0.74/1,000 s.f.	2.8	75	1.55	0.311
630	Clinic	5.18	5.18/1,000 s.f.	4.8	92	22.87	4.575
Office							
710	Up to 50,000 s.f.	4.26	4.26/1,000 s.f.	5.1	92	19.99	3.998
	50,001 - 150,000 s.f.	1.90	1.90/1,000 s.f.	5.1	92	8.91	1.783
	150,001 - 300,000 s.f.	1.47	1.47/1,000 s.f.	5.1	92	6.90	1.379
	300,001 - 500,000 s.f.	1.32	1.32/1,000 s.f.	5.1	92	6.19	1.239
	500,000 - 800,000 s.f.	1.24	1.24/1,000 s.f.	5.1	92	5.82	1.164
	> 800,000 s.f.	1.22	1.22/1,000 s.f.	5.1	92	5.72	1.145
720	Medical - Dental Office Building	3.57	3.57/1,000 s.f.	5.1	77	14.02	2.804
Retail							
812	Building Materials & Lumber Yard	4.49	4.49/1,000 s.f.	1.7	36	2.75	0.550
826	Specialty Center	2.71	2.71/1,000 s.f.	3.6	78	7.61	1.522
815	Discount Store	4.98	4.98/1,000 s.f.	1.8	57	5.11	1.022
816	Hardware Store	4.84	4.84/1,000 s.f.	1.7	36	2.96	0.592
817	Nursery	6.94	6.94/1,000 s.f.	1.7	36	4.25	0.849
820	Shopping Center						
	< 200,000 s.f.	5.99	5.99/1,000 s.f.	1.8	59	6.36	1.272
	200,001-500,000 s.f.	3.96	3.96/1,000 s.f.	2.3	76	6.92	1.384
	500,000s.f.-1,000,000 s.f.	3.08	3.08/1,000 s.f.	3	78	7.21	1.441
	>1,000,000 s.f.	2.72	2.72/1,000 s.f.	3.6	78	7.64	1.528
931	Quality Restaurant	7.49	7.49/1,000 s.f.	2.5	79	14.79	2.959
932	High Turnover Restaurant	9.85	9.85/1,000 s.f.	1.9	76	14.22	2.845
933	Fast Food w/o Drive-In	26.15	26.15/1,000 s.f.	1.7	49	21.78	4.357
934	Fast Food Drive-In	32.65	32.65/1,000 s.f.	1.7	49	27.20	5.439
941	Quick Lube Vehicle Shop	5.19	5.19/Srv. Pos.	2.2	83	9.48	1.895
942	Automobile Care Center	3.11	3.11/1,000 s.f.	2.2	83	5.68	1.136
841	New Car Sales	2.62	2.62/1,000 s.f.	2.4	76	4.78	0.956
843	Automobile Parts Sales	5.98	5.98/1,000 s.f.	3.6	78	16.79	3.358
944	Gasoline/Service Station	13.87	13.87/Fueling Pos.	1.9	20	5.27	1.054
945	Gas/Serv. Stn. W/Conv. Market	13.51	13.51/Fueling Pos.	1.9	20	5.13	1.027
946	Gas/Serv. Stn. W/Conv. Mkt./Wash	13.86	13.86/Fueling Pos.	1.9	20	5.27	1.053
848	Tire Store	4.15	4.15/1,000 s.f.	2.2	80	7.30	1.461
850	Supermarket	9.48	9.48/1,000 s.f.	1.7	48	7.74	1.547
851	Convenience Market 24-hour	52.41	52.41/1,000 s.f.	1.5	22	17.30	3.459
852	Convenience Market < 24-hour	34.57	34.57/1,000 s.f.	1.5	22	11.41	2.282
853	Convenience Market w/Gas Pumps	50.92	50.92/1,000 s.f.	1.5	22	16.80	3.361
857	Discount Club	4.18	4.18/1,000 s.f.	2.3	79	7.60	1.519
862	Home Improvement Superstore	2.33	2.33/1,000 s.f.	1.8	52	2.18	0.436
863	Electronics Superstore	4.50	4.50/1,000 s.f.	1.8	60	4.86	0.972
864	Toy/Childrens Superstore	4.99	4.99/1,000 s.f.	1.8	59	5.30	1.060
880	Drugstore W/O Drive-Thru	8.40	8.40/1,000 s.f.	1.8	47	7.11	1.421
881	Drugstore W/Drive-Thru	9.91	9.91/1,000 s.f.	1.8	51	9.10	1.819
890	Furniture Store	0.45	0.45/1,000 s.f.	3.6	78	1.26	0.253
911	Walk-In Bank	12.13	12.13/1,000 s.f.	1.6	77	14.94	2.989
912	Drive-In Bank	24.30	24.30/1,000 s.f.	1.6	57	22.16	4.432

1. Source: ITE Trip Generation, 9th Edition.

2. Source: ITE Journal, May 1992

SPRTA Impact Fees

Jurisdiction: Placer County
 District: Dry Creek
 Cost per DUE: \$589

2015 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0246904
 2016 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0323580
 2017 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0245216
 2018 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0273511
 2019 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0245234
 2020 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0288336

Cost per DUE With Inflation = \$691

ITE Code	Land Use Category	Unit	DUE per Unit	Fee per Unit
Industrial				
110	Light Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.910	\$629
120	Heavy Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.178	\$123
130	Industrial Park	1,000 s.f.	0.798	\$552
140	Manufacturing	1,000 s.f.	0.685	\$474
150	Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.300	\$207
151	Mini-Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.148	\$102
Residential				
210	Single Family	DU	1.000	\$691
220	Apartment	DU	0.620	\$429
231	Attached Condominium/Townhome	DU	0.780	\$539
240	Mobile Home Park	DU	0.590	\$408
251	Senior Adult Housing - Detached	DU	0.270	\$187
252	Senior Adult Housing - Attached	DU	0.230	\$159
253	Congregate Care	DU	0.070	\$48
260	Recreational Home	DU	0.109	\$75
Lodging				
310	Hotel	Room	0.545	\$377
311	All Suites Hotel	Room	0.364	\$252
312	Business Hotel	Room	0.563	\$389
320	Motel	Room	0.355	\$245
Recreational				
411	City Park	Acre	0.184	\$127
430	Golf Course	Hole	3.732	\$2,580
444	Movie Theater	1,000 s.f.	1.486	\$1,027
492	Health/Fitness Club	1,000 s.f.	1.589	\$1,098
493	Athletic Club	1,000 s.f.	2.682	\$1,854
495	Recreational Community Center	1,000 s.f.	1.233	\$852
Institutional				
520	Elementary School	1,000 s.f.	0.832	\$575
536	Private School (K - 12)	1,000 s.f.	1.170	\$809
530	High School	1,000 s.f.	0.751	\$519
560	Church	1,000 s.f.	0.386	\$267
565	Day Care Center	1,000 s.f.	3.653	\$2,525
590	Library	1,000 s.f.	5.125	\$3,543
Medical				
610	Hospital	1,000 s.f.	0.917	\$634
620	Nursing Home	1,000 s.f.	0.311	\$215
630	Clinic	1,000 s.f.	4.575	\$3,163
Office				
710	Up to 50,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	3.998	\$2,764
	50,001-150,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.783	\$1,233
	150,001-300,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.379	\$953
	300,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.239	\$856
	500,001-800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.164	\$805
	> 800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.145	\$791
720	Medical - Dental Office Building	1,000 s.f.	2.804	\$1,938
Retail				
812	Lumber Yard	1,000 s.f.	0.550	\$380
814	Specialty Center	1,000 s.f.	1.522	\$1,052
815	Discount Store	1,000 s.f.	1.022	\$706
816	Hardware Store	1,000 s.f.	0.592	\$409
817	Nursery	1,000 s.f.	0.849	\$587
820	Shopping Center			
	< 200,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.272	\$879
	200,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.384	\$957
	500,000s.f.-1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.441	\$996
	>1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.528	\$1,056
931	Quality Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.959	\$2,045
932	High Turnover Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.845	\$1,967
933	Fast Food w/o Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	4.357	\$3,012
934	Fast Food Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	5.439	\$3,760
941	Quick Lube Vehicle Shop	Service Pos.	1.895	\$1,310
942	Automobile Care Center	1,000 s.f.	1.136	\$785
841	New Car Sales	1,000 s.f.	0.956	\$661
843	Automobile Parts Sales	1,000 s.f.	3.358	\$2,321
944	Gas Station	Fueling Position	1.054	\$729
945	Gas Station w/Convenience Market	Fueling Position	1.027	\$710
946	Gas/Serv. Stn. W/Conv. Mkt./Wash	Fueling Position	1.053	\$728
848	Tire Store	1,000 s.f.	1.461	\$1,010
850	Supermarket	1,000 s.f.	1.547	\$1,069
851	Convenience Market 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	3.459	\$2,391
852	Convenience Market < 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	2.282	\$1,577
853	Convenience Market w/Gas Pumps	1,000 s.f.	3.361	\$2,323
861	Discount Club	1,000 s.f.	1.519	\$1,050
862	Home Improvement Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.436	\$301
863	Electronics Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.972	\$672
864	Toy/Childrens Superstore	1,000 s.f.	1.060	\$733
880	Drugstore W/O Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.421	\$982
881	Drugstore W/Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.819	\$1,257
890	Furniture Store	1,000 s.f.	0.253	\$175
911	Walk-In Bank	1,000 s.f.	2.989	\$2,066
912	Drive-In Bank	1,000 s.f.	4.432	\$3,064

SPRTA Impact Fees

Jurisdiction: Placer County
 District: Granite Bay
 Cost per DUE: \$587

2015 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0246904
 2016 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0323580
 2017 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0245216
 2018 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0273511
 2019 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0245234
 2020 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0288336

Cost per DUE With Inflation = \$689

ITE Code	Land Use Category	Unit	DUE per Unit	Fee per Unit
Industrial				
110	Light Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.910	\$627
120	Heavy Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.178	\$123
130	Industrial Park	1,000 s.f.	0.798	\$550
140	Manufacturing	1,000 s.f.	0.685	\$472
150	Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.300	\$207
151	Mini-Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.148	\$102
Residential				
210	Single Family	DU	1.000	\$689
220	Apartment	DU	0.620	\$427
231	Attached Condominium/Townhome	DU	0.780	\$537
240	Mobile Home Park	DU	0.590	\$406
251	Senior Adult Housing - Detached	DU	0.270	\$186
252	Senior Adult Housing - Attached	DU	0.230	\$158
253	Congregate Care	DU	0.070	\$48
260	Recreational Home	DU	0.109	\$75
Lodging				
310	Hotel	Room	0.545	\$375
311	All Suites Hotel	Room	0.364	\$251
312	Business Hotel	Room	0.563	\$388
320	Motel	Room	0.355	\$245
Recreational				
411	City Park	Acre	0.184	\$127
430	Golf Course	Hole	3.732	\$2,571
444	Movie Theater	1,000 s.f.	1.486	\$1,024
492	Health/Fitness Club	1,000 s.f.	1.589	\$1,095
493	Athletic Club	1,000 s.f.	2.682	\$1,848
495	Recreational Community Center	1,000 s.f.	1.233	\$849
Institutional				
520	Elementary School	1,000 s.f.	0.832	\$573
536	Private School (K - 12)	1,000 s.f.	1.170	\$806
530	High School	1,000 s.f.	0.751	\$517
560	Church	1,000 s.f.	0.386	\$266
565	Day Care Center	1,000 s.f.	3.653	\$2,517
590	Library	1,000 s.f.	5.125	\$3,531
Medical				
610	Hospital	1,000 s.f.	0.917	\$632
620	Nursing Home	1,000 s.f.	0.311	\$214
630	Clinic	1,000 s.f.	4.575	\$3,152
Office				
710	Up to 50,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	3.998	\$2,754
	50,001-150,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.783	\$1,228
	150,001-300,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.379	\$950
	300,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.239	\$854
	500,001-800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.164	\$802
	> 800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.145	\$789
720	Medical - Dental Office Building	1,000 s.f.	2.804	\$1,932
Retail				
812	Lumber Yard	1,000 s.f.	0.550	\$379
814	Specialty Center	1,000 s.f.	1.522	\$1,049
815	Discount Store	1,000 s.f.	1.022	\$704
816	Hardware Store	1,000 s.f.	0.592	\$408
817	Nursery	1,000 s.f.	0.849	\$585
820	Shopping Center			
	< 200,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.272	\$876
	200,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.384	\$953
	500,000s.f.-1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.441	\$993
	>1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.528	\$1,053
931	Quality Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.959	\$2,039
932	High Turnover Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.845	\$1,960
933	Fast Food w/o Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	4.357	\$3,002
934	Fast Food Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	5.439	\$3,747
941	Quick Lube Vehicle Shop	Service Pos.	1.895	\$1,306
942	Automobile Care Center	1,000 s.f.	1.136	\$783
841	New Car Sales	1,000 s.f.	0.956	\$659
843	Automobile Parts Sales	1,000 s.f.	3.358	\$2,313
944	Gas Station	Fueling Position	1.054	\$726
945	Gas Station w/Convenience Market	Fueling Position	1.027	\$708
946	Gas/Serv. Stn. W/Conv. Mkt./Wash	Fueling Position	1.053	\$725
848	Tire Store	1,000 s.f.	1.461	\$1,007
850	Supermarket	1,000 s.f.	1.547	\$1,066
851	Convenience Market 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	3.459	\$2,383
852	Convenience Market < 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	2.282	\$1,572
853	Convenience Market w/Gas Pumps	1,000 s.f.	3.361	\$2,315
861	Discount Club	1,000 s.f.	1.519	\$1,046
862	Home Improvement Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.436	\$300
863	Electronics Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.972	\$670
864	Toy/Childrens Superstore	1,000 s.f.	1.060	\$730
880	Drugstore W/O Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.421	\$979
881	Drugstore W/Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.819	\$1,253
890	Furniture Store	1,000 s.f.	0.253	\$174
911	Walk-In Bank	1,000 s.f.	2.989	\$2,059
912	Drive-In Bank	1,000 s.f.	4.432	\$3,053

SPRTA Impact Fees

UPDATED: 4/22/2020

Jurisdiction:	Lincoln	2015 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation =	1.0246904
District:	Lincoln	2016 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation =	1.0323580
Cost per DUE:	\$1,369	2017 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation =	1.0245216
		2018 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation =	1.0273511
		2019 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation =	1.0245234
		2020 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation =	1.0288336
		Cost per DUE With Inflation =	\$1,607

ITE Code	Land Use Category	Unit	DUE per Unit	Fee per Unit
Industrial				
110	Light Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.910	\$1,462
120	Heavy Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.178	\$286
130	Industrial Park	1,000 s.f.	0.798	\$1,282
140	Manufacturing	1,000 s.f.	0.685	\$1,101
150	Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.300	\$482
151	Mini-Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.148	\$238
Residential				
210	Single Family	DU	1.000	\$1,607
220	Apartment	DU	0.620	\$996
231	Attached Condominium/Townhome	DU	0.780	\$1,253
240	Mobile Home Park	DU	0.590	\$948
251	Senior Adult Housing - Detached	DU	0.270	\$434
252	Senior Adult Housing - Attached	DU	0.230	\$370
253	Congregate Care	DU	0.070	\$112
260	Recreational Home	DU	0.109	\$175
Lodging				
310	Hotel	Room	0.545	\$876
311	All Suites Hotel	Room	0.364	\$585
312	Business Hotel	Room	0.563	\$905
320	Motel	Room	0.355	\$570
Recreational				
411	City Park	Acre	0.184	\$296
430	Golf Course	Hole	3.732	\$5,996
444	Movie Theater	1,000 s.f.	1.486	\$2,388
492	Health/Fitness Club	1,000 s.f.	1.589	\$2,553
493	Athletic Club	1,000 s.f.	2.682	\$4,309
495	Recreational Community Center	1,000 s.f.	1.233	\$1,981
Institutional				
520	Elementary School	1,000 s.f.	0.832	\$1,337
536	Private School (K - 12)	1,000 s.f.	1.170	\$1,880
530	High School	1,000 s.f.	0.751	\$1,207
560	Church	1,000 s.f.	0.386	\$620
565	Day Care Center	1,000 s.f.	3.653	\$5,869
590	Library	1,000 s.f.	5.125	\$8,234
Medical				
610	Hospital	1,000 s.f.	0.917	\$1,473
620	Nursing Home	1,000 s.f.	0.311	\$500
630	Clinic	1,000 s.f.	4.575	\$7,351
Office				
710	Up to 50,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	3.998	\$6,424
	50,001-150,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.783	\$2,865
	150,001-300,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.379	\$2,216
	300,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.239	\$1,991
	500,001-800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.164	\$1,870
	> 800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.145	\$1,840
720	Medical - Dental Office Building	1,000 s.f.	2.804	\$4,505
Retail				
812	Lumber Yard	1,000 s.f.	0.550	\$884
814	Specialty Center	1,000 s.f.	1.522	\$2,445
815	Discount Store	1,000 s.f.	1.022	\$1,642
816	Hardware Store	1,000 s.f.	0.592	\$951
817	Nursery	1,000 s.f.	0.849	\$1,364
820	Shopping Center			
	< 200,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.272	\$2,044
	200,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.384	\$2,224
	500,000s.f.-1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.441	\$2,315
	>1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.528	\$2,455
931	Quality Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.959	\$4,754
932	High Turnover Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.845	\$4,571
933	Fast Food w/o Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	4.357	\$7,000
934	Fast Food Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	5.439	\$8,739
941	Quick Lube Vehicle Shop	Service Pos.	1.895	\$3,045
942	Automobile Care Center	1,000 s.f.	1.136	\$1,825
841	New Car Sales	1,000 s.f.	0.956	\$1,536
843	Automobile Parts Sales	1,000 s.f.	3.358	\$5,395
944	Gas Station	Fueling Position	1.054	\$1,693
945	Gas Station w/Convenience Market	Fueling Position	1.027	\$1,650
946	Gas/Serv. Stn. W/Conv. Mkt./Wash	Fueling Position	1.053	\$1,692
848	Tire Store	1,000 s.f.	1.461	\$2,347
850	Supermarket	1,000 s.f.	1.547	\$2,486
851	Convenience Market 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	3.459	\$5,558
852	Convenience Market < 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	2.282	\$3,666
853	Convenience Market w/Gas Pumps	1,000 s.f.	3.361	\$5,400
861	Discount Club	1,000 s.f.	1.519	\$2,441
862	Home Improvement Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.436	\$701
863	Electronics Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.972	\$1,562
864	Toy/Childrens Superstore	1,000 s.f.	1.060	\$1,703
880	Drugstore W/O Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.421	\$2,283
881	Drugstore W/Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.819	\$2,923
890	Furniture Store	1,000 s.f.	0.253	\$406
911	Walk-In Bank	1,000 s.f.	2.989	\$4,802
912	Drive-In Bank	1,000 s.f.	4.432	\$7,121

SPRTA Impact Fees				UPDATED: 4/22/2020
Jurisdiction:	Placer County	2015 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation =	1.0246904	
District:	Newcastle/Horseshoe Bar	2016 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation =	1.0323580	
Cost per DUE:	\$1,440	2017 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation =	1.0245216	
		2018 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation =	1.0273511	
		2019 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation =	1.0245234	
		2020 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation =	1.0288336	
				Cost per DUE With Inflation = \$1,690
ITE Code	Land Use Category	Unit	DUE per Unit	Fee per Unit
Industrial				
110	Light Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.910	\$1,538
120	Heavy Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.178	\$301
130	Industrial Park	1,000 s.f.	0.798	\$1,349
140	Manufacturing	1,000 s.f.	0.685	\$1,158
150	Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.300	\$507
151	Mini-Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.148	\$250
Residential				
210	Single Family	DU	1.000	\$1,690
220	Apartment	DU	0.620	\$1,048
231	Attached Condominium/Townhome	DU	0.780	\$1,318
240	Mobile Home Park	DU	0.590	\$997
251	Senior Adult Housing - Detached	DU	0.270	\$456
252	Senior Adult Housing - Attached	DU	0.230	\$389
253	Congregate Care	DU	0.070	\$118
260	Recreational Home	DU	0.109	\$184
Lodging				
310	Hotel	Room	0.545	\$921
311	All Suites Hotel	Room	0.364	\$615
312	Business Hotel	Room	0.563	\$951
320	Motel	Room	0.355	\$600
Recreational				
411	City Park	Acre	0.184	\$311
430	Golf Course	Hole	3.732	\$6,307
444	Movie Theater	1,000 s.f.	1.486	\$2,511
492	Health/Fitness Club	1,000 s.f.	1.589	\$2,685
493	Athletic Club	1,000 s.f.	2.682	\$4,533
495	Recreational Community Center	1,000 s.f.	1.233	\$2,084
Institutional				
520	Elementary School	1,000 s.f.	0.832	\$1,406
536	Private School (K - 12)	1,000 s.f.	1.170	\$1,977
530	High School	1,000 s.f.	0.751	\$1,269
560	Church	1,000 s.f.	0.386	\$652
565	Day Care Center	1,000 s.f.	3.653	\$6,174
590	Library	1,000 s.f.	5.125	\$8,661
Medical				
610	Hospital	1,000 s.f.	0.917	\$1,550
620	Nursing Home	1,000 s.f.	0.311	\$526
630	Clinic	1,000 s.f.	4.575	\$7,732
Office				
710	Up to 50,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	3.998	\$6,757
	50,001-150,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.783	\$3,013
	150,001-300,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.379	\$2,331
	300,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.239	\$2,094
	500,001-800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.164	\$1,967
	> 800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.145	\$1,935
720	Medical - Dental Office Building	1,000 s.f.	2.804	\$4,739
Retail				
812	Lumber Yard	1,000 s.f.	0.550	\$930
814	Specialty Center	1,000 s.f.	1.522	\$2,572
815	Discount Store	1,000 s.f.	1.022	\$1,727
816	Hardware Store	1,000 s.f.	0.592	\$1,000
817	Nursery	1,000 s.f.	0.849	\$1,435
820	Shopping Center			
	< 200,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.272	\$2,150
	200,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.384	\$2,339
	500,000s.f.-1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.441	\$2,435
	>1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.528	\$2,582
931	Quality Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.959	\$5,001
932	High Turnover Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.845	\$4,808
933	Fast Food w/o Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	4.357	\$7,363
934	Fast Food Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	5.439	\$9,192
941	Quick Lube Vehicle Shop	Service Pos.	1.895	\$3,203
942	Automobile Care Center	1,000 s.f.	1.136	\$1,920
841	New Car Sales	1,000 s.f.	0.956	\$1,616
843	Automobile Parts Sales	1,000 s.f.	3.358	\$5,675
944	Gas Station	Fueling Position	1.054	\$1,781
945	Gas Station w/Convenience Market	Fueling Position	1.027	\$1,736
946	Gas/Serv. Stn. W/Conv. Mkt./Wash	Fueling Position	1.053	\$1,780
848	Tire Store	1,000 s.f.	1.461	\$2,469
850	Supermarket	1,000 s.f.	1.547	\$2,614
851	Convenience Market 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	3.459	\$5,846
852	Convenience Market < 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	2.282	\$3,857
853	Convenience Market w/Gas Pumps	1,000 s.f.	3.361	\$5,680
861	Discount Club	1,000 s.f.	1.519	\$2,567
862	Home Improvement Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.436	\$737
863	Electronics Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.972	\$1,643
864	Toy/Childrens Superstore	1,000 s.f.	1.060	\$1,791
880	Drugstore W/O Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.421	\$2,402
881	Drugstore W/Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.819	\$3,074
890	Furniture Store	1,000 s.f.	0.253	\$428
911	Walk-In Bank	1,000 s.f.	2.989	\$5,051
912	Drive-In Bank	1,000 s.f.	4.432	\$7,490

SPRTA Impact Fees

Jurisdiction: Placer County
 District: Placer Central
 Cost per DUE: \$1,815

2015 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0246904
 2016 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0323580
 2017 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0245216
 2018 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0273511
 2019 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0245234
 2020 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0288336

Cost per DUE With Inflation = \$2,130

ITE Code	Land Use Category	Unit	DUE per Unit	Fee per Unit
Industrial				
110	Light Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.910	\$1,938
120	Heavy Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.178	\$379
130	Industrial Park	1,000 s.f.	0.798	\$1,700
140	Manufacturing	1,000 s.f.	0.685	\$1,459
150	Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.300	\$639
151	Mini-Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.148	\$315
Residential				
210	Single Family	DU	1.000	\$2,130
220	Apartment	DU	0.620	\$1,321
231	Attached Condominium/Townhome	DU	0.780	\$1,662
240	Mobile Home Park	DU	0.590	\$1,257
251	Senior Adult Housing - Detached	DU	0.270	\$575
252	Senior Adult Housing - Attached	DU	0.230	\$490
253	Congregate Care	DU	0.070	\$149
260	Recreational Home	DU	0.109	\$232
Lodging				
310	Hotel	Room	0.545	\$1,161
311	All Suites Hotel	Room	0.364	\$775
312	Business Hotel	Room	0.563	\$1,199
320	Motel	Room	0.355	\$756
Recreational				
411	City Park	Acre	0.184	\$392
430	Golf Course	Hole	3.732	\$7,950
444	Movie Theater	1,000 s.f.	1.486	\$3,165
492	Health/Fitness Club	1,000 s.f.	1.589	\$3,385
493	Athletic Club	1,000 s.f.	2.682	\$5,713
495	Recreational Community Center	1,000 s.f.	1.233	\$2,626
Institutional				
520	Elementary School	1,000 s.f.	0.832	\$1,772
536	Private School (K - 12)	1,000 s.f.	1.170	\$2,492
530	High School	1,000 s.f.	0.751	\$1,600
560	Church	1,000 s.f.	0.386	\$822
565	Day Care Center	1,000 s.f.	3.653	\$7,781
590	Library	1,000 s.f.	5.125	\$10,917
Medical				
610	Hospital	1,000 s.f.	0.917	\$1,953
620	Nursing Home	1,000 s.f.	0.311	\$662
630	Clinic	1,000 s.f.	4.575	\$9,745
Office				
710	Up to 50,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	3.998	\$8,516
	50,001-150,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.783	\$3,798
	150,001-300,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.379	\$2,937
	300,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.239	\$2,639
	500,001-800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.164	\$2,479
	> 800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.145	\$2,439
720	Medical - Dental Office Building	1,000 s.f.	2.804	\$5,973
Retail				
812	Lumber Yard	1,000 s.f.	0.550	\$1,172
814	Specialty Center	1,000 s.f.	1.522	\$3,242
815	Discount Store	1,000 s.f.	1.022	\$2,177
816	Hardware Store	1,000 s.f.	0.592	\$1,261
817	Nursery	1,000 s.f.	0.849	\$1,808
820	Shopping Center			
	< 200,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.272	\$2,710
	200,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.384	\$2,948
	500,000s.f.-1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.441	\$3,070
	>1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.528	\$3,255
931	Quality Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.959	\$6,303
932	High Turnover Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.845	\$6,060
933	Fast Food w/o Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	4.357	\$9,281
934	Fast Food Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	5.439	\$11,586
941	Quick Lube Vehicle Shop	Service Pos.	1.895	\$4,037
942	Automobile Care Center	1,000 s.f.	1.136	\$2,420
841	New Car Sales	1,000 s.f.	0.956	\$2,036
843	Automobile Parts Sales	1,000 s.f.	3.358	\$7,153
944	Gas Station	Fueling Position	1.054	\$2,245
945	Gas Station w/Convenience Market	Fueling Position	1.027	\$2,188
946	Gas/Serv. Stn. W/Conv. Mkt./Wash	Fueling Position	1.053	\$2,243
848	Tire Store	1,000 s.f.	1.461	\$3,112
850	Supermarket	1,000 s.f.	1.547	\$3,295
851	Convenience Market 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	3.459	\$7,368
852	Convenience Market < 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	2.282	\$4,861
853	Convenience Market w/Gas Pumps	1,000 s.f.	3.361	\$7,159
861	Discount Club	1,000 s.f.	1.519	\$3,236
862	Home Improvement Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.436	\$929
863	Electronics Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.972	\$2,070
864	Toy/Childrens Superstore	1,000 s.f.	1.060	\$2,258
880	Drugstore W/O Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.421	\$3,027
881	Drugstore W/Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.819	\$3,875
890	Furniture Store	1,000 s.f.	0.253	\$539
911	Walk-In Bank	1,000 s.f.	2.989	\$6,367
912	Drive-In Bank	1,000 s.f.	4.432	\$9,441

SPRTA Impact Fees

Jurisdiction: Placer County
 District: Placer West
 Cost per DUE: \$1,387

2015 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0246904
 2016 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0323580
 2017 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0245216
 2018 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0273511
 2019 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0245234
 2020 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0288336

Cost per DUE With Inflation = \$1,628

ITE Code	Land Use Category	Unit	DUE per Unit	Fee per Unit
Industrial				
110	Light Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.910	\$1,481
120	Heavy Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.178	\$290
130	Industrial Park	1,000 s.f.	0.798	\$1,299
140	Manufacturing	1,000 s.f.	0.685	\$1,115
150	Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.300	\$488
151	Mini-Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.148	\$241
Residential				
210	Single Family	DU	1.000	\$1,628
220	Apartment	DU	0.620	\$1,009
231	Attached Condominium/Townhome	DU	0.780	\$1,270
240	Mobile Home Park	DU	0.590	\$960
251	Senior Adult Housing - Detached	DU	0.270	\$440
252	Senior Adult Housing - Attached	DU	0.230	\$374
253	Congregate Care	DU	0.070	\$114
260	Recreational Home	DU	0.109	\$177
Lodging				
310	Hotel	Room	0.545	\$887
311	All Suites Hotel	Room	0.364	\$593
312	Business Hotel	Room	0.563	\$916
320	Motel	Room	0.355	\$578
Recreational				
411	City Park	Acre	0.184	\$300
430	Golf Course	Hole	3.732	\$6,075
444	Movie Theater	1,000 s.f.	1.486	\$2,419
492	Health/Fitness Club	1,000 s.f.	1.589	\$2,587
493	Athletic Club	1,000 s.f.	2.682	\$4,366
495	Recreational Community Center	1,000 s.f.	1.233	\$2,007
Institutional				
520	Elementary School	1,000 s.f.	0.832	\$1,354
536	Private School (K - 12)	1,000 s.f.	1.170	\$1,905
530	High School	1,000 s.f.	0.751	\$1,222
560	Church	1,000 s.f.	0.386	\$628
565	Day Care Center	1,000 s.f.	3.653	\$5,946
590	Library	1,000 s.f.	5.125	\$8,343
Medical				
610	Hospital	1,000 s.f.	0.917	\$1,493
620	Nursing Home	1,000 s.f.	0.311	\$506
630	Clinic	1,000 s.f.	4.575	\$7,447
Office				
710	Up to 50,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	3.998	\$6,508
	50,001-150,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.783	\$2,902
	150,001-300,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.379	\$2,245
	300,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.239	\$2,017
	500,001-800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.164	\$1,895
	> 800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.145	\$1,864
720	Medical - Dental Office Building	1,000 s.f.	2.804	\$4,564
Retail				
812	Lumber Yard	1,000 s.f.	0.550	\$895
814	Specialty Center	1,000 s.f.	1.522	\$2,478
815	Discount Store	1,000 s.f.	1.022	\$1,664
816	Hardware Store	1,000 s.f.	0.592	\$964
817	Nursery	1,000 s.f.	0.849	\$1,382
820	Shopping Center			
	< 200,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.272	\$2,071
	200,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.384	\$2,253
	500,000s.f.-1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.441	\$2,346
	>1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.528	\$2,487
931	Quality Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.959	\$4,817
932	High Turnover Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.845	\$4,631
933	Fast Food w/o Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	4.357	\$7,092
934	Fast Food Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	5.439	\$8,854
941	Quick Lube Vehicle Shop	Service Pos.	1.895	\$3,085
942	Automobile Care Center	1,000 s.f.	1.136	\$1,849
841	New Car Sales	1,000 s.f.	0.956	\$1,556
843	Automobile Parts Sales	1,000 s.f.	3.358	\$5,466
944	Gas Station	Fueling Position	1.054	\$1,716
945	Gas Station w/Convenience Market	Fueling Position	1.027	\$1,672
946	Gas/Serv. Stn. W/Conv. Mkt./Wash	Fueling Position	1.053	\$1,714
848	Tire Store	1,000 s.f.	1.461	\$2,378
850	Supermarket	1,000 s.f.	1.547	\$2,518
851	Convenience Market 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	3.459	\$5,631
852	Convenience Market < 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	2.282	\$3,715
853	Convenience Market w/Gas Pumps	1,000 s.f.	3.361	\$5,471
861	Discount Club	1,000 s.f.	1.519	\$2,473
862	Home Improvement Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.436	\$710
863	Electronics Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.972	\$1,582
864	Toy/Childrens Superstore	1,000 s.f.	1.060	\$1,725
880	Drugstore W/O Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.421	\$2,313
881	Drugstore W/Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.819	\$2,961
890	Furniture Store	1,000 s.f.	0.253	\$412
911	Walk-In Bank	1,000 s.f.	2.989	\$4,866
912	Drive-In Bank	1,000 s.f.	4.432	\$7,215

SPRTA Impact Fees

Jurisdiction: Rocklin 2015 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0246904
 District: Rocklin 2016 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0323580
 Cost per DUE: \$1,739 2017 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0245216
 2018 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0273511
 2019 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0245234
 2020 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0288336

Cost per DUE With Inflation = \$2,041

ITE Code	Land Use Category	Unit	DUE per Unit	Fee per Unit
Industrial				
110	Light Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.910	\$1,857
120	Heavy Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.178	\$363
130	Industrial Park	1,000 s.f.	0.798	\$1,629
140	Manufacturing	1,000 s.f.	0.685	\$1,398
150	Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.300	\$612
151	Mini-Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.148	\$302
Residential				
210	Single Family	DU	1.000	\$2,041
220	Apartment	DU	0.620	\$1,265
231	Attached Condominium/Townhome	DU	0.780	\$1,592
240	Mobile Home Park	DU	0.590	\$1,204
251	Senior Adult Housing - Detached	DU	0.270	\$551
252	Senior Adult Housing - Attached	DU	0.230	\$469
253	Congregate Care	DU	0.070	\$143
260	Recreational Home	DU	0.109	\$222
Lodging				
310	Hotel	Room	0.545	\$1,112
311	All Suites Hotel	Room	0.364	\$743
312	Business Hotel	Room	0.563	\$1,149
320	Motel	Room	0.355	\$725
Recreational				
411	City Park	Acre	0.184	\$376
430	Golf Course	Hole	3.732	\$7,617
444	Movie Theater	1,000 s.f.	1.486	\$3,033
492	Health/Fitness Club	1,000 s.f.	1.589	\$3,243
493	Athletic Club	1,000 s.f.	2.682	\$5,474
495	Recreational Community Center	1,000 s.f.	1.233	\$2,516
Institutional				
520	Elementary School	1,000 s.f.	0.832	\$1,698
536	Private School (K - 12)	1,000 s.f.	1.170	\$2,388
530	High School	1,000 s.f.	0.751	\$1,533
560	Church	1,000 s.f.	0.386	\$788
565	Day Care Center	1,000 s.f.	3.653	\$7,456
590	Library	1,000 s.f.	5.125	\$10,460
Medical				
610	Hospital	1,000 s.f.	0.917	\$1,872
620	Nursing Home	1,000 s.f.	0.311	\$635
630	Clinic	1,000 s.f.	4.575	\$9,337
Office				
710	Up to 50,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	3.998	\$8,160
	50,001-150,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.783	\$3,639
	150,001-300,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.379	\$2,814
	300,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.239	\$2,529
	500,001-800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.164	\$2,376
	> 800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.145	\$2,337
720	Medical - Dental Office Building	1,000 s.f.	2.804	\$5,723
Retail				
812	Lumber Yard	1,000 s.f.	0.550	\$1,123
814	Specialty Center	1,000 s.f.	1.522	\$3,106
815	Discount Store	1,000 s.f.	1.022	\$2,086
816	Hardware Store	1,000 s.f.	0.592	\$1,208
817	Nursery	1,000 s.f.	0.849	\$1,733
820	Shopping Center			
	< 200,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.272	\$2,596
	200,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.384	\$2,825
	500,000s.f.-1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.441	\$2,941
	>1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.528	\$3,119
931	Quality Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.959	\$6,039
932	High Turnover Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.845	\$5,806
933	Fast Food w/o Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	4.357	\$8,892
934	Fast Food Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	5.439	\$11,101
941	Quick Lube Vehicle Shop	Service Pos.	1.895	\$3,868
942	Automobile Care Center	1,000 s.f.	1.136	\$2,319
841	New Car Sales	1,000 s.f.	0.956	\$1,951
843	Automobile Parts Sales	1,000 s.f.	3.358	\$6,853
944	Gas Station	Fueling Position	1.054	\$2,151
945	Gas Station w/Convenience Market	Fueling Position	1.027	\$2,096
946	Gas/Serv. Stn. W/Conv. Mkt./Wash	Fueling Position	1.053	\$2,149
848	Tire Store	1,000 s.f.	1.461	\$2,982
850	Supermarket	1,000 s.f.	1.547	\$3,157
851	Convenience Market 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	3.459	\$7,060
852	Convenience Market < 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	2.282	\$4,657
853	Convenience Market w/Gas Pumps	1,000 s.f.	3.361	\$6,860
861	Discount Club	1,000 s.f.	1.519	\$3,100
862	Home Improvement Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.436	\$890
863	Electronics Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.972	\$1,984
864	Toy/Childrens Superstore	1,000 s.f.	1.060	\$2,163
880	Drugstore W/O Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.421	\$2,900
881	Drugstore W/Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.819	\$3,712
890	Furniture Store	1,000 s.f.	0.253	\$516
911	Walk-In Bank	1,000 s.f.	2.989	\$6,100
912	Drive-In Bank	1,000 s.f.	4.432	\$9,045

SPRTA Impact Fees

UPDATED: 4/22/2020

Jurisdiction: Roseville	2015 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0246904
District: Roseville West	2016 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0323580
Cost per DUE: \$890	2017 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0245216
	2018 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0273511
	2019 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0245234
	2020 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0288336
	Cost per DUE With Inflation = \$1,045

ITE Code	Land Use Category	Unit	DUE per Unit	Fee per Unit
Industrial				
110	Light Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.910	\$951
120	Heavy Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.178	\$186
130	Industrial Park	1,000 s.f.	0.798	\$834
140	Manufacturing	1,000 s.f.	0.685	\$716
150	Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.300	\$313
151	Mini-Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.148	\$155
Residential				
210	Single Family	DU	1.000	\$1,045
220	Apartment	DU	0.620	\$648
231	Attached Condominium/Townhome	DU	0.780	\$815
240	Mobile Home Park	DU	0.590	\$616
251	Senior Adult Housing - Detached	DU	0.270	\$282
252	Senior Adult Housing - Attached	DU	0.230	\$240
253	Congregate Care	DU	0.070	\$73
260	Recreational Home	DU	0.109	\$114
Lodging				
310	Hotel	Room	0.545	\$569
311	All Suites Hotel	Room	0.364	\$380
312	Business Hotel	Room	0.563	\$588
320	Motel	Room	0.355	\$371
Recreational				
411	City Park	Acre	0.184	\$192
430	Golf Course	Hole	3.732	\$3,898
444	Movie Theater	1,000 s.f.	1.486	\$1,552
492	Health/Fitness Club	1,000 s.f.	1.589	\$1,660
493	Athletic Club	1,000 s.f.	2.682	\$2,801
495	Recreational Community Center	1,000 s.f.	1.233	\$1,288
Institutional				
520	Elementary School	1,000 s.f.	0.832	\$869
536	Private School (K - 12)	1,000 s.f.	1.170	\$1,222
530	High School	1,000 s.f.	0.751	\$784
560	Church	1,000 s.f.	0.386	\$403
565	Day Care Center	1,000 s.f.	3.653	\$3,816
590	Library	1,000 s.f.	5.125	\$5,353
Medical				
610	Hospital	1,000 s.f.	0.917	\$958
620	Nursing Home	1,000 s.f.	0.311	\$325
630	Clinic	1,000 s.f.	4.575	\$4,779
Office				
710	Up to 50,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	3.998	\$4,176
	50,001-150,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.783	\$1,862
	150,001-300,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.379	\$1,440
	300,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.239	\$1,294
	500,001-800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.164	\$1,216
	> 800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.145	\$1,196
720	Medical - Dental Office Building	1,000 s.f.	2.804	\$2,929
Retail				
812	Lumber Yard	1,000 s.f.	0.550	\$574
814	Specialty Center	1,000 s.f.	1.522	\$1,590
815	Discount Store	1,000 s.f.	1.022	\$1,068
816	Hardware Store	1,000 s.f.	0.592	\$618
817	Nursery	1,000 s.f.	0.849	\$887
820	Shopping Center			
	< 200,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.272	\$1,329
	200,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.384	\$1,446
	500,000s.f.-1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.441	\$1,505
	>1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.528	\$1,596
931	Quality Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.959	\$3,091
932	High Turnover Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.845	\$2,972
933	Fast Food w/o Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	4.357	\$4,551
934	Fast Food Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	5.439	\$5,681
941	Quick Lube Vehicle Shop	Service Pos.	1.895	\$1,979
942	Automobile Care Center	1,000 s.f.	1.136	\$1,187
841	New Car Sales	1,000 s.f.	0.956	\$999
843	Automobile Parts Sales	1,000 s.f.	3.358	\$3,508
944	Gas Station	Fueling Position	1.054	\$1,101
945	Gas Station w/Convenience Market	Fueling Position	1.027	\$1,073
946	Gas/Serv. Stn. W/Conv. Mkt./Wash	Fueling Position	1.053	\$1,100
848	Tire Store	1,000 s.f.	1.461	\$1,526
850	Supermarket	1,000 s.f.	1.547	\$1,616
851	Convenience Market 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	3.459	\$3,613
852	Convenience Market < 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	2.282	\$2,384
853	Convenience Market w/Gas Pumps	1,000 s.f.	3.361	\$3,511
861	Discount Club	1,000 s.f.	1.519	\$1,587
862	Home Improvement Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.436	\$455
863	Electronics Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.972	\$1,015
864	Toy/Childrens Superstore	1,000 s.f.	1.060	\$1,107
880	Drugstore W/O Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.421	\$1,484
881	Drugstore W/Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.819	\$1,900
890	Furniture Store	1,000 s.f.	0.253	\$264
911	Walk-In Bank	1,000 s.f.	2.989	\$3,122
912	Drive-In Bank	1,000 s.f.	4.432	\$4,629

SPRTA Impact Fees

UPDATED: 4/22/2020

Jurisdiction: Roseville	2015 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0246904
District: Roseville East	2016 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0323580
Cost per DUE: \$1,074	2017 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0245216
	2018 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0273511
	2019 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0245234
	2020 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0288336
	Cost per DUE With Inflation = \$1,260

ITE Code	Land Use Category	Unit	DUE per Unit	Fee per Unit
Industrial				
110	Light Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.910	\$1,147
120	Heavy Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.178	\$224
130	Industrial Park	1,000 s.f.	0.798	\$1,006
140	Manufacturing	1,000 s.f.	0.685	\$863
150	Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.300	\$378
151	Mini-Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.148	\$187
Residential				
210	Single Family	DU	1.000	\$1,260
220	Apartment	DU	0.620	\$781
231	Attached Condominium/Townhome	DU	0.780	\$983
240	Mobile Home Park	DU	0.590	\$744
251	Senior Adult Housing - Detached	DU	0.270	\$340
252	Senior Adult Housing - Attached	DU	0.230	\$290
253	Congregate Care	DU	0.070	\$88
260	Recreational Home	DU	0.109	\$137
Lodging				
310	Hotel	Room	0.545	\$687
311	All Suites Hotel	Room	0.364	\$459
312	Business Hotel	Room	0.563	\$710
320	Motel	Room	0.355	\$447
Recreational				
411	City Park	Acre	0.184	\$232
430	Golf Course	Hole	3.732	\$4,704
444	Movie Theater	1,000 s.f.	1.486	\$1,873
492	Health/Fitness Club	1,000 s.f.	1.589	\$2,003
493	Athletic Club	1,000 s.f.	2.682	\$3,381
495	Recreational Community Center	1,000 s.f.	1.233	\$1,554
Institutional				
520	Elementary School	1,000 s.f.	0.832	\$1,049
536	Private School (K - 12)	1,000 s.f.	1.170	\$1,475
530	High School	1,000 s.f.	0.751	\$947
560	Church	1,000 s.f.	0.386	\$487
565	Day Care Center	1,000 s.f.	3.653	\$4,605
590	Library	1,000 s.f.	5.125	\$6,460
Medical				
610	Hospital	1,000 s.f.	0.917	\$1,156
620	Nursing Home	1,000 s.f.	0.311	\$392
630	Clinic	1,000 s.f.	4.575	\$5,767
Office				
710	Up to 50,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	3.998	\$5,039
	50,001-150,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.783	\$2,247
	150,001-300,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.379	\$1,738
	300,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.239	\$1,562
	500,001-800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.164	\$1,467
	> 800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.145	\$1,443
720	Medical - Dental Office Building	1,000 s.f.	2.804	\$3,534
Retail				
812	Lumber Yard	1,000 s.f.	0.550	\$693
814	Specialty Center	1,000 s.f.	1.522	\$1,918
815	Discount Store	1,000 s.f.	1.022	\$1,288
816	Hardware Store	1,000 s.f.	0.592	\$746
817	Nursery	1,000 s.f.	0.849	\$1,070
820	Shopping Center			
	< 200,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.272	\$1,603
	200,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.384	\$1,744
	500,000s.f.-1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.441	\$1,816
	>1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.528	\$1,926
931	Quality Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.959	\$3,730
932	High Turnover Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.845	\$3,586
933	Fast Food w/o Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	4.357	\$5,492
934	Fast Food Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	5.439	\$6,856
941	Quick Lube Vehicle Shop	Service Pos.	1.895	\$2,389
942	Automobile Care Center	1,000 s.f.	1.136	\$1,432
841	New Car Sales	1,000 s.f.	0.956	\$1,205
843	Automobile Parts Sales	1,000 s.f.	3.358	\$4,233
944	Gas Station	Fueling Position	1.054	\$1,329
945	Gas Station w/Convenience Market	Fueling Position	1.027	\$1,295
946	Gas/Serv. Stn. W/Conv. Mkt./Wash	Fueling Position	1.053	\$1,327
848	Tire Store	1,000 s.f.	1.461	\$1,842
850	Supermarket	1,000 s.f.	1.547	\$1,950
851	Convenience Market 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	3.459	\$4,360
852	Convenience Market < 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	2.282	\$2,876
853	Convenience Market w/Gas Pumps	1,000 s.f.	3.361	\$4,236
861	Discount Club	1,000 s.f.	1.519	\$1,915
862	Home Improvement Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.436	\$550
863	Electronics Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.972	\$1,225
864	Toy/Childrens Superstore	1,000 s.f.	1.060	\$1,336
880	Drugstore W/O Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.421	\$1,791
881	Drugstore W/Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.819	\$2,293
890	Furniture Store	1,000 s.f.	0.253	\$319
911	Walk-In Bank	1,000 s.f.	2.989	\$3,768
912	Drive-In Bank	1,000 s.f.	4.432	\$5,586

SPRTA Impact Fees

Jurisdiction: Placer County
 District: Sunset
 Cost per DUE: \$1,210

2015 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0246904
 2016 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0323580
 2017 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0245216
 2018 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0273511
 2019 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0245234
 2020 Annual Adjustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0288336

Cost per DUE With Inflation = \$1,420

ITE Code	Land Use Category	Unit	DUE per Unit	Fee per Unit
Industrial				
110	Light Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.910	\$1,292
120	Heavy Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.178	\$253
130	Industrial Park	1,000 s.f.	0.798	\$1,133
140	Manufacturing	1,000 s.f.	0.685	\$973
150	Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.300	\$426
151	Mini-Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.148	\$210
Residential				
210	Single Family	DU	1.000	\$1,420
220	Apartment	DU	0.620	\$880
231	Attached Condominium/Townhome	DU	0.780	\$1,108
240	Mobile Home Park	DU	0.590	\$838
251	Senior Adult Housing - Detached	DU	0.270	\$383
252	Senior Adult Housing - Attached	DU	0.230	\$327
253	Congregate Care	DU	0.070	\$99
260	Recreational Home	DU	0.109	\$155
Lodging				
310	Hotel	Room	0.545	\$774
311	All Suites Hotel	Room	0.364	\$517
312	Business Hotel	Room	0.563	\$800
320	Motel	Room	0.355	\$504
Recreational				
411	City Park	Acre	0.184	\$261
430	Golf Course	Hole	3.732	\$5,300
444	Movie Theater	1,000 s.f.	1.486	\$2,110
492	Health/Fitness Club	1,000 s.f.	1.589	\$2,257
493	Athletic Club	1,000 s.f.	2.682	\$3,809
495	Recreational Community Center	1,000 s.f.	1.233	\$1,751
Institutional				
520	Elementary School	1,000 s.f.	0.832	\$1,182
536	Private School (K - 12)	1,000 s.f.	1.170	\$1,662
530	High School	1,000 s.f.	0.751	\$1,066
560	Church	1,000 s.f.	0.386	\$548
565	Day Care Center	1,000 s.f.	3.653	\$5,188
590	Library	1,000 s.f.	5.125	\$7,278
Medical				
610	Hospital	1,000 s.f.	0.917	\$1,302
620	Nursing Home	1,000 s.f.	0.311	\$442
630	Clinic	1,000 s.f.	4.575	\$6,497
Office				
710	Up to 50,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	3.998	\$5,678
	50,001-150,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.783	\$2,532
	150,001-300,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.379	\$1,958
	300,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.239	\$1,759
	500,001-800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.164	\$1,653
	> 800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.145	\$1,626
720	Medical - Dental Office Building	1,000 s.f.	2.804	\$3,982
Retail				
812	Lumber Yard	1,000 s.f.	0.550	\$781
814	Specialty Center	1,000 s.f.	1.522	\$2,161
815	Discount Store	1,000 s.f.	1.022	\$1,451
816	Hardware Store	1,000 s.f.	0.592	\$841
817	Nursery	1,000 s.f.	0.849	\$1,206
820	Shopping Center			
	< 200,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.272	\$1,806
	200,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.384	\$1,965
	500,000s.f.-1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.441	\$2,046
	>1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.528	\$2,170
931	Quality Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.959	\$4,202
932	High Turnover Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.845	\$4,040
933	Fast Food w/o Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	4.357	\$6,187
934	Fast Food Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	5.439	\$7,724
941	Quick Lube Vehicle Shop	Service Pos.	1.895	\$2,691
942	Automobile Care Center	1,000 s.f.	1.136	\$1,613
841	New Car Sales	1,000 s.f.	0.956	\$1,358
843	Automobile Parts Sales	1,000 s.f.	3.358	\$4,769
944	Gas Station	Fueling Position	1.054	\$1,497
945	Gas Station w/Convenience Market	Fueling Position	1.027	\$1,458
946	Gas/Serv. Stn. W/Conv. Mkt./Wash	Fueling Position	1.053	\$1,495
848	Tire Store	1,000 s.f.	1.461	\$2,075
850	Supermarket	1,000 s.f.	1.547	\$2,197
851	Convenience Market 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	3.459	\$4,912
852	Convenience Market < 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	2.282	\$3,241
853	Convenience Market w/Gas Pumps	1,000 s.f.	3.361	\$4,773
861	Discount Club	1,000 s.f.	1.519	\$2,157
862	Home Improvement Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.436	\$619
863	Electronics Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.972	\$1,380
864	Toy/Childrens Superstore	1,000 s.f.	1.060	\$1,505
880	Drugstore W/O Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.421	\$2,018
881	Drugstore W/Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.819	\$2,583
890	Furniture Store	1,000 s.f.	0.253	\$359
911	Walk-In Bank	1,000 s.f.	2.989	\$4,245
912	Drive-In Bank	1,000 s.f.	4.432	\$6,294

RESOLUTION NO. 20-02

**A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE
SOUTH PLACER REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
ADOPTING AN INFLATIONARY ADJUSTMENT TO THE REGIONAL
TRANSPORTATION AND AIR QUALITY MITIGATION FEE
FOR ALL NEW DEVELOPMENTS WITHIN THE AREA OF JURISDICTION
OF THE AUTHORITY**

A. **WHEREAS**, the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority ("Authority") was formed to provide for the coordinated planning, design, financing, acquisition, determination of the timing of construction, and construction, of certain transportation improvements located in the area of jurisdiction of the Authority; and

B. **WHEREAS**, the adoption of the Regional Transportation and Air Quality Mitigation Fee included the requirement that, on an annual basis, the Board review the estimated cost of the Facilities, the continued need for the Facilities and the reasonable relationship between such need and the impacts of the various types of development pending or anticipated and for which the Fee is charged, and may change the Fee based upon that review. If the relationship between the need and the impacts of the various types of development pending or anticipated still exists, the Fee shall be automatically adjusted annually based upon the Construction Costs Index as published in the Engineering News Record publication, unless otherwise determined by the Board.

C. **WHEREAS**, the Board of Directors of the Authority finds as follows:

(i) The purpose of the Fee is to finance the public facilities (the "Facilities") described and identified in the Regional Transportation and Air Quality Mitigation Fee Improvement Program to reduce the impacts of increased traffic caused by New Development within the area of jurisdiction of the Authority;

(ii) The Fee shall be used to finance the Facilities (including, without limitation, planning, design, administration, environmental compliance, and construction costs of the Facilities);

(iii) The estimated cost of the Facilities, the need for the Facilities and the reasonable relationship between such need and the impacts of the various types of development pending or anticipated and for which the Fee is charged continues to exist.

D. **WHEREAS**, the revised Regional Transportation and Air Quality Mitigation Fee schedule, as adjusted for inflation, was available for public inspection and review more than ten (10) days prior to this public hearing;

NOW, THEREFORE, pursuant to the authority of Section 5.M of the Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement for the Planning, Design, Financing, Acquisition and Construction of Regional Transportation Improvements, dated October 1, 2003, ("JPA Agreement"), it is hereby resolved by the Board that:

1. Fee Adjustment. The Regional Transportation and Air Quality Mitigation Fee schedule, as shown in Attachment 2, is hereby adjusted to reflect an increase of 2.88336%.
2. Adoption. Pursuant to Section 8 of the JPA Agreement, this Resolution is adopted unanimously.
3. Judicial Review. Any judicial action or proceeding to attack, review, set aside, void, or annul this Resolution shall be brought within one hundred twenty (120) days after the effective date set forth below.
4. Effective Date. This Resolution and the Fee hereby approved shall be effective July 1, 2020.

Passed and Adopted by the Board of the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority, this 27th day of May, 2020, by the following vote on roll call:

AYES Board Members:
NOES Board Members:
ABSENT Board Members:

John Allard, Chair

ATTEST:

Mike Luken, Executive Director



City of Lincoln • City of Rocklin • City of Roseville • Placer County

TO: SPRTA Board of Directors **DATE: May 27, 2020**

FROM: Luke McNeel-Caird, Deputy Executive Director

SUBJECT: REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AND AIR QUALITY MITIGATION FEE ALLOCATION REQUEST FOR HIGHWAY 65 WIDENING

ACTION REQUESTED

1. Adopt Resolution #20-03 to allocate \$2,000,000 of Regional Transportation and Air Quality Mitigation Fees to the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA) for the construction of the Highway 65 Widening Phase 1 project.
2. Adopt Resolution #20-04 that the Lincoln to Sacramento Commuter bus project is a project statutorily exempt from further environmental review requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) of 1970 pursuant to PUC 21080(b)(10).

BACKGROUND

The Regional Transportation and Air Quality Mitigation Fee Program ("Fee Program") includes \$67 million for the widening of Highway 65 from the terminus of the I-80/SR 65 Interchange improvements to Lincoln Blvd. The overall project will widen Highway 65 in both directions, for a total of 8 lanes, up to Blue Oaks Blvd, then add auxiliary lanes where they do not exist between Blue Oaks Blvd and Lincoln Blvd, for a total of 6 lanes. PCTPA funded and the final federal and state environmental documentation was approved for the Highway 65 Widening in March 2018.

DISCUSSION

Highway 65 Widening Construction Allocation

One of the keys of our success in moving projects is to have them "shelf ready", so that we can best compete when new funding sources come available. In August 2017 and April 2019, the SPRTA Board allocated a combined \$3 million for design and environmental mitigation to create a shelf ready Phase 1 project on southbound Highway 65 including:

- adding a third lane between the Blue Oaks Boulevard and the Galleria Boulevard/Stanford Ranch Road interchanges
- adding an auxiliary lane from Pleasant Grove loop on-ramp to the Galleria off-ramp
- widening the Galleria off-ramp to two lanes

The total Phase 1 project cost is currently estimated at \$23 million, which SPRTA does not currently have existing funding to construct the project. The requested \$2 million

SPRTA Board of Directors
FEE ALLOCATION REQUEST – HIGHWAY 65 WIDENING PHASE 1
May 2020
Page 2

allocation will allow staff to bundle a portion of the project (auxiliary lane) with the Lincoln to Sacramento commuter bus project (discussed below) to pursue a state grant due in June 2020. For the third lane on southbound Highway 65, a local transportation revenue source will be needed to begin construction.

Lincoln to Sacramento Commuter Bus Project

PCTPA, working closely with Placer County has identified an opportunity to provide a new commuter bus service between City of Lincoln and downtown Sacramento. The Lincoln to Sacramento commuter service will complement the Highway 65 auxiliary lane project to provide commute alternatives and reduce the number of vehicles on Highway 65. The addition of the Lincoln to Sacramento Commuter Bus will operate two routes in the morning and evening commute periods adding to the already fourteen commuter bus routes in Placer County.

The service requires acquisition of three new buses, approximately \$2.2 million, that would be requested through a state grant application (discussed below). Operating cost for the new service would be funded through passenger fares and local transportation funds. SPRTA staff has determined that the Lincoln to Sacramento Commuter bus project is also considered a project for the institution of passenger service on highway rights-of-way already in use and is a project statutorily exempt from further environmental review requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) of 1970 pursuant to PUC 21080(b)(10).

Local Partnership Program Grant

The Local Partnership Program grant is one of five Senate Bill 1 (SB 1) competitive grant programs entering the second cycle of funding under SB 1. The LPP provides funding to the state's highest transportation needs to counties, cities, districts, and regional transportation agencies in which voters have approved fees or taxes dedicated solely to transportation improvements or that have imposed fees, including uniform developer fees, dedicated solely to transportation improvements [as defined by Government Code Section 8879.67(b)]. Multimodal projects tend to fare the best in this program and consequently, staff is proposing to combine the Highway 65 Widening Phase 1 and the Lincoln to Sacramento Commuter Bus projects. The LPP requires projects to have 50 percent funding match. Grants are due to the California Transportation Commission (CTC) on June 30, 2020.

The SPRTA Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) concurs with the proposed allocation and the acceptance of the Notice of Exemption (NOE) for the Lincoln to Sacramento Commuter Bus project.

LM:ML:ss

RESOLUTION NO. 20-03

**A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE
SOUTH PLACER REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
ALLOCATING REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AND AIR QUALITY
MITIGATION FEE PROGRAM FEES TO THE
HIGHWAY 65 WIDENING**

WHEREAS, the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority ("Authority") was formed to provide for the coordinated planning, design, financing, acquisition, determination of the timing of construction, and construction, of certain transportation improvements located in the area of jurisdiction of the Authority; and

WHEREAS, the Authority adopted the Regional Transportation and Air Quality Mitigation Fee to finance specified transportation facilities, as specified in Authority Resolution #14-04 dated October 22, 2014; and

WHEREAS, the Capital Improvement Program has specified a total contribution to the Highway 65 Widening of \$67 million; and

WHEREAS, traffic congestion on Highway 65 is a critical problem for South Placer with impact on safety, economic vitality, and quality of life for residents, visitors, and businesses; and

WHEREAS, the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA) has funded and the final federal and state environmental documentation was approved for the Highway 65 Widening in March 2018;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Authority allocates \$2,000,000 to the PCTPA for expenditure for construction of the Highway 65 Widening.

Passed and Adopted by the Board of the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority, this 27th day of May 2020, by the following vote on roll call:

AYES Board Members:

NOES Board Members:

ABSENT Board Members:

John Allard, Chair

ATTEST:

Executive Director

RESOLUTION NO. 20-04

**A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE
SOUTH PLACER REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
ADOPTING THE NOTICE OF EXEMPTION FOR THE LINCOLN TO SACRAMENTO
COMMUTER BUS PROJECT.**

- A. **WHEREAS**, the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority ("Authority") was formed to provide for the coordinated planning, design, financing, acquisition, determination of the timing of construction, and construction, of certain transportation improvements located in the area of jurisdiction of the Authority; and
- b. **WHEREAS**, SPRTA, working closely with Placer County has identified an opportunity to provide a new commuter bus service between City of Lincoln and downtown Sacramento providing two new routes in the morning and evening commute periods; and
- c. **WHEREAS**, the Placer County Short-Range Transit Plan (2018-2025) previously accepted by PCTPA on August 22, 2018 identified the Lincoln to Sacramento Commuter Bus project; and
- d. **WHEREAS**, the Placer County Short-Range Transit Plan (2018-2025) will assist Placer County to qualify for future State, federal and local transit operating funds; and

NOW, THEREFORE, pursuant to the authority of Section 5.M of the Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement for the Planning, Design, Financing, Acquisition and Construction of Regional Transportation Improvements, dated October 1, 2003, ("JPA Agreement"), it is hereby resolved by the Board that:

1. Determines that the South Placer County Transit Project is a project for the institution of passenger service on highway rights-of-way already in use and is a project statutorily exempt from further environmental review requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) of 1970 pursuant to PUC 21080(b)(10).

Passed and Adopted by the Board of the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority, this 27th day of May, 2020, by the following vote on roll call:

AYES Board Members:

NOES Board Members:

ABSENT Board Members:

John Allard, Chair

ATTEST:

Mike Luken, Executive Director

Notice of Exemption

Appendix E

To: Office of Planning and Research
P.O. Box 3044, Room 113
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044

County Clerk

County of: _____

From: (Public Agency): _____

(Address)

Project Title: _____

Project Applicant: _____

Project Location - Specific:

Project Location - City: _____ Project Location - County: _____

Description of Nature, Purpose and Beneficiaries of Project:

Name of Public Agency Approving Project: _____

Name of Person or Agency Carrying Out Project: _____

Exempt Status: **(check one):**

- Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1); 15268);
- Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080(b)(3); 15269(a));
- Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b)(4); 15269(b)(c));
- Categorical Exemption. State type and section number: _____
- Statutory Exemptions. State code number: _____

Reasons why project is exempt:

Lead Agency

Contact Person: _____ Area Code/Telephone/Extension: _____

If filed by applicant:

1. Attach certified document of exemption finding.
2. Has a Notice of Exemption been filed by the public agency approving the project? Yes No

Signature: _____ Date: _____ Title: _____

Signed by Lead Agency Signed by Applicant

Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21110, Public Resources Code.
Reference: Sections 21108, 21152, and 21152.1, Public Resources Code.

Date Received for filing at OPR: _____

SOUTH PLACER REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

Technical Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes

June 11, 2019 – 2:00 p.m.

ATTENDANCE: Ray Leftwich, City of Lincoln
Amber Conboy, Placer County
Ken Grehm, Placer County
Katie Jackson, Placer County
Rich Moorehead, Placer County
Justin Nartker, City of Rocklin
Jake Hanson, City of Roseville
Mark Johnson, City of Roseville

STAFF: Mike Luken
Luke McNeel-Caird
Solvi Sabol

Placer Parkway Phase 1 Funding Agreement – Placer County

Ken Grehm, Placer County, had previously provided a draft of the Placer Parkway Phase 1 Funding Agreement between Placer County and SPRTA and asked the TAC to respond with any comments, questions, and/or concerns.

Mark Johnson, City of Roseville, asked that Grehm go over the funding components of the agreement - specifically, he asked for clarity on reimbursable and non-reimbursable costs for the project. Grehm explained that for the purposes of SPRTA, \$9 million-dollars of the \$58 million-dollar estimated cost for Phase 1 of the Placer Parkway, is non-reimbursable as this is a contribution from the United Auburn Indian Community (UAIC). UAIC is asking that this contribution be credited back to them if the Sunset Industrial area becomes subject to Tier II fees.

The remainder of the funding is to be paid back by SPRTA to the County through future Tier II revenues as a first-priority with interest equal to the Tier II inflation. Johnson asked if there could be an exhibit added to the agreement which outlines the funding components in a table format. Grehm will ask County Counsel if this could be included as part of the agreement.

Luke McNeel-Caird said that rather than the draft agreement referencing “Cost of Living Adjustment” (COLA), it should reflect that interest will be based on the “Construction Cost Index” (CCI). The TAC discussed the advantages and disadvantages of varying ways to calculate interest, i.e., adjustable, fixed, CCI. Ray Leftwich, City of Lincoln, said he would not support a fixed rate due to the risk involved. There was overall agreement that interest based on the CCI is the most stable in terms of risk – no one wins or loses with this methodology. Additionally, the administration of funds and payback is straightforward.

It was explained that the draft agreement identifies the UAIC payment schedule including payment of interest. Grehm affirmed that if for some reason SPRTA did not adopt the COLA (CCI), SPRTA is still liable to pay back the County with interest based on the CCI. Grehm

added that regardless of payment schedule, the County is responsible and assumes the risk in paying back UAIC.

The TAC discussed the connector roadway that UAIC is requesting. Grehm discussed the exhibit in the draft agreement of the right-in right-out access road explaining that the connector roadway is a separate expense that will be funded by UAIC which includes design, construction, and maintenance. The TAC requested language within the agreement that identifies the specifics and responsibilities of the connector road for SPRTA Board clarification.

Ken Grehm will provide an updated draft agreement to the TAC which will include clarifying changes as noted. After the TAC receives feedback from their respective city attorneys on the updated draft agreement, they will decide if a joint meeting of the city attorneys is necessary.

The meeting adjourned at 3:10 p.m.

SOUTH PLACER REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

Technical Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes

September 10, 2019 – 2:00 p.m.

ATTENDANCE: Ray Leftwich, City of Lincoln
Amber Conboy, Placer County
Katie Jackson, Placer County
Ken Grehm, Placer County
Rich Moorehead, Placer County
Dave Palmer, City of Rocklin
Jake Hanson, City of Roseville
Mark Johnson, City of Roseville

STAFF: Mike Luken
Luke McNeel-Caird
Solvi Sabol

Placer Parkway Phase 1 Funding Agreement – Placer County

Ken Grehm explained that the two main things Placer County is asking for from the partners are: 1) they will be paid back the reimbursable amount that the is being used for the purposes of funding Placer Parkway Phase 1 and 2) the partners will pay back the reimbursable amount with interest based on the Construction Cost Index (CCI).

Ken Grehm went over comments received from jurisdiction’s legal counsel.

Rocklin: Grehm explained that there was discussion with Rocklin attorney regarding “term and termination.” Grehm emphasized the importance of paying the reimbursable amount back and while Rocklin currently is done paying towards the Placer Parkway interchange because of the Whitney Ranch Interchange, depending on unforeseeable future development, this may not be an indefinite situation. The County will continue to work with their attorney and believes this issue can be resolved.

Roseville: An exhibit was added as requested by Roseville to clarify the funding components of the agreement. Grehm said this may need to be amended to ensure it calculates correctly. Additionally, “Cost of Living Adjustment” was changed to “CCI”. The City attorney also made the point that the SPRTA jurisdictions are not allowed to increase fees based on CCI if the SPRTA Board chooses to not approve a CCI adjustment in any given year. It was explained that should this occur, the interest would come out of the principal and the payment period would be extended. The County’s concern is not how the loan is paid back, just that it is paid back with interest per the term of the agreement. Grehm will work with County Counsel to clarify the language with respect to SPRTA Board approval of CCI and loan repayment based on CCI. The “connector roadway” was discussed. There was consensus that this or any roadway that keeps Placer Parkway from being the planned expressway as designed, would need SPRTA concurrence.

Lincoln: Further discussions and a separate meeting will be setup to address the City’s investment toward Placer Parkway Phase 1.

A revised Agreement will be provided to the TAC prior to the SPRTA TAC meeting on October 8. The meeting concluded at 3:00 p.m.

SOUTH PLACER REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

Technical Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes

October 9, 2019 – 2:00 p.m.

ATTENDANCE: Amber Conboy, Placer County
Katie Jackson, Placer County
Ken Grehm, Placer County
Rich Moorehead, Placer County
Keith Jukes, City of Rocklin
Dave Palmer, City of Rocklin
Mark Johnson, City of Roseville
Jake Hanson, City of Roseville

STAFF: Mike Luken
Luke McNeel-Caird
Solvi Sabol

Placer Parkway Phase 1 Funding Agreement – Placer County

Ken Grehm provided the updated draft of the Placer Parkway Phase 1 Funding Agreement. Ken explained that based on feedback from the TAC and working with their respective legal councils, there were three substantive changes based on the following comments:

- Comment:** If SPRTA does not approve a fee increase based on CCI, the increase cannot be collected.
Proposed Change: The agreement reflects that the loan would be paid with back with interest based on CCI. There is no reference to where the interest is coming from in Section 4, Page 4.
- Comment:** There needs to be reasonable assurance that there are not substantive changes to the scope to the limited access road that UAIC is requesting.
Proposed Change: The agreement reflects that any material change from what is shown as an exhibit in the agreement must be brought to SPRTA for review and concurrence.
- Comment:** The City of Rocklin wanted to opportunity to clarify their role with respect to Tier 2 fees and Whitney Ranch Parkway interchange.
Proposed Change: Agreement (Section 13) reflects that the City of Rocklin is not obligated to pay Tier 2 fees based on their construction of Whitney Ranch Parkway interchange, except for new annexations or increased densities per Tier 2 agreement. Ken will send Rocklin legal the latest agreement which reflects these changes.

The TAC was in concurrence with the updated changes. Ken will provide the Agreement it its entirety, including the FARA (UAIC Agreement with the County), and any attachments contained therein. After each jurisdiction brings to their Council's for approval, it will go to the SPRTA Board prior for their approval. Ken added that the County is unable to move forward with right-of-way acquisition until the agreement is executed.

Tier 2 Fee Update

Luke McNeel-Caird said there has been interest from the City of Lincoln to update the SPRTA fees. Additionally, there is interest in updating the current model which is from the late 1990's. The new model would be made available for the jurisdictions to utilize. Luke explained that last time the fees were updated it was approximately \$95,000, adding that there will be additional cost to update the model. The TAC agreed to go out for a Request for Proposal (RFP) to update the Tier 1 and Tier 2 fees as well as updating the model noting that in addition to cost, they are interested to know how long it would take to update.

Nelson Lane

Mike Luken went over the City of Lincoln's questions regarding Nelson Lane and Nicolaus Road interchanges – the City was unable to attend. Mike explained that the Nelson Lane and Nicolaus Road interchanges are not included in the Tier 2 fee program, but the Nelson Lane interchange is included in the sales tax expenditure plan. It was agreed that these projects be included in the next fee program call for projects to get more information.

SOUTH PLACER REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

Technical Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes

February 11, 2020 – 2:00 p.m.

ATTENDANCE: Ray Leftwich, City of Lincoln
Ken Grehm, Placer County
Stephanie Holloway, Placer County
Katie Jackson, Placer County
Rich Moorehead, Placer County
Justin Nartker, City of Rocklin
Jake Hanson, City of Roseville
Mark Johnson, City of Roseville
Jason Shykowski, City of Roseville

STAFF: Mike Luken
Luke McNeel-Caird
Solvi Sabol

Placer Parkway Phase 1 Funding Agreement – Placer County

The draft Placer Parkway Phase 1 Funding Agreement dated December 20, 2019 was provided to the TAC. Ken Grehm explained that the agreement reflects that the reimbursable amount of \$15,000,000 that the Tribe is contributing will be paid back with interest based on the CCI collected. That verbiage on repayment with interest was agreed to by the jurisdictions. The City of Rocklin and the City of Roseville expressed that they were ready to move forward with bringing this agreement to their respective Councils. The City of Lincoln said they would not move forward with the agreement based on the following:

1. The Connector Roadway that the Tribe has requested is inconsistent with design criteria of the Placer Parkway.
2. The money that the Tribe is contributing toward Placer Parkway is being funded by the UAIC compact with the state. The City of Lincoln contends that this is an inappropriate use of these funds.
3. The City of Lincoln does not benefit from Placer Parkway and therefore any proceeds generated from a South Placer transportation sales tax measure should not go toward funding Placer Parkway.
4. The agreement should not have a provision which allows the Tribe to get credit for \$9,000,000 towards undeveloped land.

Additionally, Ray expressed that the Sunset Area Plan should be included in Tier II since the Placer Parkway is a mitigation measure. Ray noted 48% of Tier II fees is being paid by the City of Lincoln.

Ken Grehm said that based on these concerns there will be further discussion.

SPRTA Fee Update

Luke McNeel-Caird said that the recent update of the MTP and RTP were big milestones for the County and region. Luke added that the Placer County area is working with an outdated model. The cost to update the Tier I and II fees, update the model, and make it SB 743 compliant is estimated at about \$350,000. Luke recommend going out for an RFP for consultant services. Other things to consider when moving forward with the RFP include maintaining the model, whether we want a countywide model or just South Placer. The TAC concurred with moving forward on the development of an RFP.

SOUTH PLACER REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

Technical Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes

April 7, 2020 – 2:00 p.m.

ATTENDANCE: Ray Leftwich, City of Lincoln
Amber Conboy, Placer County
Ken Grehm, Placer County
Katie Jackson, Placer County
Rich Moorehead, Placer County
Justin Nartker, City of Rocklin
Ryan Devore, City of Roseville
Jake Hanson, City of Roseville
Mark Johnson, City of Roseville
Jason Shykowski, City of Roseville

STAFF: Aaron Hoyt
Mike Luken
Luke McNeel-Caird
Solvi Sabol

Model and SPRTA Fee Update – Draft RFP

Mike Luken explained that several SPRTA jurisdictions inquired about updating the Tier I and Tier II Regional Impact Fee program. In addition, to the fee program there is expressed interest in updating the traffic model which Luken said would require a lot of staff resources and needed active participation by the jurisdictions.

Luke McNeel-Caird said the RFP, which would not be released until July 1, would include three components – two of which are relatively straightforward: 1) updating the travel demand model and 2) updating the Tier I and Tier II SPRTA fee programs. McNeel-Caird explained that we are specifically looking for input from the TAC on the third component of the RFP which is SB 743 integration to evaluate land use and transportation projects.

Luke McNeel-Caird said that he and Aaron Hoyt have been meeting with consultants to discuss SB 743 compliance as it relates to the traffic model. Hoyt explained that through these discussions its apparent that there is a vast amount of information, measures, and metrics available. If we move forward with developing an SB 743 module, we need a thoughtful approach with jurisdiction input on how to integrate these tools and define a best practices approach to SB 743 compliance into a travel demand forecasting model.

McNeel-Caird added the RFP would include a module to track VMT beyond Placer County boundaries. The RFP budget is estimated at \$350,000 with five-year, as-needed support services up to \$20,000 annually. McNeel-Caird said we applied but were unsuccessful in obtaining a grant for this effort, and it will be fully funded out of SPRTA administration.

The TAC recommended that the RFP request one model be developed for use with the SPRTA Tier I and Tier II fee programs and be SB 743 compliant. Each jurisdiction could then take the model and modify it for CEQA use or develop spreadsheet models for use in evaluating small scale projects. The TAC agreed that one representative from each of the

SPRTA jurisdictions and a SPRTA staff member will act as panelists in evaluating the proposals. Each jurisdiction will follow-up with a point of contact to serve on the selection panel. SPRTA staff will revise the RFP and bring it back to the TAC in May.

Cash Flow Update

Luke McNeel-Caird provided the Tier 1 cash flow update projections through FY 21/22. We are trending at \$2.6 million annually and are assuming a downward growth. McNeel-Caird went over the projects - key changes include:

- Lincoln Bypass, Ph 1 and Ph 2 – Closed Out
- Hwy 65 Widening –
 - \$3 million would go toward southbound auxiliary lane between Pleasant Grove and Galleria – would like to go to the Board in May for a resolution to match state funding application for Lincoln to Sacramento Commuter Bus

Construction Cost Index (CCI)

Luke McNeel-Caird provided the CCI for April 2020 noting the annual inflation rate went up 1.6% overall, however we are still waiting on the San Francisco inflation rate next week. The TAC agreed that they support moving forward with a CCI increase.

The TAC concluded at approximately 3:45 pm.

SOUTH PLACER REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

Technical Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes

May 12, 2020 – 2:00 p.m.

ATTENDANCE: Ray Leftwich, City of Lincoln
Amber Conboy, Placer County
Katie Jackson, Placer County
Rich Moorehead, Placer County
Mike Dour, City of Roseville
Justin Nartker, City of Rocklin
Jake Hanson, City of Roseville
Mark Johnson, City of Roseville
Jason Shykowski, City of Roseville

STAFF: Aaron Hoyt
Mike Luken
Luke McNeel-Caird
Solvi Sabol

Annual SPRTA and Tier II Fee Inflation

Luke McNeel-Caird provided the Cost Index Inflation (CCI) table which reflects a 2.88% annual inflationary adjustment. We plan to take the Board for adoption this month. Given the current economic conditions because of COVID-19, Luke asked the jurisdictions if they plan to move forward with the adjustment. The City of Rocklin and City of Lincoln will get back to us on whether they will consider moving forward. The City of Roseville plans on implementing any increase July 1. Placer County has delayed bringing to the Board of Supervisors until July to consider economic conditions. Luke said he will let the Building Industry Association (BIA) know the item is being considered by the SPRTA Board. The TAC concurred taking the CCI to the SPRTA Board.

Highway 65 Widening Phase 1 Allocation

Luke McNeel-Caird provided a cash flow update projection to the TAC in April. The projection included a \$2 million set-aside for Highway 65 which will be used as match funding for a Local Partnership Program (LPP) grant application. This program requires a 50% match which is due to the California Transportation Commission (CTC) June 30. The application would be for an auxiliary lane on Highway 65 southbound between Pleasant Grove and Galleria Boulevard and funding for two Lincoln Commuter busses. Luke added that he's talked to Placer County regarding fare recovery and LTF that would need to be included as part of the grant application. We will be asking the Board for the \$2 million allocation this month and accepting the Notice of Exemption (NOE) for the Lincoln to Sacramento Commuter Bus project. The TAC concurred with this recommendation.

Placer County Traffic Model and SPRTA Fee Update

Aaron Hoyt stated that we shared the updated Request for the Proposal (RFP) with tracked changes to the SPRTA TAC last Friday. The revisions to the RFP were based on comments received and can be grouped into three categories:

1. SB 743 Compliance: Based on the last TAC meeting, it was decided that the RFP would only request that the model be SB 743 compliant, rather than developing thresholds for SB 743 use. Jurisdictions can modify the model for their own CEQA use and/or project development purposes.
2. Expanded Geography: The revised RFP includes an optional task to extend the geography of the model beyond the eastern slope of Colfax to include the Resort Triangle area. The optional task would be shown as a separate cost line in the proposal. This was a request of Placer County and Rich Moorehead noted that these costs would be covered by Placer County.
3. Various clarifications based on comments.

Luke McNeel-Caird said that we are planning on taking the FY 20/21 budget to the Board in June. This will include a Model and Fee Update estimate. This is not an allocation as it comes out of the SPRTA administration. The TAC agreed to move forward and release the RFP on July 1.

The TAC meeting concluded at approximately 2:30 pm.