

### A G E N D A

Wednesday, May 25, 2022 10:45 AM

#### Placer County Board of Supervisor Chambers 175 Fulweiler Avenue, Auburn, CA 95603

#### PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCEDURES

The SPRTA Board meeting will be open to in-person attendance. In addition, remote teleconference participation is available to Board members and the public pursuant to the provisions of Government Code section 54953(e) due to the COVID-19 state emergency proclamation and recommendations for social distancing. Public Comment will be opened for each agenda item, and citizens may comment virtually through a Zoom meeting webinar utilizing the "raise hand" function. If you are participating by phone, please dial \*9 to "raise hand" and queue for Public Comment. Please raise your hand at the time the Chair announces the item. Public comments will also be accepted at ssabol@pctpa.net or 530-823-4030 or by mail to: PCTPA, 299 Nevada Street, Auburn, CA 95603.

#### Remote access: https://placer-ca-gov.zoom.us/i/94896083340

**You can also dial in using your phone:** US: +1 877 853 5247 (Toll Free) or 888 788 0099 (Toll Free) **Webinar ID:** 948 9608 3340

- A. Flag Salute
- B. Roll Call
- C. AB 361 Remote Teleconferencing

Mike Luken

- Adopt findings to hold this meeting by remote teleconference and declare intent to continue remote teleconference meetings pursuant to Government Code section 54953(e) due to the Governor's COVID-19 State of Emergency Proclamation and state regulations related to physical distancing.
- D. Approval of Action Minutes: March 23, 2022
- E. Agenda Review
- F. Public Comment

Action

Pg. 1

Action

Pg. 5

Board of Directors Meeting Agenda SOUTH PLACER REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY May 25, 2022 Page 2

G.	<ul> <li>Consent Calendar</li> <li>These items are expected to be routine and noncontroversial. They will be acted upon by the Board at one time without discussion. Any Board member, staff member, or interested citizen may request an item be removed from the consent calendar for discussion.</li> <li>1 Authorize Executive Director to Sign a LOTA with WSP for the Update to the SPRTA Travel Demand Model and Tier I &amp; II Impact Fee Programs.</li> </ul>	Action Pg. 7 Pg. 8
H.	<ul> <li><u>10:45 PUBLIC HEARING:</u> South Placer Regional Transportation and Air Quality Mitigation Fee Program Inflationary Adjustment 2022 <i>Mike Luken</i></li> <li>Conduct a public hearing to consider the inflationary adjustment to the South Placer Regional Transportation and Air Quality Mitigation Fee Program</li> <li>Adopt the inflationary adjustment for the South Placer Regional Transportation and Air Quality Mitigation Fee</li> </ul>	Action Pg. 12
I.	<ul> <li>FY 2021/22 Administrative Budget Amendment #2</li> <li>Mike Luken</li> <li>Approve FY 2021/22 budget amendment #2 for the administration of the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority.</li> </ul>	<b>Action</b> Pg. 27
J.	<ul> <li>FY 2022/23 Administrative Budget</li> <li>Mike Luken</li> <li>Board approval of the FY 2022/23 budget for the administration of the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority</li> </ul>	<b>Action</b> Pg. 29
K.	SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure Project: Addition of the Project to the Transportation Improvement List, Execution of Memorandum of Understanding with PCTPA for Right of Way Acquisition, Finding the Project Exempt from CEQA and Filing a Notice of Exemption, and Establishing an Administrative Process for Right of Way Acquisition and Delegate Various Authorities to the Executive Director <i>Mike Luken</i>	Action Pg. 31
L.	Executive Director's Report	Info
М.	Board Direction to Staff	
N.	<ul> <li>Informational Items</li> <li>1 TAC Minutes – May 10, 2022</li> <li>2 SPRTA Financials – Ending March 31, 2022</li> </ul>	<b>Info</b> Pg. 47 <sup>Under</sup> Separate
	Next regularly scheduled SPRTA Board Meeting	Cover

Next regularly scheduled SPRTA Board Meeting June 22, 2022



TO: SPRTA Board of Directors

DATE: May 25, 2022

#### FROM: Mike Luken, Executive Director

#### SUBJECT: <u>AB 361 REMOTE TELECONFERENCING</u>

#### Action Requested

It is recommended that the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority (SPRTA) Board adopt Resolution No. 22-08, adopting findings to hold this meeting by remote teleconference and declaring its intent to continue remote teleconference meetings pursuant to Government Code section 54953(e) due to the Governor's COVID-19 State of Emergency Proclamation and state regulations related to physical distancing.

#### **Background**

SPRTA approved Resolution No. 21-08 on October 27, 2021, making findings and declaring its intent to continue remote teleconference meetings pursuant to Government Code section 54953(e) due to the Governor's COVID-19 State of Emergency Proclamation and state regulations related to physical distancing.

Effective October 1, 2021, Assembly Bill (AB) 361 modified the provisions of the Brown Act related to holding teleconference meetings during a proclaimed state of emergency when state or local officials have imposed, or recommended measures related to physical distancing which warrant holding meetings remotely. The Governor's COVID-19 state of emergency is a proclaimed state of emergency and the California Division of Occupational Safety and Health ("Cal/OSHA") regulations related to COVID-19 recommend social distancing and regulates "close contact" which occurs when individuals are within six feet of another in certain circumstances. Therefore, this meeting is being held as a teleconference meeting pursuant to subdivision (e)(1) of the Government Code authorizing relaxed teleconference meeting rules.

#### **Discussion**

At the May Board meeting, the Board directed staff to phase out the use of this resolution if appropriate. Since that meeting, Placer County has moved into the substantial level of transmission for COVID. Given this Board memo goes out two weeks prior to the meeting, staff is keeping this on the Agenda just in case. If the Board desires to continue to meet utilizing the above-described relaxed teleconference meeting rules, AB 361 requires an ongoing finding every 30 days that the Board has reconsider the circumstances of the state of emergency and that the state emergency continues to impact the ability to "meet safely in person," or that state or local officials continue to recommend measures to promote social distancing. Gov. Code § 54953(e)(3).

#### SPRTA Board of Directors AB 361 Remote Teleconferencing May 25, 2022 Page 2

The Governor's state of emergency remains, and the Cal OSHA Regulations related to social distancing remain in place and were extended recently until December 31, 2022.

SPRTA staff is continuing to monitor the status of the Governor's state of emergency proclamation, state regulations and orders related to social distancing, and health and safety conditions related to COVID-19 and confirms that said conditions continue to exist that warrant remote teleconference meetings.

COVID-19 continues to pose health risks and is highly contagious and state guidelines remain related to physical distancing recommendations and requirements.

It is recommended that this January meeting be conducted as a remote teleconference meeting pursuant to the provisions of subdivision (e)(1) of the Government Code authorizing relaxed teleconference meeting rules. It is further recommended that the Board find that state officials continue to impose or recommend measures to promote social distancing, and at the next regularly scheduled Board meeting the Board will continue to consider the status of the ongoing emergency and facts related to the health and safety of meeting attendees due to COVID-19 and consider further ongoing findings related to Board meetings pursuant to the provisions of AB 361.

ML:SS

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 22-08**

#### A RESOLUTION MAKING FINDINGS AND DECLARING ITS INTENT TO CONTINUE REMOTE TELECONFERENCE MEETINGS PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54953(e)

`The following resolution was duly passed by the Board of the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority at a regular meeting held May 25, 2022 by the following vote on roll call:

#### AYES: NOES: ABSENT:

**WHEREAS**, the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority (SPRTA) is committed to preserving and nurturing public access and participation in meetings of the Board; and

**WHEREAS**, all legislative body meetings of SPRTA are open and public, as required by the Ralph M. Brown Act (Cal. Gov. Code 54950 – 54963), so that any member of the public may attend, participate, and observe the Board conduct its business; and

WHEREAS, Governor Newsom signed AB 361, amending the Brown Act, including Government Code section 54953(e), which makes provisions for remote teleconferencing participation in meetings by members of a legislative body, without compliance with the requirements of Government Code section 54953(b)(3), subject to the existence of certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, a required condition of AB 361 is that a state of emergency is declared by the Governor pursuant to Government Code section 8625, proclaiming the existence of conditions of disaster or of extreme peril to the safety of persons and property within the state caused by conditions as described in Government Code section 8558; and

**WHEREAS,** such conditions now exist in the State, specifically, the Governor of the State of California proclaimed a state of emergency on March 4, 2020, related to the threat of COVID-19, which remains in effect; and

WHEREAS, California Department of Public Health and the federal Centers for Disease Control and Prevention caution that the Omicron variant of COVID- 19, currently the dominant strain of COVID-19 in the country, is more transmissible than prior variants of the virus, and that even fully vaccinated individuals can spread the virus to others resulting in rapid and alarming rates of COVID-19 cases and hospitalizations (https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/variants/omicron-variant.html); and

WHEREAS, the California Division of Occupational Safety and Health ("Cal/OSHA") regulations at Title 8 Section 3205 recommends physical distancing in the workplace as precautions against the spread of COVID-19 and imposes certain restrictions and requirements due to a "close contact" which occurs when individuals are within six feet of another in certain circumstances; and

**WHEREAS,** the Board of Directors previously adopted Resolution No. 21-08 on October 27, 2021, finding that the requisite conditions exist for the legislative bodies of the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority to conduct remote teleconference meetings

without compliance with paragraph (3) of Subdivision (b) of Government Code section 54953; and

WHEREAS, the proliferation of the Omicron variant of the virus continues to pose imminent risk to health and safety and the Board hereby recognizes the proclamation of state of emergency by the Governor of the State of California and the regulations of Cal/OSHA recommending physical distancing; and

**WHEREAS,** to allow for physical distancing and remote meeting attendance, the Board intends to invoke the provisions of AB 361 as provided in Government Code section 54953, subd. (e) and such meetings of the SPRTA Board and any legislative bodies of SPRTA shall comply with the requirements to provide the public with access to the meetings as prescribed in section 54953, subd. (e)(2).

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED,** by the Board of Directors of the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority as follows:

1. The Recitals set forth above are true and correct and are incorporated into this Resolution by this reference.

2. The meetings of the Board, including this meeting, may be held with relaxed teleconference rules pursuant to the provisions of subdivision (e)(2), due to the current Governor's state of emergency proclamation and Cal/OSHA recommendations for social distancing satisfying subdivision (e)(1)(A), of section 54953 of the Government Code.

3. The Board of Directors hereby considers the conditions of the state of emergency and the state recommendations and regulations related to social distancing and reauthorizes remote teleconference meetings.

4. Staff is hereby directed to take all actions necessary to carry out the intent and purpose of this Resolution including, conducting open and public meetings of the Board and all SPRTA legislative bodies in accordance with subdivision (e) of Government Code section 54953 for remote teleconference meetings.

5. Staff is further directed to continue to monitor the health and safety conditions related to COVID-19, the status of the Governor's state of emergency proclamation, the state regulations related to social distancing, and the local orders related to health and safety, and present to the Board at its next regularly scheduled meeting the related information and recommendations for continued remote meetings pursuant to the provisions of paragraph Government Code section 54953, subdivision (e)(3), and to consider extending the time during which the Board may continue to meet by teleconference without compliance with paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of section 54953.

Michael Luken Executive Director Paul Joiner, Chair

Attest:

Solvi Sabol, Board Secretary



#### ACTION MINUTES March 23, 2022

A regular meeting of the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority Board convened on Wednesday, March 23, 2022, at approximately 10:45 a.m. at the Placer County Board of Supervisors Chambers, 175 Fulweiler Avenue, Auburn, California.

STAFF:

#### BOARD IN ATTENDANCE:

Ken Broadway Jim Holmes Bruce Houdesheldt Paul Joiner, Chair Rick Carter Mike Costa Aaron Hoyt Jodi LaCosse Mike Luken David Melko Solvi Sabol

Chair Joiner explained the meeting procedures to the Board and public as it as it pertains to participating by means of a teleconference under Government Code section 54953(e) due to the COVID-19 state emergency proclamation and recommendations for social distancing. Staff reports and a video of this meeting are available at: https://pctpa.net/http-pctpa-net-sprta-board-of-directors-meeting-agendas/.

#### AB 361 REMOTE TELECONFERENCING

Upon motion by Broadway second by Holmes, the Board adopted Resolution No. 22-03, adopting findings to hold this meeting by remote teleconference and declaring its intent to continue remote teleconference meetings pursuant to Government Code section 54953(e) due to the Governor's COVID-19 State of Emergency Proclamation and state regulations related to physical distancing by the following roll call vote:

AYES: Broadway, Holmes, Houdesheldt, Joiner NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

#### **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

Upon motion by Houdesheldt and second by Holmes, the minutes of January 23, 2022, were approved by the following roll call vote:

AYES: Broadway, Holmes, Houdesheldt, Joiner

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

1

#### AGENDA REVIEW

There were no changes to the agenda as presented.

#### **PUBLIC COMMENT**

There was no public comment.

#### REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AND AIR QUALITY MITIGATION FEE ALLOCATION REQUESTS FOR THE I-80 AUXILIARY LANES, SOUTH PLACER TRANSIT, AND I-80/SR 65 INTERCHANGE PROJECTS; AND A TIER II DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEE ALLOCATION REQUEST FOR THE PLACER PARKWAY PHASE 1 PROJECT

*Staff report and presentation presented by Rick Carter, Deputy Executive Director.* Public comment was received from Michael Garabedian, Placer Tomorrow.

Upon motion by Broadway and second by Holmes the Board approved the actions as requested below by the following roll call vote:

- AYES: Broadway, Holmes, Houdesheldt, Joiner
- NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

#### Action Requested

- Approve the inclusion of the I-80 Auxiliary Lanes project in the Tier I Regional Transportation and Air Quality Mitigation Fee program update, with a construction funding commitment not to exceed \$10 million.
- Adopt Resolution #22-04 allocating \$10,000,000 of Regional Transportation and Air Quality Mitigation Fee program (Tier I) funds to the I-80 Auxiliary Lanes project for construction phase.
- Adopt Resolution #22-05 allocating \$137,000 of Regional Transportation and Air Quality Mitigation Fee program (Tier I) funds to the South Placer Transit project for design phase.
- Adopt Resolution #22-06 allocating \$302,000 of Regional Transportation and Air Quality Mitigation Fee program (Tier I) funds to the I-80/SR 65 Interchange project for design studies.
- 5) Adopt Resolution #22-07 allocating \$6,000,000 of Tier II Development Impact Fee (Tier II) funds to the Placer Parkway Interchange project for the right-of-way (ROW) and utility relocation phase.

#### **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT**

In the interest of time, Mike Luken did not provide an Executive Director's report this month.

#### ADJOURN

The SPRTA Board meeting concluded at approximately 10:57 a.m.

A video of this meeting is available at: <u>https://pctpa.net/http-pctpa-net-sprta-board-of-directors-meeting-agendas/</u>

Michael W. Luken, Executive Director

Paul Joiner, Chair



City of Lincoln • City of Rocklin • City of Roseville • Placer County

#### TO: SPRTA Board of Directors

DATE: May 25, 2022

#### FROM: Mike Luken, Executive Director

#### SUBJECT: CONSENT CALENDAR

Below is the Consent Calendar item for the May 25, 2022 agenda for your review and action.

 Authorize Executive Director to Sign a LOTA with WSP for the Update to the SPRTA Travel Demand Model and Tier I & II Impact Fee Programs. Staff recommends the Board authorize the Executive Director to execute the attached Letter of Task Agreement (LOTA) with WSP to modify the existing scope of work and authorize work continuing into Fiscal Year 2022/23. WSP and its subconsultants continue to develop the update to the SPRTA Tier I and II Fee Programs. Additional work is required, as outlined in the attachment, including responding to additional agency comments, allocating previous revenues to existing projects, and complying with new requirements necessitated by the recent passage of Assembly Bill 602. These changes will add \$74,685 for an updated not-to-exceed amount of \$568,276.

#### Placer County Transportation Planning Agency

SANDY AMARA City of Auburn TRINITY BURRUSS City of Colfax PAUL JOINER City of Lincoln BRIAN BAKER Town of Loomis KEN BROADWAY City of Rocklin BRUCE HOUDESHELDT City of Roseville JIM HOLMES SUZANNE JONES Placer County DAN WILKINS Citizen Representative MIKE LUKEN Executive Director

May 25, 2022

Paula J. Gartner Senior Vice President WSP USA, INC. 2150 River Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Sacramento, CA 95833

# SUBJECT:LETTER OF TASK AGREEMENT #22-01<br/>BETWEEN WSP USA, INC. AND THE<br/>PLACER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY

Dear Mrs. Gartner:

This letter, when countersigned, authorizes work under the "Master Agreement between Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA) and WSP USA, INC. (Contractor)," dated September 23, 2020 (Master Agreement).

- 1. <u>Incorporated Master Agreement:</u> The terms of the Master Agreement are incorporated herein by this reference, as if full set forth herein. This letter of Task Agreement (LOTA) is the statement of contract-specific requirements applicable to the work effort to be undertaken by Contractor and its subconsultants for the SPRTA Model and Tier I & II Regional Impact Fee Program Update.
- 2. <u>Term:</u> Contractor services authorized by this LOTA are to continue through June 30, 2023. Extensions to this contract may be made with the agreement of both parties and subject to the annual SPRTA budget authorization.
- 3. <u>Scope of Services:</u> Contractor shall perform a sub-set of tasks from the overall scope of work, dated October 27, 2020, through fiscal year (FY) 22/23. Additional scope of work is needed to complete the project, as identified in Exhibit A, and is authorized by this LOTA.
- 4. <u>Compensation:</u> As set forth in the Amended Project Budget, attached hereto as Exhibit A, Contractor shall be compensated for time and materials for a total not to exceed \$468,276 for all work completed through June 30, 2023. Subsequent LOTAs may be authorized based on the annual SPRTA budgeting process. Invoices shall be submitted monthly for work completed. Such invoices shall include tasks completed, hours associated with each task, and hourly rate. Invoices will be paid within thirty (30) days of receipt.
- 5. <u>Personnel and Subconsultants</u>: Contractor will manage the project and its team of subconsultants, as set forth in the overall scope of work, dated October 27, 2020. Contractor represents that its personnel and subconsultant team specially trained, licensed, and/or have the experience necessary to competently perform the services and shall comply with all applicable laws, regulations, and guidelines to complete the Project.

May 25, 2022 Letter of Task Agreement #22-01 Page 2

Each Contractor subcontract shall contain the applicable provisions of the Master Agreement, including Sections 26 (Minimum Insurance Requirements) and 32 (Indemnification), and shall require each subconsultant to indemnify PCTPA and include PCTPA as an additional insured on applicable insurance policies

If this Letter of Task Agreement meets with your approval, please sign and return one copy. Questions concerning this agreement and the project in general should be directed to Rick Carter at (530) 823-4033.

Sincerely,

Accepted by:

Michael W. Luken Date Executive Director Placer County Transportation Planning Agency

Paula J. Cartner

5/10/2022 Paula J. Gartner Date Senior Vice President, NorCal District Leader WSP USA, INC.

c. Exhibit A – Project Budget

#### Exhibit A

#### SPRTA Nexus Study Update - Scope Amendment

The purpose of these amendments are to enable WSP and its subconsultant, GHD, to support PCTPA in some activities that were not anticipated in the original RFP and contract, but which PCTPA has deemed necessary for the successful adoption of the adjusted fees.

The work to be performed by WSP under this amendment is:

#### Task 0 – Project Management

• The original budget anticipated the Nexus Study being adopted by June 2022. The new schedule anticipates the Nexus Study Update adopted by December 31, 2022. The remaining Task 7 Technical Support will be ongoing and managed through a small number of hours through 2027. This amendment adds hours for staff to develop and process invoices, develop LOTA agreements, and execute contract amendments for future technical support tasks.

#### Task 4 – SPRTA Engagement

• 23 additional check in meetings.

#### Task 8 – Additional Tasks

• WSP will provide quality control and staff support for the five additional sub tasks listed below.

#### Additional GHD Scope of Work

The work to be performed by GHD under this amendment is:

#### Task 8 – Additional Tasks

- <u>Additional Model Scenarios</u>: GHD will perform select link analyses for up to six (6) additional land use/roadway network scenarios. These scenarios are to be selected by PCTPA and include such things as with and without Valley View Parkway, revisions to the expected enrollment of the state university campus, and revising the boundaries of SPRTA districts.
- <u>Project Cost Estimation:</u> GHD will assist PCTPA to develop an appropriate set of cost estimates for each project on the SPRTA project list. This includes allocation of previous revenue to individual projects. PCTPA will provide the revenue already collected from each fee district.
- <u>AB-602 Assistance LOS Policy:</u> GHD will assist PCTPA to develop a LOS standard pursuant to Section 66016.5(a)(2).
- <u>AB-602 Assistance Reverse Engineering Earlier Nexus Studies:</u> AB-602, specifically Section 66016.5.(a)(4), requires any agency proposing to increase a fee to review the assumptions used in the original fee. GHD will assist PCTPA to determine how the fees in the 2014 nexus study were calculated so that the new nexus study can comply with this requirement.
- <u>AB-602 Assistance Floor Space Issues:</u> GHD will assist PCTPA in revising the fee methodology to comply with the new requirement in Section 66016.5.(a) (5) under AB-602. This will include developing floor-space-based fees and preparing forecasts of future residential growth using square feet as the unit of measurement rather than dwelling units.
- <u>Meetings and Presentations:</u> GHD will prepare presentation materials for and participate in up to 6 meetings with stakeholders.
- <u>Status Meetings:</u> GHD will participate in up to 23 additional status meetings.

Additional Budget for Work above:				
	WSP	GHD		
Task 0	6,327			
Task 4	8,723			
Task 8	5,625	54,009		
Subtotal	\$20,676	\$54,009		
TOTAL	\$74,685			

Additional Budget for Work above

Contract Amendment Total: \$74,685

#### **Amended Project Budget**

	Tasks	Overall Project Budget	Total Authorized Budget Through June 30, 2023
Task 0	Project Management	\$23,924	\$23,924
Task 1	TDF Model Development	\$118,474	\$118,474
Task 2	Regional Impact Fee Program Update	\$73,589	\$73,589
Task 3	SB-743 Compliance	\$16,391	\$16,391
Task 4	SPRTA Engagement	\$37,974	\$37,974
Task 5	Stakeholder Engagement	\$115,900	\$115,900
Task 6	SPRTA TDF Model User Manual	\$22,389	\$22,389
Task 7	SPRTA Support Services	\$100,000	\$0
Task 8	Additional Tasks	\$59,634	\$59,634
	Total	\$568,276	\$468,276



City of Lincoln 

City of Rocklin

City of Roseville

Placer County

#### TO: SPRTA Board of Directors

DATE: May 25, 2022

FROM: Rick Carter, Deputy Executive Director

#### SUBJECT: <u>10:45 A.M. PUBLIC HEARING: SOUTH PLACER REGIONAL</u> <u>TRANSPORTATION AND AIR QUALITY MITIGATION FEE PROGRAM</u> <u>INFLATIONARY ADJUSTMENT 2022</u>

#### Action Requested

- 1. Conduct a public hearing to consider the inflationary adjustment to the South Placer Regional Transportation and Air Quality Mitigation Fee Program
- 2. Approve Resolution #22-09, adopting the inflationary adjustment for the South Placer Regional Transportation and Air Quality Mitigation Fee

#### **Background**

In April 2002, the SPRTA Board adopted the Regional Transportation and Air Quality Mitigation Fee, which assessed new development for its impacts on specified regional transportation facilities. These fees went into effect on July 1, 2002. SPRTA Joint Powers Agreement (JPA), amended October 2003, provides that an annual inflationary adjustment to the fees, based on the published Construction Cost Index, be brought to the Board for consideration.

#### **Discussion**

The Board adopted a comprehensive Fee Program update in October 2014, which reflects the latest project construction costs, and an inflationary adjustment each year from 2015 to 2021. The annual inflationary adjustment based on the Construction Cost Index ensures that funds collected are enough to build the projects.

In accordance with the provisions of the JPA and based on the most current Construction Cost Index figures shown in Attachment 1, the annual inflationary adjustment has been calculated to be 11.98098%. The resulting fee schedules are shown in Attachment 2.

#### Adoption of Fee Program Adjustment

The SPRTA TAC has reviewed the updated fee schedules and concurs with approval by the Board. In accordance with the Joint Powers Agreement, any changes to the Mitigation Fee must be adopted by unanimous vote of the four SPRTA members and is specified in Resolution #22-09. All legal requirements, including public notices, have been met. Additionally, the Building Industry Association's representative was notified of the increase in April and provided no comments. If adopted, the fees would go into effect on July 1, 2022.

The Tier II Development Fee Program will also be updated to reflect the same annual inflationary adjustments, which each jurisdiction directly implements.

#### Attachments

RRC:ss:ML

Agenda Item H

#### Attachment 1

<b>Construction Cost Index Inflation</b> SPRTA Fees (Regional Mitigation Fee and Tier 2 Fee)							
Date	20 Cities	San Fran.	Average	% Change			
Apr-21	11849 31	13157 41	12503 36				
7 (p) <b>2</b> (	11010.01	1010111	12000.00		2021-2022 Summary		
Apr-22	12898.96	15103.81	14001.39	11.98098%	11.98%		
			20	022Summary			
				8.86% Per	cent change for 20 City Average		
				14.79% Per	cent change for San Francisco		
-	Date Apr-21 Apr-22	Date 20 Cities Apr-21 11849.31	Date         20 Cities         San Fran.           Apr-21         11849.31         13157.41           Apr-22         12898.96         15103.81	Date         20 Cities         San Fran.         Average           Apr-21         11849.31         13157.41         12503.36           Apr-22         12898.96         15103.81         14001.39           2         2	Date         20 Cities         San Fran.         Average         % Change           Apr-21         11849.31         13157.41         12503.36           Apr-22         12898.96         15103.81         14001.39         11.98098%           2022Summary         8.86% Per 14.79% Per		

UPDATED: 4/11/2022

## 2014 SPRTA Impact Fee Update With 2015 to 2022 Inflation Adjustment Updated for ITE Trip Generation, 9th Edition Detailed DUE Rates

ITE			DM Deck P	Tain	0/ 1-	1/1.4-	DUIE
ITE Code	Land Use Category		P.M. Peak Hour Trip Rate Per Unit <sup>1</sup>	Trip Length <sup>2</sup>	% New Trips <sup>2</sup>	VMT perUnit	DUE per Unit
COUC	Industrial		mp nator of onit	Laight	mpo	pa onit	pa onit
110	Light Industrial	0.97	0.97/1,000 s.f.	5.1	92	4.55	0.910
120	Heavy Industrial	0.19	0.19/1,000 s.f.	5.1	92	0.89	0.178
130	Industrial Park	0.85	0.85/1,000 s.f.	5.1	92	3.99	0.798
140	Manufacturing	0.73	0.73/1,000 s.f.	5.1	92	3.43	0.685
150	Warehousing	0.32	0.32/1,000 s.f.	5.1	92	1.50	0.300
151	Mini-Warehousing	0.26	0.26/1,000 s.f.	3.1	92	0.74	0.148
210	Residential Single Family	1.00	1.00/DU	5	100	5.00	1.000
220	Apartment	0.62	0.62/DU	5	100	3.10	0.620
231	Attached Condominium/Townhome	0.78	0.78/DU	5	100	3.90	0.780
240	Mobile Home Park	0.59	0.59/DU	5	100	2.95	0.590
251	Senior Adult Housing - Detached	0.27	0.27/DU	5	100	1.35	0.270
252	Senior Adult Housing - Attached	0.23	0.23/DU	5	100	1.15	0.230
253	Congregate Care	0.17	0.17/DU	2.8	74	0.35	0.070
260	Recreational Home	0.26	0.26/DU	2.8	75	0.55	0.109
210	Lodging Hotel	0.60	0.00/0	6.4	71	2.73	0.545
310 311	All Suites Hotel	0.60	0.60/Room 0.40/Room	6.4 6.4	71	1.82	0.545 0.364
312	Business Hotel	0.40	0.62/Room	6.4	71	2.82	0.563
320	Motel	0.47	0.47/Room	6.4	59	1.77	0.355
	Recreational						
411	City Park	0.16	0.16/Acre	6.4	90	0.92	0.184
430	Golf Course	2.92	2.92/Hole	7.1	90	18.66	3.732
444	Movie Theater	3.80	3.80/1,000 s.f.	2.3	85	7.43	1.486
492	Health/Fitness Club	3.53	3.53/1,000 s.f.	3	75	7.94	1.589
493	Athletic Club Basesstianal Community Conten	5.96	5.96/1,000 s.f.	3	75 75	13.41	2.682
495	Recreational Community Center Institutional	2.74	2.74/1,000 s.f.	3	75	6.17	1.233
520	Elementary School	1.21	1.21/1,000 s.f.	4.3	80	4.16	0.832
536	Private School (K - 12)	1.70	1.70/1000 s.f.	4.3	80	5.85	1.170
530	High School	0.97	0.97/1,000 s.f.	4.3	90	3.75	0.751
560	Church	0.55	0.55/1,000 s.f.	3.9	90	1.93	0.386
565	Day Care Center	12.34	12.34/1,000 s.f.	2	74	18.26	3.653
590	Library	7.30	7.30/1,000 s.f.	3.9	90	25.62	5.125
	Medical						
610	Hospital	0.93	0.93/1,000 s.f.	6.4	77	4.58	0.917
620	Nursing Home	0.74	0.74/1,000 s.f.	2.8	75	1.55	0.311
630	Clinic Office	5.18	5.18/1,000 s.f.	4.8	92	22.87	4.575
710	Up to 50,000 s.f.	4.26	4.26/1,000 s.f.	5.1	92	19.99	3.998
	50,001 - 150,000 s.f.	1.90	1.90/1,000 s.f.	5.1	92	8.91	1.783
	150,001 - 300,000 s.f.	1.47	1.47/1,000 s.f.	5.1	92	6.90	1.379
	300,001 - 500,000 s.f.	1.32	1.32/1,000 s.f.	5.1	92	6.19	1.239
	500,000 - 800,000 s.f.	1.24	1.24/1,000 s.f.	5.1	92	5.82	1.164
	> 800,000 s.f.	1.22	1.22/1,000 s.f.	5.1	92	5.72	1.145
720	Medical - Dental Office Building	3.57	3.57/1,000 s.f.	5.1	77	14.02	2.804
012	Retail Building Materials & Lumber Yard	4.40	4 40/1 000 - 6	1.7	36	2.75	0.550
812 826	Specialty Center	4.49 2.71	4.49/1,000 s.f. 2.71/1,000 s.f.	1.7 3.6	30 78	2.75 7.61	0.550 1.522
820	Discount Store	4.98	4.98/1,000 s.f.	1.8	57	5.11	1.022
816	Hardware Store	4.84	4.84/1,000 s.f.	1.7	36	2.96	0.592
817	Nursery	6.94	6.94/1,000 s.f.	1.7	36	4.25	0.849
820	Shopping Center						
	< 200,000 s.f.	5.99	5.99/1,000 s.f.	1.8	59	6.36	1.272
	200,001-500,000 s.f.	3.96	3.96/1,000 s.f.	2.3	76	6.92	1.384
	500,000s.f1,000,000 s.f.	3.08	3.08/1,000 s.f.	3	78	7.21	1.441
_	>1,000,000 s.f.	2.72	2.72/1000 s.f.	3.6	78	7.64	1.528
931	Quality Restaurant	7.49	7.49/1,000 s.f.	2.5	79 76	14.79	2.959
932	High Turnover Restaurant	9.85	9.85/1,000 s.f.	1.9	76	14.22	2.845
933 934	Fast Food w/o Drive-In Fast Food Drive-In	26.15 32.65	26.15/1,000 s.f. 32.65/1,000 s.f.	1.7 1.7	49 49	21.78 27.20	4.357 5.439
934 941	Quick Lube Vehicle Shop	5.19	5.19/Srvc. Pos.	2.2	83	9.48	1.895
942	Automobile Care Center	3.11	3.11/1,000 s.f.	2.2	83	5.68	1.136
841	New Car Sales	2.62	2.62/1,000 s.f.	2.4	76	4.78	0.956
843	Automobile Parts Sales	5.98	5.98/1,000 s.f.	3.6	78	16.79	3.358
944	Gasoline/Service Station	13.87	13.87/Fueling Pos.	1.9	20	5.27	1.054
945	Gas/Serv. Stn. W/Conv. Market	13.51	13.51/Fueling Pos.	1.9	20	5.13	1.027
946	Gas/Serv. Stn. W/Conv. Mkt./Wash	13.86	13.86/Fueling Pos.	1.9	20	5.27	1.053
848	Tire Store	4.15	4.15/1,000 s.f.	2.2	80	7.30	1.461
850	Supermarket	9.48 52.41	9.48/1,000 s.f.	1.7	48 22	7.74	1.547
851 852	Convenience Market 24-hour Convenience Market < 24-hour	52.41 34.57	52.41/1,000 s.f. 34.57/1,000 s.f.	1.5 1.5	22	17.30 11.41	3.459 2.282
852 853	Convenience Market < 24-nour Convenience Market w/Gas Pumps	50.92	50.92/1,000 s.f.	1.5	22	16.80	3.361
855	Discount Club	4.18	4.18/1,000 s.f.	2.3	79	7.60	1.519
862	Home Improvement Superstore	2.33	2.33/1,000 s.f.	1.8	52	2.18	0.436
863	Electronics Superstore	4.50	4.50/1,000 s.f.	1.8	60	4.86	0.972
864	Toy/Childrens Superstore	4.99	4.99/1,000 s.f.	1.8	59	5.30	1.060
880	Drugstore W/O Drive-Thru	8.40	8.40/1,000 s.f.	1.8	47	7.11	1.421
881	Drugstore W/Drive-Thru	9.91	9.91/1,000 s.f.	1.8	51	9.10	1.819
890	Furniture Store	0.45	0.45/1,000 s.f.	3.6	78	1.26	0.253
911 912	Walk-In Bank	12.13	12.13/1,000 s.f.	1.6	77	14.94	2.989
	Drive-In Bank	24.30	24.30/1,000 s.f.	1.6	57	22.16	4.432

			UPDATED: 4/11/2022
SPRTA Impact Fees	2015 1 1 1	terrent Frankright B. C. 100-100	04
Jurisdiction: Placer County District: Dry Creek		tment Factor for Inflation = 1.02469 tment Factor for Inflation = 1.03235	
2014 Cost per DUE: \$589.00		tment Factor for Inflation = 1.03233	
2014 Cost per DOL: \$589.00		tment Factor for Inflation = 1.02735	
		tment Factor for Inflation = $1.02452$	
		tment Factor for Inflation = $1.02883$	
		tment Factor for Inflation = 1.03208	
		tment Factor for Inflation = 1.11980	
	•		th Inflation = \$798.92
ITE		DUE	Fee
Code Land Use Category	Unit	per Unit	per Unit
Industrial 110 Light Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.910	\$727.02
120 Heavy Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.178	\$142.21
130 Industrial Park	1,000 s.f.	0.798	\$637.54
140 Manufacturing	1,000 s.f.	0.685	\$547.26
150 Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.300	\$239.68
151 Mini-Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.148	\$118.24
Residential			
210 Single Family	DU	1.000	\$798.92
220 Apartment	DU	0.620	\$495.33
231 Attached Condominium/Townhome 240 Mobile Home Park	DU DU	0.780 0.590	\$623.16 \$471.36
251 Senior Adult Housing - Detached	DU	0.270	\$215.71
251 Senior Adult Housing - Detached 252 Senior Adult Housing - Attached	DU	0.230	\$183.75
252 Senior Adult Housing - Aduened 253 Congregate Care	DU	0.070	\$55.92
260 Recreational Home	DU	0.109	\$87.08
Lodging			
310 Hotel	Room	0.545	\$435.41
311 All Suites Hotel	Room	0.364	\$290.81 \$440.70
312 Business Hotel 320 Motel	Room Room	0.563 0.355	\$449.79 \$283.62
Recreational	Room	0.333	\$203.02
411 City Park	Acre	0.184	\$147.00
430 Golf Course	Hole	3.732	\$2,981.58
444 Movie Theater	1,000 s.f.	1.486	\$1,187.20
492 Health/Fitness Club	1,000 s.f.	1.589	\$1,269.49
493 Athletic Club	1,000 s.f.	2.682	\$2,142.71
495 Recreational Community Center	1,000 s.f.	1.233	\$985.07
Institutional 520 Elementary School	1,000 s.f.	0.832	\$664.70
536 Private School (K - 12)	1,000 s.f.	1.170	\$934.74
530 High School	1,000 s.f.	0.751	\$599.99
560 Church	1,000 s.f.	0.386	\$308.38
565 Day Care Center	1,000 s.f.	3.653	\$2,918.46
590 Library	1,000 s.f.	5.125	\$4,094.48
Medical			
610 Hospital	1,000 s.f.	0.917	\$732.61
620 Nursing Home	1,000 s.f.	0.311	\$248.46
630 Clinic Office	1,000 s.f.	4.575	\$3,655.07
710 Up to 50,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	3.998	\$3,194.09
50,001-150,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.783	\$1,424.48
150,001-300,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.379	\$1,101.71
300,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.239	\$989.87
500,001-800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.164	\$929.95
> 800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.145	\$914.77
720 Medical - Dental Office Building Retail	1,000 s.f.	2.804	\$2,240.18
812 Lumber Yard	1,000 s.f.	0.550	\$439.41
812 Eulificer Faile 814 Specialty Center	1,000 s.f.	1.522	\$1,215.96
815 Discount Store	1,000 s.f.	1.022	\$816.50
816 Hardware Store	1,000 s.f.	0.592	\$472.96
817 Nursery	1,000 s.f.	0.849	\$678.29
820 Shopping Center			
< 200,000 s.f. 200,001,500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.272	\$1,016.23
200,001-500,000 s.f. 500,000s.f1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	1.384 1.441	\$1,105.71 \$1,151.25
>1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.528	\$1,151.25 \$1,220.75
931 Quality Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.959	\$1,220.75 \$2,364.01
932 High Turnover Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.845	\$2,272.93
933 Fast Food w/o Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	4.357	\$3,480.91
934 Fast Food Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	5.439	\$4,345.34
941 Quick Lube Vehicle Shop	Service Pos.	1.895	\$1,513.96
942 Automobile Care Center	1,000 s.f.	1.136	\$907.58
<ul><li>841 New Car Sales</li><li>843 Automobile Parts Sales</li></ul>	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f	0.956	\$763.77 \$2.682.78
944 Gas Station	1,000 s.f. Fueling Position	3.358 1.054	\$2,682.78 \$842.06
945 Gas Station w/Convenience Market	Fueling Position	1.027	\$820.49
946 Gas/Serv. Stn. W/Conv. Mkt./Wash	Fueling Position	1.053	\$841.27
848 Tire Store	1,000 s.f.	1.461	\$1,167.23
850 Supermarket	1,000 s.f.	1.547	\$1,235.93
851 Convenience Market 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	3.459	\$2,763.47
852 Convenience Market < 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	2.282	\$1,823.14
853 Convenience Market w/Gas Pumps	1,000 s.f.	3.361	\$2,685.18
861 Discount Club	1,000 s.f.	1.519	\$1,213.56
862 Home Improvement Superstore 863 Electronics Superstore	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f	0.436 0.972	\$348.33 \$776.55
863 Electronics Superstore 864 Toy/Childrens Superstore	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	1.060	\$776.55 \$846.86
880 Drugstore W/O Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.421	\$846.86 \$1,135.27
881 Drugstore W/Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.421	\$1,453.24
890 Furniture Store	1,000 s.f.	0.253	\$202.13
	1,000 s.f.	2.989	\$2,387.98
911 Walk-In Bank	1,000 s.f.		

			U	PDATED: 4/11/2022
	RTA Impact Fees			
Jurisc Distri	diction: Placer County		stment Factor for Inflation = 1.0246904 stment Factor for Inflation = 1.032358	
	ict: Granite Bay Cost per DUE: \$587.00		stment Factor for Inflation = $1.032338$	
2014			stment Factor for Inflation = $1.027351$	
			stment Factor for Inflation = 1.024523	
			stment Factor for Inflation = 1.028833	
			stment Factor for Inflation = $1.0320842$	
		2022 Annual Adjus	stment Factor for Inflation = 1.119809	
ITE			Cost per DUE With DUE	Fee
	Land Use Category	Unit	per Unit	per Unit
	Industrial		•	•
	Light Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.910	\$724.55
	Heavy Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.178	\$141.73 \$635.38
130 140		1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	0.798 0.685	\$545.40
150		1,000 s.f.	0.300	\$238.86
151	Mini-Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.148	\$117.84
	Residential			
210	Single Family Apartment	DU DU	1.000 0.620	\$796.21 \$493.65
	Attached Condominium/Townhome	DU	0.780	\$621.04
	Mobile Home Park	DU	0.590	\$469.76
251	Senior Adult Housing - Detached	DU	0.270	\$214.98
252	e	DU	0.230	\$183.13
253		DU DU	0.070 0.109	\$55.73 \$86.79
260	Recreational Home Lodging	DU	0.109	\$86.79
310	Hotel	Room	0.545	\$433.93
311	All Suites Hotel	Room	0.364	\$289.82
	Business Hotel	Room	0.563	\$448.27
320	Motel Recreational	Room	0.355	\$282.65
411	City Park	Acre	0.184	\$146.50
	Golf Course	Hole	3.732	\$2,971.45
444	Movie Theater	1,000 s.f.	1.486	\$1,183.17
	Health/Fitness Club	1,000 s.f.	1.589	\$1,265.18
493 495	Athletic Club	1,000 s.f.	2.682 1.233	\$2,135.43
495	Recreational Community Center Institutional	1,000 s.f.	1.233	\$981.73
520	Elementary School	1,000 s.f.	0.832	\$662.45
	Private School (K - 12)	1,000 s.f.	1.170	\$931.57
	High School	1,000 s.f.	0.751	\$597.95
560		1,000 s.f.	0.386	\$307.34
565 590		1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	3.653 5.125	\$2,908.55 \$4,080.58
570	Medical	1,000 5.1.	0.120	\$4,000.50
	Hospital	1,000 s.f.	0.917	\$730.12
	Nursing Home	1,000 s.f.	0.311	\$247.62
630	Clinic Office	1,000 s.f.	4.575	\$3,642.66
710	Up to 50,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	3.998	\$3,183.25
	50,001-150,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.783	\$1,419.64
	150,001-300,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.379	\$1,097.97
	300,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.239	\$986.50
	500,001-800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	1.164 1.145	\$926.79 \$911.66
720	> 800,000 s.f. Medical - Dental Office Building	1,000 s.f.	2.804	\$2,232.57
	Retail			
812		1,000 s.f.	0.550	\$437.92
814		1,000 s.f.	1.522	\$1,211.83
	Discount Store Hardware Store	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	1.022 0.592	\$813.73 \$471.36
817		1,000 s.f.	0.849	\$675.98
820	5	, <del>-</del>		
	< 200,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.272	\$1,012.78
	200,001-500,000 s.f. 500,000s f. 1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	1.384 1.441	\$1,101.95 \$1,147.34
	500,000s.f1,000,000 s.f. >1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	1.441	\$1,147.34 \$1,216.61
931		1,000 s.f.	2.959	\$2,355.98
932	High Turnover Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.845	\$2,265.22
	Fast Food w/o Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	4.357	\$3,469.09
	Fast Food Drive-In	1,000 s.f. Somios Pos	5.439	\$4,330.59
	Quick Lube Vehicle Shop Automobile Care Center	Service Pos. 1,000 s.f.	1.895 1.136	\$1,508.82 \$904.49
	New Car Sales	1,000 s.f.	0.956	\$761.18
843	Automobile Parts Sales	1,000 s.f.	3.358	\$2,673.67
944		Fueling Position	1.054	\$839.21
945 946		Fueling Position	1.027	\$817.71 \$838.41
	Tire Store	Fueling Position 1,000 s.f.	1.053 1.461	\$838.41 \$1,163.26
850		1,000 s.f.	1.547	\$1,231.74
851	Convenience Market 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	3.459	\$2,754.09
	Convenience Market < 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	2.282	\$1,816.95
853	1	1,000 s.f.	3.361	\$2,676.06
		1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	1.519 0.436	\$1,209.44 \$347.15
861		1,000 s.f.	0.972	\$773.92
861 862	Electronics Superstore	1,000 s.f.	1.060	\$843.98
861 862 863 864 880	Electronics Superstore Toy/Childrens Superstore Drugstore W/O Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	1.421	\$1,131.41
861 862 863 864 880 881	Electronics Superstore Toy/Childrens Superstore Drugstore W/O Drive-Thru Drugstore W/Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	1.421 1.819	\$1,131.41 \$1,448.31
861 862 863 864 880	Electronics Superstore Toy/Childrens Superstore Drugstore W/O Drive-Thru Drugstore W/Drive-Thru Furniture Store	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	1.421	\$1,131.41

			UP	DATED: 4/11/2022
	RTA Impact Fees	2015 4 1 4 1		
Distr	diction: Lincoln ict: Lincoln		stment Factor for Inflation = 1.0246904 stment Factor for Inflation = 1.0323580	
	Cost per DUE: \$1,369.00	5	stment Factor for Inflation $= 1.0245216$	
			stment Factor for Inflation = $1.0273511$	
			stment Factor for Inflation = 1.0245234	
			stment Factor for Inflation = 1.0288336	
			stment Factor for Inflation = $1.0320842$	
		2022 Annual Adju	stment Factor for Inflation = $1.1198098$	
ITE			Cost per DUE With Ir DUE	flation = \$1,856.92 Fee
	Land Use Category	Unit	per Unit	per Unit
	Industrial			
	Light Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.910	\$1,689.80
120	Heavy Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.178	\$330.53
140		1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	0.798 0.685	\$1,481.82 \$1,271.99
150	e	1,000 s.f.	0.300	\$557.08
	Mini-Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.148	\$274.82
	Residential			
	Single Family	DU	1.000	\$1,856.92
	Apartment	DU	0.620	\$1,151.29
	Attached Condominium/Townhome Mobile Home Park	DU DU	0.780	\$1,448.40
	Senior Adult Housing - Detached	DU	0.590 0.270	\$1,095.58 \$501.37
	Senior Adult Housing - Attached	DU	0.230	\$427.09
	Congregate Care	DU	0.070	\$129.98
260	Recreational Home	DU	0.109	\$202.40
	Lodging	P	0.515	·· ·· · · ·
	Hotel	Room	0.545	\$1,012.02
	All Suites Hotel Business Hotel	Room Room	0.364 0.563	\$675.92 \$1,045.45
	Motel	Room	0.355	\$1,045.45 \$659.21
520	Recreational		· · · · · ·	000,121
	City Park	Acre	0.184	\$341.67
	Golf Course	Hole	3.732	\$6,930.02
	Movie Theater	1,000 s.f.	1.486	\$2,759.38
	Health/Fitness Club	1,000 s.f.	1.589	\$2,950.64
493	Athletic Club Recreational Community Center	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	2.682 1.233	\$4,980.26 \$2,289.58
495	Institutional	1,000 \$.1.	1.235	\$2,289.38
520		1,000 s.f.	0.832	\$1,544.96
536	Private School (K - 12)	1,000 s.f.	1.170	\$2,172.59
	High School	1,000 s.f.	0.751	\$1,394.55
	Church	1,000 s.f.	0.386	\$716.77
	Day Care Center	1,000 s.f.	3.653	\$6,783.32
590	Library Medical	1,000 s.f.	5.125	\$9,516.71
610	Hospital	1,000 s.f.	0.917	\$1,702.79
	Nursing Home	1,000 s.f.	0.311	\$577.50
630	Clinic	1,000 s.f.	4.575	\$8,495.40
	Office			<b>AF</b> ( <b>AA A</b> )
710	Up to 50,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	3.998	\$7,423.96
	50,001-150,000 s.f. 150,001-300,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	1.783 1.379	\$3,310.89 \$2,560.69
	300,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.239	\$2,300.09
	500,001-800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.164	\$2,161.45
	> 800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.145	\$2,126.17
720	Medical - Dental Office Building	1,000 s.f.	2.804	\$5,206.80
012	Retail	1 000	0.550	£1.001.01
812 814		1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	0.550 1.522	\$1,021.31 \$2,826.23
	Discount Store	1,000 s.f.	1.322	\$1,897.77
	Hardware Store	1,000 s.f.	0.592	\$1,099.30
817		1,000 s.f.	0.849	\$1,576.52
820				
	< 200,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.272	\$2,362.00
	200,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.384	\$2,569.98
	500,000s.f1,000,000 s.f. >1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	1.441 1.528	\$2,675.82 \$2,837.37
931		1,000 s.f.	2.959	\$2,837.37 \$5,494.62
	High Turnover Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.845	\$5,282.93
	Fast Food w/o Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	4.357	\$8,090.59
934	Fast Food Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	5.439	\$10,099.78
	Quick Lube Vehicle Shop	Service Pos.	1.895	\$3,518.86
	Automobile Care Center	1,000 s.f.	1.136	\$2,109.46
841		1,000 s.f.	0.956	\$1,775.21
843 944	Automobile Parts Sales Gas Station	1,000 s.f. Fueling Position	3.358 1.054	\$6,235.53 \$1,957.19
	Gas Station Gas Station w/Convenience Market	Fueling Position	1.027	\$1,907.06
946		Fueling Position	1.053	\$1,955.34
848	Tire Store	1,000 s.f.	1.461	\$2,712.96
850		1,000 s.f.	1.547	\$2,872.65
851		1,000 s.f.	3.459	\$6,423.08
	Convenience Market < 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	2.282	\$4,237.49
853	1	1,000 s.f.	3.361	\$6,241.10
861 862		1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	1.519 0.436	\$2,820.66 \$809.62
863		1,000 s.f.	0.430	\$1,804.92
864	•	1,000 s.f.	1.060	\$1,968.33
880		1,000 s.f.	1.421	\$2,638.68
881	Drugstore W/Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.819	\$3,377.73
890		1,000 s.f.	0.253	\$469.80
911 912	Walk-In Bank	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	2.989 4.432	\$5,550.33 \$8,229.86
	Drive-In Bank	1,000 s.f.	1.132	\$0,227.80

			UF	DATED: 4/11/2022
	RTA Impact Fees			
Juriso Distr	diction: Placer County ict: Newcastle/Horseshoe Bar		stment Factor for Inflation = 1.0246904 stment Factor for Inflation = 1.0323580	
	Cost per DUE: \$1,440.00		stment Factor for Inflation = $1.0323380$	
	F		stment Factor for Inflation = $1.0273511$	
		2019 Annual Adju	stment Factor for Inflation = 1.0245234	
		2	stment Factor for Inflation = 1.0288336	
			stment Factor for Inflation = $1.0320842$	
		2022 Annual Adju	stment Factor for Inflation = 1.1198098 Cost per DUE With I	
ITE			DUE	Fee
	Land Use Category	Unit	per Unit	per Unit
	Industrial			
	Light Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.910	\$1,777.43
120 130	Heavy Industrial Industrial Park	1,000 s.f.	0.178	\$347.67
130		1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	0.798 0.685	\$1,558.67 \$1,337.96
150	5	1,000 s.f.	0.300	\$585.97
151		1,000 s.f.	0.148	\$289.08
	Residential			
	Single Family	DU DU	1.000	\$1,953.22
220	Apartment Attached Condominium/Townhome	DU	0.620 0.780	\$1,211.00 \$1,523.51
	Mobile Home Park	DU	0.590	\$1,152.40
251	Senior Adult Housing - Detached	DU	0.270	\$527.37
	Senior Adult Housing - Attached	DU	0.230	\$449.24
253	00	DU	0.070	\$136.73
260	Recreational Home Lodging	DU	0.109	\$212.90
310	Hotel	Room	0.545	\$1,064.51
	All Suites Hotel	Room	0.364	\$710.97
-	Business Hotel	Room	0.563	\$1,099.66
320	Motel	Room	0.355	\$693.39
411	Recreational City Park	Acre	0.184	\$359.39
	Golf Course	Hole	3.732	\$359.39 \$7,289.43
	Movie Theater	1,000 s.f.	1.486	\$2,902.49
492	Health/Fitness Club	1,000 s.f.	1.589	\$3,103.67
	Athletic Club	1,000 s.f.	2.682	\$5,238.55
495	Recreational Community Center	1,000 s.f.	1.233	\$2,408.32
520	Institutional Elementary School	1,000 s.f.	0.832	\$1,625.08
	Private School (K - 12)	1,000 s.f.	1.170	\$2,285.27
	High School	1,000 s.f.	0.751	\$1,466.87
	Church	1,000 s.f.	0.386	\$753.94
	Day Care Center	1,000 s.f.	3.653	\$7,135.12
590	Library Medical	1,000 s.f.	5.125	\$10,010.27
610	Hospital	1,000 s.f.	0.917	\$1,791.11
	Nursing Home	1,000 s.f.	0.311	\$607.45
630		1,000 s.f.	4.575	\$8,936.00
710	Office	1 000	2,000	67 000 00
/10	Up to 50,000 s.f. 50,001-150,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	3.998 1.783	\$7,808.99 \$3,482.60
	150,001-300,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.379	\$2,693.50
	300,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.239	\$2,420.04
	500,001-800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.164	\$2,273.55
	> 800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.145	\$2,236.44
720	Medical - Dental Office Building Retail	1,000 s.f.	2.804	\$5,476.84
812	Lumber Yard	1,000 s.f.	0.550	\$1,074.27
814	Specialty Center	1,000 s.f.	1.522	\$2,972.81
	Discount Store	1,000 s.f.	1.022	\$1,996.19
	Hardware Store	1,000 s.f.	0.592	\$1,156.31
817 820	2	1,000 s.f.	0.849	\$1,658.29
620	Shopping Center < 200,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.272	\$2,484.50
	200,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.384	\$2,703.26
	500,000s.f1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.441	\$2,814.59
	>1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.528	\$2,984.53
931		1,000 s.f.	2.959	\$5,779.59 \$5,556.02
	High Turnover Restaurant Fast Food w/o Drive-In	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	2.845 4.357	\$5,556.92 \$8,510.19
	Fast Food W/o Drive-In Fast Food Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	4.357 5.439	\$10,623.58
	Quick Lube Vehicle Shop	Service Pos.	1.895	\$3,701.36
942	Automobile Care Center	1,000 s.f.	1.136	\$2,218.86
841		1,000 s.f.	0.956	\$1,867.28
843	Automobile Parts Sales Gas Station	1,000 s.f. Eveling Position	3.358	\$6,558.92 \$2,058,70
	Gas Station W/Convenience Market	Fueling Position Fueling Position	1.054 1.027	\$2,058.70 \$2,005.96
946		Fueling Position	1.053	\$2,056.74
848	Tire Store	1,000 s.f.	1.461	\$2,853.66
	Supermarket	1,000 s.f.	1.547	\$3,021.64
	Convenience Market 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	3.459	\$6,756.20 \$4,457.26
852 853	Convenience Market < 24-hour Convenience Market w/Gas Pumps	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	2.282 3.361	\$4,457.26 \$6,564.78
855		1,000 s.f.	1.519	\$2,966.95
	Home Improvement Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.436	\$851.61
863	Electronics Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.972	\$1,898.53
864		1,000 s.f.	1.060	\$2,070.42
880		1,000 s.f.	1.421	\$2,775.53
0.01		1,000 s.f.	1.819 0.253	\$3,552.91 \$494.17
881 890	Furniture Store			ØT/T.1/
881 890 911	Furniture Store Walk-In Bank	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	2.989	\$5,838.18

			U	PDATED: 4/11/2022
	RTA Impact Fees	2016 1 1 1	the second states in the second	4
Juriso Distr	liction: Placer County ict: Placer Central		stment Factor for Inflation = 1.024690 stment Factor for Inflation = 1.032358	
	Cost per DUE: \$1,815.00		stment Factor for Inflation = 1.052558	
			stment Factor for Inflation = $1.027351$	
		2019 Annual Adjus	stment Factor for Inflation = 1.024523	4
			stment Factor for Inflation = 1.028833	
			stment Factor for Inflation = 1.032084	
		2022 Annual Adjus	stment Factor for Inflation = 1.119809	8 Inflation = \$2,461.88
ITE			DUE	Fee
	Land Use Category	Unit	per Unit	per Unit
	Industrial			
	Light Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.910	\$2,240.31
	Heavy Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.178	\$438.21
130 140		1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	0.798 0.685	\$1,964.58 \$1,686.38
150	e	1,000 s.f.	0.300	\$738.56
	Mini-Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.148	\$364.36
	Residential			
210		DU	1.000	\$2,461.88
220	Apartment Attached Condominium/Townhome	DU DU	0.620 0.780	\$1,526.36 \$1,920.26
	Mobile Home Park	DU	0.590	\$1,452.51
	Senior Adult Housing - Detached	DU	0.270	\$664.71
252		DU	0.230	\$566.23
253		DU	0.070	\$172.33
260	Recreational Home Lodging	DU	0.109	\$268.34
310	Hotel	Room	0.545	\$1,341.72
	All Suites Hotel	Room	0.343	\$896.12
312	Business Hotel	Room	0.563	\$1,386.04
320	Motel	Room	0.355	\$873.97
411	Recreational	A	0.104	\$452.99
	City Park Golf Course	Acre Hole	0.184 3.732	\$452.99 \$9,187.72
	Movie Theater	1,000 s.f.	1.486	\$3,658.35
	Health/Fitness Club	1,000 s.f.	1.589	\$3,911.92
	Athletic Club	1,000 s.f.	2.682	\$6,602.75
495		1,000 s.f.	1.233	\$3,035.49
520	Institutional Elementary School	1,000 s.f.	0.832	\$2,048.28
	Private School (K - 12)	1,000 s.f.	1.170	\$2,880.39
	High School	1,000 s.f.	0.751	\$1,848.87
	Church	1,000 s.f.	0.386	\$950.28
565		1,000 s.f.	3.653	\$8,993.23
590	Library Medical	1,000 s.f.	5.125	\$12,617.11
610	Hospital	1,000 s.f.	0.917	\$2,257.54
	Nursing Home	1,000 s.f.	0.311	\$765.64
630		1,000 s.f.	4.575	\$11,263.08
710	Office	1 000	2 000	¢0.04 <b>2</b> .50
/10	Up to 50,000 s.f. 50,001-150,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	3.998 1.783	\$9,842.58 \$4,389.52
	150,001-300,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.379	\$3,394.93
	300,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.239	\$3,050.26
	500,001-800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.164	\$2,865.62
	> 800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.145	\$2,818.85
720	Medical - Dental Office Building Retail	1,000 s.f.	2.804	\$6,903.10
812	Lumber Yard	1,000 s.f.	0.550	\$1,354.03
814		1,000 s.f.	1.522	\$3,746.97
	Discount Store	1,000 s.f.	1.022	\$2,516.04
816		1,000 s.f.	0.592	\$1,457.43
817 820		1,000 s.f.	0.849	\$2,090.13
620	< 200,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.272	\$3,131.51
	200,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.384	\$3,407.24
	500,000s.f1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.441	\$3,547.56
	>1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.528	\$3,761.75
931	Quality Restaurant High Turnover Restaurant	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	2.959	\$7,284.69 \$7,004.04
	Fast Food w/o Drive-In	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	2.845 4.357	\$7,004.04 \$10,726.39
	Fast Food Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	5.439	\$13,390.14
	Quick Lube Vehicle Shop	Service Pos.	1.895	\$4,665.25
942	Automobile Care Center	1,000 s.f.	1.136	\$2,796.69
	New Car Sales	1,000 s.f.	0.956	\$2,353.55 \$8,266.08
843 944	Automobile Parts Sales Gas Station	1,000 s.f. Fueling Position	3.358 1.054	\$8,266.98 \$2,594.82
944		Fueling Position	1.027	\$2,594.82
946		Fueling Position	1.053	\$2,592.35
848		1,000 s.f.	1.461	\$3,596.80
850		1,000 s.f.	1.547	\$3,808.52
851		1,000 s.f.	3.459	\$8,515.63 \$5,618.00
852 853	Convenience Market < 24-hour Convenience Market w/Gas Pumps	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	2.282 3.361	\$5,618.00 \$8,274.36
855	1	1,000 s.f.	1.519	\$3,739.59
862		1,000 s.f.	0.436	\$1,073.38
863	Electronics Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.972	\$2,392.94
864		1,000 s.f.	1.060	\$2,609.59
	8	1,000 s.f.	1.421	\$3,498.32
880	Drugstore W/Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.819	\$4,478.15
881		1 000 s f	0.253	
	Furniture Store Walk-In Bank	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	0.253 2.989	\$622.85 \$7,358.55

SPRTA Impact Fees		0	PDATED: 4/11/2022
urisdiction: Placer County	-	ustment Factor for Inflation = 1.024690	
District: Placer West		ustment Factor for Inflation = $1.032358$	
2014 Cost per DUE: \$1,387.00		ustment Factor for Inflation = $1.0245210$	
		ustment Factor for Inflation = $1.027351$	
	-	ustment Factor for Inflation = 1.024523	
		ustment Factor for Inflation = 1.028833 ustment Factor for Inflation = 1.0320842	
		ustment Factor for Inflation = $1.052034$	
	2022 / 1111441 / 14		Inflation = \$1,881.33
ITE	** 1	DUE	Fee
Code Land Use Category Industrial	Unit	per Unit	per Unit
110 Light Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.910	\$1,712.0
120 Heavy Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.178	\$334.8
130 Industrial Park	1,000 s.f.	0.798	\$1,501.3
140 Manufacturing	1,000 s.f.	0.685	\$1,288.7
<ol> <li>150 Warehousing</li> <li>151 Mini-Warehousing</li> </ol>	1,000 s.f.	0.300	\$564.4
Residential	1,000 s.f.	0.148	\$278.4
210 Single Family	DU	1.000	\$1,881.3
220 Apartment	DU	0.620	\$1,166.4
231 Attached Condominium/Town		0.780	\$1,467.4
240 Mobile Home Park	DU	0.590	\$1,109.9
251 Senior Adult Housing - Detac 252 Senior Adult Housing Attea		0.270	\$507.9
<ol> <li>Senior Adult Housing - Attack</li> <li>Congregate Care</li> </ol>	ned DU DU	0.230 0.070	\$432.7 \$131.6
260 Recreational Home	DU	0.109	\$131.6
Lodging	20	···· · · /	\$200.0
310 Hotel	Room	0.545	\$1,025.3
311 All Suites Hotel	Room	0.364	\$684.8
312 Business Hotel	Room	0.563	\$1,059.1
320 Motel Recreational	Room	0.355	\$667.8
411 City Park	Acre	0.184	\$346.1
411 City Park 430 Golf Course	Hole	3.732	\$346.1 \$7.021.1
444 Movie Theater	1,000 s.f.	1.486	\$2,795.6
492 Health/Fitness Club	1,000 s.f.	1.589	\$2,989.4
493 Athletic Club	1,000 s.f.	2.682	\$5,045.7
495 Recreational Community Cen	ter 1,000 s.f.	1.233	\$2,319.6
Institutional	1.000 5	0.922	01 575 D
520 Elementary School 536 Private School (K - 12)	1,000 s.f.	0.832 1.170	\$1,565.2 \$2,201.1
530 Frivate School (K - 12) 530 High School	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	0.751	\$2,201.1 \$1,412.8
560 Church	1,000 s.f.	0.386	\$1,412.8
565 Day Care Center	1,000 s.f.	3.653	\$6,872.5
590 Library	1,000 s.f.	5.125	\$9,641.8
Medical			
610 Hospital	1,000 s.f.	0.917	\$1,725.1
620 Nursing Home 630 Clinic	1,000 s.f.	0.311 4.575	\$585.0 \$8.607.1
Office	1,000 s.f.	4.373	\$8,607.1
710 Up to 50,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	3.998	\$7,521.5
50,001-150,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.783	\$3,354.4
150,001-300,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.379	\$2,594.3
300,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.239	\$2,330.9
500,001-800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.164	\$2,189.8
> 800,000 s.f. 720 Modical Doptal Office Build	1,000 s.f.	1.145 2.804	\$2,154.1 \$5,275.2
720 Medical - Dental Office Build Retail	ing 1,000 s.f.	2.004	\$5,275.2
812 Lumber Yard	1,000 s.f.	0.550	\$1,034.7
814 Specialty Center	1,000 s.f.	1.522	\$2,863.3
815 Discount Store	1,000 s.f.	1.022	\$1,922.7
816 Hardware Store	1,000 s.f.	0.592	\$1,113.7
817 Nursery	1,000 s.f.	0.849	\$1,597.2
820 Shopping Center	1 000 - £	1 272	\$3 202 A
< 200,000 s.f. 200,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	1.272 1.384	\$2,393.0 \$2,603.7
200,001-500,000 s.f. 500,000s.f1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.384	\$2,603.7 \$2,711.0
>1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.528	\$2,874.6
931 Quality Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.959	\$5,566.8
932 High Turnover Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.845	\$5,352.3
933 Fast Food w/o Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	4.357	\$8,196.9
934 Fast Food Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	5.439	\$10,232.5
941 Quick Lube Vehicle Shop	Service Pos.	1.895	\$3,565.1
942 Automobile Care Center 841 New Car Sales	1,000 s.f.	1.136	\$2,137.2 \$1,798.5
841 New Car Sales 843 Automobile Parts Sales	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	0.956 3.358	\$1,798.5 \$6,317.5
944 Gas Station	Fueling Position	1.054	\$1,982.9
945 Gas Station w/Convenience M		1.027	\$1,932.1
946 Gas/Serv. Stn. W/Conv. Mkt.	Wash Fueling Position	1.053	\$1,981.0
848 Tire Store	1,000 s.f.	1.461	\$2,748.6
850 Supermarket	1,000 s.f.	1.547	\$2,910.4
851 Convenience Market 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	3.459	\$6,507.5
852 Convenience Market < 24-ho		2.282	\$4,293.2
<ul><li>853 Convenience Market w/Gas P</li><li>861 Discount Club</li></ul>	*	3.361 1.519	\$6,323.1 \$2,857.7
861 Discount Club 862 Home Improvement Supersto	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	0.436	\$2,857.7
863 Electronics Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.436	\$1,828.6
864 Toy/Childrens Superstore	1,000 s.f.	1.060	\$1,994.2
880 Drugstore W/O Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.421	\$2,673.3
881 Drugstore W/Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.819	\$3,422.1
890 Furniture Store	1,000 s.f.	0.253	\$475.9

		U	PDATED: 4/11/2022
SPRTA Impact Fees	2015 1 1 1	terrent Ersten 6 T. G. C. 1001000	4
Jurisdiction: Rocklin District: Rocklin		tment Factor for Inflation = 1.0246904 tment Factor for Inflation = 1.032358	
2014 Cost per DUE: \$1,739.00		tment Factor for Inflation = $1.032338$ tment Factor for Inflation = $1.0245216$	
2014 Cost per D'DE. \$1,759.00		tment Factor for Inflation = $1.024321$ tment Factor for Inflation = $1.027351$	
		tment Factor for Inflation = $1.0245234$	
		tment Factor for Inflation = $1.024823$	
		tment Factor for Inflation = $1.032084$	
	5	tment Factor for Inflation = 1.119809	8
			Inflation = \$2,358.79
ITE Code Land Use Category	Unit	DUE per Unit	Fee per Unit
Industrial	Unit	per Unit	per Unit
110 Light Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.910	\$2,146.50
120 Heavy Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.178	\$419.86
130 Industrial Park	1,000 s.f.	0.798	\$1,882.31
140 Manufacturing	1,000 s.f.	0.685	\$1,615.77
150 Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.300	\$707.64
151 Mini-Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.148	\$349.10
Residential			
210 Single Family	DU	1.000	\$2,358.79
220 Apartment	DU	0.620	\$1,462.45
231 Attached Condominium/Townhome	DU DU	0.780	\$1,839.86
240 Mobile Home Park		0.590	\$1,391.69
251 Senior Adult Housing - Detached 252 Senior Adult Housing - Attached	DU DU	0.270 0.230	\$636.87 \$542.52
252 Senior Adult Housing - Attached 253 Congregate Care	DU	0.230	\$165.12
260 Recreational Home	DU	0.109	\$257.11
Lodging			
310 Hotel	Room	0.545	\$1,285.54
311 All Suites Hotel	Room	0.364	\$858.60
312 Business Hotel	Room	0.563	\$1,328.00
320 Motel	Room	0.355	\$837.37
Recreational	A	0.194	e 43 4 00
411 City Park 430 Golf Course	Acre Hole	0.184 3.732	\$434.02 \$8,803.00
430 Golf Course 444 Movie Theater	Hole 1,000 s.f.	3.732	\$8,803.00 \$3,505.16
444 Movie Theater 492 Health/Fitness Club	1,000 s.f.	1.486	\$3,748.11
493 Athletic Club	1,000 s.f.	2.682	\$6,326.27
495 Recreational Community Center	1,000 s.f.	1.233	\$2,908.39
Institutional			
520 Elementary School	1,000 s.f.	0.832	\$1,962.51
536 Private School (K - 12)	1,000 s.f.	1.170	\$2,759.78
530 High School	1,000 s.f.	0.751	\$1,771.45
560 Church	1,000 s.f.	0.386	\$910.49
565 Day Care Center	1,000 s.f.	3.653	\$8,616.65
590 Library Modical	1,000 s.f.	5.125	\$12,088.79
Medical 610 Hospital	1,000 s.f.	0.917	\$2,163.01
610 Hospital 620 Nursing Home	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	0.917	\$2,163.01 \$733.58
630 Clinic	1,000 s.f.	4.575	\$10,791.46
Office	,		210,721.40
710 Up to 50,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	3.998	\$9,430.44
50,001-150,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.783	\$4,205.72
150,001-300,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.379	\$3,252.77
300,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.239	\$2,922.54
500,001-800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.164	\$2,745.63
> 800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.145	\$2,700.81
720 Medical - Dental Office Building	1,000 s.f.	2.804	\$6,614.04
Retail 812 Lumber Yard	1,000 s.f.	0.550	\$1,297.33
812 Lumber Fard 814 Specialty Center	1,000 s.f.	1.522	\$3,590.08
815 Discount Store	1,000 s.f.	1.022	\$2,410.68
816 Hardware Store	1,000 s.f.	0.592	\$1,396.40
817 Nursery	1,000 s.f.	0.849	\$2,002.61
820 Shopping Center			
< 200,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.272	\$3,000.38
200,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.384	\$3,264.56
500,000s.f1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.441	\$3,399.01
>1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.528	\$3,604.23
931 Quality Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.959	\$6,979.66 \$6,710.75
932 High Turnover Restaurant 933 Fast Food w/o Drive-In	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	2.845 4.357	\$6,710.75 \$10,277.24
933 Fast Food W/o Drive-In 934 Fast Food Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	5.439	\$10,277.24 \$12,829.45
941 Quick Lube Vehicle Shop	Service Pos.	1.895	\$4,469.90
942 Automobile Care Center	1,000 s.f.	1.136	\$2,679.58
841 New Car Sales	1,000 s.f.	0.956	\$2,255.00
843 Automobile Parts Sales	1,000 s.f.	3.358	\$7,920.81
944 Gas Station	Fueling Position	1.054	\$2,486.16
945 Gas Station w/Convenience Market	Fueling Position	1.027	\$2,422.48
946 Gas/Serv. Stn. W/Conv. Mkt./Wash	Fueling Position	1.053	\$2,483.80
848 Tire Store	1,000 s.f.	1.461	\$3,446.19
850 Supermarket	1,000 s.f.	1.547	\$3,649.05
851 Convenience Market 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	3.459	\$8,159.05
852 Convenience Market < 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	2.282	\$5,382.76
853 Convenience Market w/Gas Pumps 861 Discount Club	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f	3.361	\$7,927.89 \$3,583.00
<ul><li>861 Discount Club</li><li>862 Home Improvement Superstore</li></ul>	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	1.519 0.436	\$3,583.00 \$1,028.43
863 Electronics Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.438	\$1,028.43 \$2,292.74
864 Toy/Childrens Superstore	1,000 s.f.	1.060	\$2,292.74
880 Drugstore W/O Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.421	\$2,500.52
881 Drugstore W/Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.819	\$4,290.64
890 Furniture Store	1,000 s.f.	0.253	\$596.77
911 Walk-In Bank	1,000 s.f.	2.989	\$7,050.42
912 Drive-In Bank	1,000 s.f.	4.432	\$10,454.15

SPR	TA Impact Fees		-	PDATED: 4/11/2022
	iction: Roseville	2015 Annual Adju	stment Factor for Inflation = 1.0246904	4
Distric		2016 Annual Adju	stment Factor for Inflation = 1.032358	0
014 0	Cost per DUE: \$890.00		stment Factor for Inflation = 1.024521	
			stment Factor for Inflation = 1.027351	
		2019 Annual Adju	stment Factor for Inflation = 1.0245234	4
		2020 Annual Adju	stment Factor for Inflation = 1.028833	6
		2021 Annual Adju	stment Factor for Inflation = 1.0320842	2
		2022 Annual Adju	stment Factor for Inflation = 1.119809	8
				Inflation = \$1,207.20
ITE	Land Use Category	Unit	DUE per Unit	Fee per Unit
	Industrial	Omt	per onit	per enit
	Light Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.910	\$1,098.5
120	Heavy Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.178	\$214.88
130	Industrial Park	1,000 s.f.	0.798	\$963.35
140	Manufacturing	1,000 s.f.	0.685	\$826.93
150		1,000 s.f.	0.300	\$362.1
151	Mini-Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.148	\$178.6
210	Residential Single Family	DU	1.000	\$1,207.20
220		DU	0.620	\$748.46
231	1	DU	0.780	\$941.62
	Mobile Home Park	DU	0.590	\$712.25
251		DU	0.270	\$325.94
252	5	DU	0.230	\$277.60
253	Congregate Care	DU	0.070	\$84.50
		DU	0.109	\$131.58
	Lodging			
	Hotel	Room	0.545	\$657.92
	All Suites Hotel	Room	0.364	\$439.42
312 320	Business Hotel Motel	Room	0.563	\$679.65 \$428.54
	Motel Recreational	Room	0.355	\$428.56
	City Park	Acre	0.184	\$222.12
430		Hole	3.732	\$4,505.27
	Movie Theater	1,000 s.f.	1.486	\$1,793.90
	Health/Fitness Club	1,000 s.f.	1.589	\$1,918.24
493	Athletic Club	1,000 s.f.	2.682	\$3,237.71
495	Recreational Community Center	1,000 s.f.	1.233	\$1,488.48
	Institutional			
	Elementary School	1,000 s.f.	0.832	\$1,004.39
	Private School (K - 12)	1,000 s.f.	1.170	\$1,412.42
	High School	1,000 s.f.	0.751	\$906.61
	Church	1,000 s.f.	0.386	\$465.98
	Day Care Center	1,000 s.f.	3.653	\$4,409.90
	Library Medical	1,000 s.f.	5.125	\$6,186.90
	Medical Hospital	1,000 s.f.	0.917	\$1,107.00
	Nursing Home	1,000 s.f.	0.311	\$375.44
620 630	Clinic	1,000 s.f.	4.575	\$5,522.94
	Office	, ***		*****
710	Up to 50,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	3.998	\$4,826.39
	50,001-150,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.783	\$2,152.44
	150,001-300,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.379	\$1,664.73
	300,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.239	\$1,495.72
	500,001-800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.164	\$1,405.18
700	> 800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.145	\$1,382.24
720	Medical - Dental Office Building	1,000 s.f.	2.804	\$3,384.99
	Ketail Lumber Yard	1,000 s.f.	0.550	\$663.90
814	Specialty Center	1,000 s.f.	1.522	\$1,837.30
	Discount Store	1,000 s.f.	1.022	\$1,037.30
	Hardware Store	1,000 s.f.	0.592	\$714.60
817	Nursery	1,000 s.f.	0.849	\$1,024.9
820	Shopping Center			
	< 200,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.272	\$1,535.50
	200,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.384	\$1,670.77
	500,000s.f1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.441	\$1,739.58
. <b>.</b> .	>1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.528	\$1,844.60
931	Quality Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.959	\$3,572.11
	High Turnover Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.845	\$3,434.49
	Fast Food w/o Drive-In Fast Food Drive-In	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	4.357	\$5,259.7
		1,000 s.f. Samiaa Pas	5.439	\$6,565.90
	Quick Lube Vehicle Shop Automobile Care Center	Service Pos. 1.000 s.f.	1.895 1.136	\$2,287.65 \$1,371.38
	New Car Sales	1,000 s.f.	0.956	\$1,371.37
841 843		1,000 s.f.	3.358	\$4,053.78
944		Fueling Position	1.054	\$1,272.39
945		Fueling Position	1.027	\$1,239.79
946		Fueling Position	1.053	\$1,271.18
848		1,000 s.f.	1.461	\$1,763.72
	Supermarket	1,000 s.f.	1.547	\$1,867.54
	Convenience Market 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	3.459	\$4,175.7
852		1,000 s.f.	2.282	\$2,754.8
853	Convenience Market w/Gas Pumps	1,000 s.f.	3.361	\$4,057.4
861	Discount Club	1,000 s.f.	1.519	\$1,833.74
	Home Improvement Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.436	\$526.34
863		1,000 s.f.	0.972	\$1,173.4
864	Toy/Childrens Superstore	1,000 s.f.	1.060	\$1,279.63
880	Drugstore W/O Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.421	\$1,715.43
881	Drugstore W/Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.819	\$2,195.9
	Furniture Store	1,000 s.f.	0.253	\$305.42
890 911	Walk-In Bank	1,000 s.f.	2.989	\$3,608.32

SPRTA Impact Fees			UPDATED: 4/11/2022
Jurisdiction: Roseville	2015 An	nual Adjustment Factor for Inflation =	1.0246904
District: Roseville Ea			1.0323580
2014 Cost per DUE: \$1,074.00	2017 An	nual Adjustment Factor for Inflation =	1.0245216
-	2018 An	nual Adjustment Factor for Inflation =	1.0273511
		nual Adjustment Factor for Inflation =	
		nual Adjustment Factor for Inflation =	
	2021 An	nual Adjustment Factor for Inflation =	1.0320842
	2022 An	nual Adjustment Factor for Inflation =	1.1198098
			UE With Inflation = \$1,456.78
ITE Code Land Use Category	Unit	DUE per Unit	Fee per Unit
Industrial		F	
110 Light Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.910	\$1,325.6
120 Heavy Industrial	1,000 s.f.	0.178	\$259.3
130 Industrial Park	1,000 s.f.	0.798	\$1,162.5
140 Manufacturing	1,000 s.f.	0.685	\$997.8
150 Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.300	\$437.0
151 Mini-Warehousing Residential	1,000 s.f.	0.148	\$215.6
210 Single Family	DU	1.000	\$1,456.7
220 Apartment	DU	0.620	\$903.2
231 Attached Condominium/T		0.780	\$1,136.2
240 Mobile Home Park	DU	0.590	\$859.5
251 Senior Adult Housing - D		0.270	\$393.3
252 Senior Adult Housing - A		0.230	\$335.0
253 Congregate Care 260 Recreational Home	DU DU	0.070 0.109	\$101.9 \$158.7
260 Recreational Home Lodging	טע	0.109	\$158.7
310 Hotel	Room	0.545	\$793.9
311 All Suites Hotel	Room	0.364	\$530.2
312 Business Hotel	Room	0.563	\$820.1
320 Motel	Room	0.355	\$517.1
Recreational		A 104	
411 City Park 430 Colf Course	Acre	0.184	\$268.0 \$5,426.7
430 Golf Course 444 Movie Theater	Hole	3.732	\$5,436.7 \$2,164.7
	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	1.486	
492 Health/Fitness Club 493 Athletic Club	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	1.589 2.682	\$2,314.8 \$3,907.0
495 Recreational Community (		1.233	\$1,796.2
Institutional			
520 Elementary School	1,000 s.f.	0.832	\$1,212.0
536 Private School (K - 12)	1,000 s.f.	1.170	\$1,704.4
530 High School	1,000 s.f.	0.751	\$1,094.0
560 Church	1,000 s.f.	0.386	\$562.3
565 Day Care Center	1,000 s.f.	3.653	\$5,321.6
590 Library Medical	1,000 s.f.	5.125	\$7,465.9
610 Hospital	1,000 s.f.	0.917	\$1,335.8
620 Nursing Home	1,000 s.f.	0.311	\$453.0
630 Clinic	1,000 s.f.	4.575	\$6,664.7
Office	1.000	2 000	A.5. 0.2.1. 0
710 Up to 50,000 s.f. 50,001-150,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	3.998 1.783	\$5,824.2 \$2,597.4
150,001-150,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.785	\$2,008.9
300,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.379	\$1,804.9
500,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.239	\$1,695.6
> 800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.145	\$1,668.0
720 Medical - Dental Office B		2.804	\$4,084.8
Retail			
812 Lumber Yard 814 Specialty Conter	1,000 s.f.	0.550	\$801.2 \$2.217.2
<ul><li>814 Specialty Center</li><li>815 Discount Store</li></ul>	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	1.522	\$2,217.2 \$1.488.8
815 Discount Store 816 Hardware Store	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	1.022 0.592	\$1,488.8 \$862.4
816 Hardware Store 817 Nursery	1,000 s.f.	0.849	\$1,236.8
820 Shopping Center	1,000 011	0.017	\$1,250.0
< 200,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.272	\$1,853.0
200,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.384	\$2,016.1
500,000s.f1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.441	\$2,099.2
>1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.528	\$2,225.9
931 Quality Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.959	\$4,310.6
932 High Turnover Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.845	\$4,144.5
933 Fast Food w/o Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	4.357	\$6,347.1
934 Fast Food Drive-In	1,000 s.f.	5.439	\$7,923.4
941 Quick Lube Vehicle Shop	Service Pos.	1.895	\$2,760.6
942 Automobile Care Center 841 New Car Sales	1,000 s.f.	1.136 0.956	\$1,654.9 \$1,392.6
841 New Car Sales 843 Automobile Parts Sales	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	3.358	\$1,392.6 \$4,891.8
944 Gas Station	Fueling Position	1.054	\$1,535.4
945 Gas Station w/Conveniend		1.027	\$1,496.1
946 Gas/Serv. Stn. W/Conv. N		1.053	\$1,533.9
848 Tire Store	1,000 s.f.	1.461	\$2,128.3
850 Supermarket	1,000 s.f.	1.547	\$2,253.6
851 Convenience Market 24-h		3.459	\$5,039.0
852 Convenience Market < 24		2.282	\$3,324.3
853 Convenience Market w/G		3.361	\$4,896.2
861 Discount Club	1,000 s.f.	1.519	\$2,212.8
862 Home Improvement Supe		0.436	\$635.1
863 Electronics Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.972	\$1,415.9
864 Toy/Childrens Superstore	1,000 s.f.	1.060	\$1,544.1
880 Drugstore W/O Drive-Th		1.421 1.819	\$2,070.0
881 Drugstore W/Drive-Thru 890 Furniture Store	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	0.253	\$2,649.8 \$368.5
911 Walk-In Bank	1,000 s.f.	2.989	\$4,354.3
	1,000 s.f.	4.432	\$6,456.4

		ι	JPDATED: 4/11/2022
SPRTA Impact Fees			
Jurisdiction: Placer County District: Sunset		tment Factor for Inflation = 1.024690 tment Factor for Inflation = 1.032358	
2014 Cost per DUE: \$1,210.00		the factor for Inflation = $1.03233$	
F ,		tment Factor for Inflation = $1.02735$	
		tment Factor for Inflation = 1.024523	
		tment Factor for Inflation = 1.02883	
		tment Factor for Inflation = $1.032084$	
	2022 Annual Adjus	tment Factor for Inflation = 1.119809	98 h Inflation = \$1,641.25
ITE		DUE	Fee
Code Land Use Category	Unit	per Unit	per Unit
Industrial	1.000	0.010	£1 402 54
110 Light Industrial 120 Heavy Industrial	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	0.910 0.178	\$1,493.54 \$292.14
130 Industrial Park	1,000 s.f.	0.798	\$1,309.72
140 Manufacturing	1,000 s.f.	0.685	\$1,124.26
150 Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.300	\$492.38
151 Mini-Warehousing	1,000 s.f.	0.148	\$242.91
Residential 210 Single Family	DU	1.000	\$1,641.25
220 Apartment	DU	0.620	\$1,017.58
231 Attached Condominium/Townhome	DU	0.780	\$1,280.18
240 Mobile Home Park	DU	0.590	\$968.34
251 Senior Adult Housing - Detached	DU	0.270	\$443.14
252 Senior Adult Housing - Attached 253 Congregate Care	DU DU	0.230 0.070	\$377.49 \$114.89
260 Recreational Home	DU	0.109	\$178.90
Lodging			
310 Hotel	Room	0.545	\$894.48
311 All Suites Hotel	Room	0.364	\$597.42
312 Business Hotel 320 Motel	Room Room	0.563 0.355	\$924.02 \$582.64
Recreational	NUUIII	0.555	\$362.04
411 City Park	Acre	0.184	\$301.99
430 Golf Course	Hole	3.732	\$6,125.15
444 Movie Theater	1,000 s.f.	1.486	\$2,438.90
<ul><li>492 Health/Fitness Club</li><li>493 Athletic Club</li></ul>	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	1.589 2.682	\$2,607.95 \$4,401.83
495 Recreational Community Center	1,000 s.f.	1.233	\$2,023.66
Institutional	,		
520 Elementary School	1,000 s.f.	0.832	\$1,365.52
536 Private School (K - 12)	1,000 s.f.	1.170	\$1,920.26
530 High School 560 Church	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	0.751 0.386	\$1,232.58 \$633.52
565 Day Care Center	1,000 s.f.	3.653	\$5,995.49
590 Library	1,000 s.f.	5.125	\$8,411.41
Medical			
610 Hospital	1,000 s.f.	0.917	\$1,505.03
620 Nursing Home 630 Clinic	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	0.311 4.575	\$510.43 \$7,508.72
Office	1,000 5.1.	-1010	\$1,500.12
710 Up to 50,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	3.998	\$6,561.72
50,001-150,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.783	\$2,926.35
150,001-300,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.379	\$2,263.28
300,001-500,000 s.f. 500,001-800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	1.239 1.164	\$2,033.51 \$1,910.42
> 800,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.145	\$1,879.23
720 Medical - Dental Office Building	1,000 s.f.	2.804	\$4,602.07
Retail	1,000 - 6	0.550	\$002.60
812 Lumber Yard 814 Specialty Center	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	0.550 1.522	\$902.69 \$2,497.98
815 Discount Store	1,000 s.f.	1.022	\$1,677.36
816 Hardware Store	1,000 s.f.	0.592	\$971.62
817 Nursery	1,000 s.f.	0.849	\$1,393.42
820 Shopping Center	1,000 - £	1 272	¢0.007.67
< 200,000 s.f. 200,001-500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	1.272 1.384	\$2,087.67 \$2,271.49
500,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.441	\$2,365.04
>1,000,000 s.f.	1,000 s.f.	1.528	\$2,507.83
931 Quality Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.959	\$4,856.46
932 High Turnover Restaurant	1,000 s.f.	2.845	\$4,669.36
933 Fast Food w/o Drive-In 934 Fast Food Drive-In	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	4.357 5.439	\$7,150.93 \$8,926.76
941 Quick Lube Vehicle Shop	Service Pos.	1.895	\$3,110.17
942 Automobile Care Center	1,000 s.f.	1.136	\$1,864.46
841 New Car Sales	1,000 s.f.	0.956	\$1,569.04
843 Automobile Parts Sales	1,000 s.f.	3.358	\$5,511.32
944 Gas Station 945 Gas Station w/Convenience Market	Fueling Position Fueling Position	1.054	\$1,729.88
945 Gas/Serv. Stn. W/Conv. Mkt./Wash	Fueling Position	1.027 1.053	\$1,685.56 \$1,728.24
848 Tire Store	1,000 s.f.	1.461	\$2,397.87
850 Supermarket	1,000 s.f.	1.547	\$2,539.01
851 Convenience Market 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	3.459	\$5,677.08
852 Convenience Market < 24-hour	1,000 s.f.	2.282	\$3,745.33
<ul><li>853 Convenience Market w/Gas Pumps</li><li>861 Discount Club</li></ul>	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	3.361 1.519	\$5,516.24 \$2,493.06
861 Discount Club 862 Home Improvement Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.436	\$2,493.06 \$715.59
863 Electronics Superstore	1,000 s.f.	0.972	\$1,595.30
864 Toy/Childrens Superstore	1,000 s.f.	1.060	\$1,739.73
880 Drugstore W/O Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.421	\$2,332.22
881 Drugstore W/Drive-Thru	1,000 s.f.	1.819	\$2,985.43
890 Furniture Store 911 Walk-In Bank	1,000 s.f. 1,000 s.f.	0.253 2.989	\$415.24 \$4,905.70
	1,000 5.1.	4.432	\$4,903.70

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 22-09**

#### A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SOUTH PLACER REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY ADOPTING AN INFLATIONARY ADJUSTMENT TO THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AND AIR QUALITY MITIGATION FEE FOR ALL NEW DEVELOPMENTS WITHIN THE AREA OF JURISDICTION OF THE AUTHORITY

The following resolution was duly passed by the Board of the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority at a regular meeting held May 25, 2022 by the following vote on roll call:

#### AYES: NOES: ABSENT:

A. **WHEREAS**, the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority ("Authority") was formed to provide for the coordinated planning, design, financing, acquisition, determination of the timing of construction, and construction, of certain transportation improvements located in the area of jurisdiction of the Authority; and

B. **WHEREAS**, the adoption of the Regional Transportation and Air Quality Mitigation Fee included the requirement that, on an annual basis, the Board review the estimated cost of the Facilities, the continued need for the Facilities and the reasonable relationship between such need and the impacts of the various types of development pending or anticipated and for which the Fee is charged, and may change the Fee based upon that review. If the relationship between the need and the impacts of the various types of development pending or anticipated still exists, the Fee shall be automatically adjusted annually based upon the Construction Costs Index as published in the Engineering News Record publication, unless otherwise determined by the Board.

#### C. **WHEREAS**, the Board of Directors of the Authority finds as follows:

(i) The purpose of the Fee is to finance the public facilities (the "Facilities") described and identified in the Regional Transportation and Air Quality Mitigation Fee Improvement Program to reduce the impacts of increased traffic caused by New Development within the area of jurisdiction of the Authority;

(ii) The Fee shall be used to finance the Facilities (including, without limitation, planning, design, administration, environmental compliance, and construction costs of the Facilities);

(iii) The estimated cost of the Facilities, the need for the Facilities and the reasonable relationship between such need and the impacts of the various types of development pending or anticipated and for which the Fee is charged continues to exist.

D. **WHEREAS**, the revised Regional Transportation and Air Quality Mitigation Fee schedule, as adjusted for inflation, was available for public inspection and review more than ten (10) days prior to this public hearing;

**NOW, THEREFORE**, pursuant to the authority of Section 5.M of the Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement for the Planning, Design, Financing, Acquisition and Construction of Regional Transportation Improvements, dated October 1, 2003, ("JPA Agreement"), it is hereby resolved by the Board that:

1. <u>Fee Adjustment.</u> The Regional Transportation and Air Quality Mitigation Fee schedule, as shown in Attachment 2, is hereby adjusted to reflect an increase of 11.98098%.

2. <u>Adoption</u>. Pursuant to Section 8 of the JPA Agreement, this Resolution is adopted unanimously.

3. <u>Judicial Review</u>. Any judicial action or proceeding to attack, review, set aside, void, or annul this Resolution shall be brought within one hundred twenty (120) days after the effective date set forth below.

4. <u>Effective Date</u>. This Resolution and the Fee hereby approved shall be effective July 1, 2022.

Passed and Adopted by the Board of the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority, this 25th day of May 2022, by the following vote on roll call:

AYES Board Members:

NOES Board Members:

ABSENT Board Members:

Paul Joiner, Chair

ATTEST:

Mike Luken, Executive Director



City of Lincoln 

City of Rocklin

City of Roseville

Placer County

#### TO: SPRTA Board of Directors

DATE: May 25, 2022

FROM: Rick Carter, Deputy Executive Director Mike Luken, Executive Director

#### SUBJECT: FY 2021/22 ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET AMENDMENT #2

#### Action Requested

Approval of the FY 2021/22 budget amendment #2 (Attachment 1) for the administration of the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority.

#### **Background**

Under the Implementation Plan adopted by the Board in April 2002, SPRTA allocates \$3,000,000 over the course of the anticipated 20-year implementation period, or an average of \$150,000 annually for the administration of the Authority. The intent is for that amount to cover administrative contracts, including traffic modeling, legal services, and PCTPA's expenses to administer the Authority on an actual cost basis.

#### **Discussion**

At the June 2021 meeting, the Board approved a FY 2021/22 budget of \$358,677. The budget included \$135,087 for staff time and various operational expenses and \$223,590 for the second year of the consultant contract cost to create a new South Placer County traffic model and perform a comprehensive update of the SPRTA fees. Administrative Budget Amendment #1 was approved January 2022 the increased the budget \$5,595 for additional staff time to support the fiscal administration of the SPRTA.

This amendment #2, as shown in Attachment 1, proposes to increase the budget by \$21,155 for a total of \$385,427. The \$21,155 increase in the cumulative result of minor budget changes to the following projects as identified PCTPAs Overall Work Program Amendment #2: Placer Parkway, I-80/SR 65 Interchange, SR 65 Widening, I-80 Auxiliary Lanes, and SPRTA Administration.

The SPRTA Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) has reviewed this proposed change and recommends the Board approve the budget as presented.

RRC:ML:ss

### **Attachment 1**

## SPRTA Administrative Budget Summary

## FY 2021/22 Amendment #2

May 25, 2022

Expenditures		Proposed Amend #2		Adopted Amend #1		Adopted		ifference nendment
		May 2022		Jan 2022		une 2021	#	2 and #1
	l	FY 2021/22		Y 2021/22		Y 2021/22		
PCTPA Administrative Contract		147,962	\$	126,807	\$	121,212	\$	21,155
Legal Services		5,000	\$	5,000	\$	5,000	\$	-
Traffic Modeling/Nexus Analysis		223,590	\$	223,590	\$	223,590	\$	-
Financial Audits		6,375	\$	6,375	\$	6,375	\$	-
Direct Expenses (note 1)		2,000	\$	2,000	\$	2,000	\$	-
Accounting Services		500	\$	500	\$	500	\$	-
Contingency funds		-		\$0		\$0	\$	-
	tal \$	385,427	\$	364,272	\$	358,677	\$	21,155
		385,427 FY 2021/22		364,272 Y 2021/22		358,677 Y 2021/22	\$	21,155
Тс			F	·	F	·		21,155 ifference
Тс		FY 2021/22	F	Y 2021/22	F	Y 2021/22		
To		FY 2021/22 nnual Budget	F	Y 2021/22 nual Budget	F	Y 2021/22 nual Budget	D	ifference
To Revenues SPRTA Fees SPRTA Fees/Carryover		FY 2021/22 nnual Budget	F	Y 2021/22 nual Budget 364,272	F	<b>Y 2021/22</b> nual Budget 358,677	D	<b>ifference</b> 21,155
To Revenues SPRTA Fees SPRTA Fees/Carryover To	Ar	FY 2021/22 nnual Budget 385,427 385,427	F` Anı Ş	Y 2021/22 nual Budget 364,272 \$0 364,272	F` Anr \$	Y 2021/22 nual Budget 358,677 \$0 358,677	D \$	ifference 21,155 \$0 <b>21,155</b>
To Revenues SPRTA Fees SPRTA Fees/Carryover	Ar	FY 2021/22 nnual Budget 385,427 385,427 Budget	F` Anı Ş	Y 2021/22 nual Budget 364,272 \$0 364,272 Budget	F` Anr \$	Y 2021/22 nual Budget 358,677 \$0 358,677 Budget	D \$	ifference 21,155 \$0 <b>21,155</b> Actual
To Revenues SPRTA Fees SPRTA Fees/Carryover To	Ar	FY 2021/22 nnual Budget 385,427 385,427	F` Anı Ş	Y 2021/22 nual Budget 364,272 \$0 364,272	F` Anr \$	Y 2021/22 nual Budget 358,677 \$0 358,677	D \$	ifference 21,155 \$0 <b>21,155</b>
To Revenues SPRTA Fees SPRTA Fees/Carryover To	Ar	FY 2021/22 nnual Budget 385,427 385,427 Budget	F` Anı Ş	Y 2021/22 nual Budget 364,272 \$0 364,272 Budget	F` Anr \$	Y 2021/22 nual Budget 358,677 \$0 358,677 Budget	D \$	ifference 21,155 \$0 <b>21,155</b> Actual

Note 1: Direct expenses include postage, printing, advertising, and meeting expenses.



City of Lincoln • City of Rocklin • City of Roseville • Placer County

#### TO: SPRTA Board of Directors

DATE: May 25, 2022

#### FROM: Rick Carter, Deputy Executive Director Mike Luken, Executive Director

#### SUBJECT: FY 2022/23 ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET

#### Action Requested

Board approval of the FY 2022/23 budget (Attachment 1) for the administration of the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority.

#### **Background**

Under the Implementation Plan adopted by the Board in April 2002, SPRTA allocates \$3,000,000 over the course of the anticipated 20-year implementation period, or an average of \$150,000 annually for the administration of the Authority. The intent is for that amount to cover administrative contracts, including traffic modeling, legal services, and PCTPA's expenses to administer the Authority on an actual cost basis.

#### **Discussion**

As shown in Attachment 1, the FY 2022/23 administrative budget is proposed at \$243,256. This third year of an increased level is due to the continuing work on a new South Placer County traffic model and perform a comprehensive update of the SPRTA fees. The budget for the fee update work is \$100,000; the work is anticipated to conclude during FY 2022/23.

The Board should note that SPRTA budgets cover expected expenses, but all billings are at actual cost with no year-to-year carryover.

Including FY 2021/22, this brings the costs for SPRTA administration to \$1.92 million over the past 20 years. The need to increase the SPRTA administrative costs is being considered as part of the comprehensive SPRTA fee update.

The SPRTA Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) has reviewed this proposed budget and recommends the Board approve the budget as presented.

RRC:ML:ss

## Agenda Item J *Attachment 1*

### **Attachment 1**

## SPRTA Administrative Budget Summary FY 2022/23

May 25, 2022

Expenditures		FY 2022/23		FY 2021/22		
		Budget		Budget	D	ifference
PCTPA Administrative Contract	\$	129,056	\$	147,962	\$	(18,906)
Legal Services	\$	5,000		5,000	\$	-
Traffic Modeling/Nexus Analysis	\$	100,000		223,590	\$	(123,590)
Financial Audits	\$	6,700		6,375	\$	325
Direct Expenses (note 1)	\$	2,000		2,000	\$	-
Accounting Services	\$	500		500		\$0
Contingency funds		\$0		\$0		\$0
Total	\$	243,256	\$	385,427	\$	(142,171)
Revenues	F	Y 2022/23	F	Y 2021/22		

Revenues		FY 2022/23		FY 2021/22				
			Annual Budget		Budget		Difference	
SPRTA Fees		\$	243,256		385,427	\$	(142,171)	
SPRTA Fees/Carryover			\$0		\$0		\$0	
	Total	\$	243,256	\$	385,427	\$	(142,171)	
Contingency Funds			Budget		Budget		Actual	
			\$0		\$0		\$0	

Revenue to Expenditure Comparison	Budget	Budget	Actual
Surplus/(Deficit)	\$0	\$0	\$0

Note 1: Direct expenses include postage, printing, advertising, and meeting expenses.



City of Lincoln • City of Rocklin • City of Roseville • Placer County

TO: SPRTA Board of Directors

DATE: May 25, 2022

FROM: Rick Carter, Deputy Executive Director

SUBJECT: SR 49 SIDEWALK GAP CLOSURE PROJECT: ADDITION OF THE PROJECT TO THE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS LIST, EXECUTION OF MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING WITH PCTPA FOR RIGHT OF WAY ACQUISITION, FINDING THE PROJECT EXEMPT FROM CEQA AND FILING A NOTICE OF EXEMPTION, AND ESTABLISHING AN ADMINISTRATIVE PROCESS FOR RIGHT OF WAY ACQUISITION AND DELEGATE VARIOUS AUTHORITIES TO THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

#### Action Requested

- Adopt Resolution #22-10 to add the SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure Project to the list of SPRTA's Transportation Improvements, direct the Chair to execute a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority (SPRTA) and the Placer County Transportation Agency (PCTPA) authorizing SPRTA to acquire rights of way (ROW) for the SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure Project and requiring that PCTPA reimburse SPRTA for all SPRTA costs.
- Adopt Resolution #22-11 Finding the SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure Project exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and direct the filing of a Notice of Exemption; and
- Adopt Resolution #22-12 establishing an administrative process for ROW acquisition and delegating various authorities to the Executive Director for the acquisition of ROW and utility relocations for the SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure Project.

#### **Background**

PCTPA is proposing to construct sidewalk and accessible curb ramps at various locations between post miles 3.7 and 7.5 along State Route 49 (SR 49). The purpose of the SR 49 Sidewalk Gaps Closure Project (Project) is to improve pedestrian accessibility on SR 49 between Interstate 80 and Dry Creek Road. Approximately 3/4 of the Project limits are within unincorporated Placer County and 1/4 within the City of Auburn. PCTPA lacks authority to acquire ROW for the Project and seeks, as it has done in the past, to cooperatively use SPRTA's authority to acquire ROW. SPRTA's Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement (amended October 2003) grants SPRTA the authority to acquire ROW within member's jurisdictions for "Transportation Improvements" designated by SPRTA. SPRTA staff are requesting various actions by the SPRTA Board, as outlined below, to acquire ROW for the Project in cooperation with PCTPA for the SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure Project.

#### **SPRTA Board of Directors**

SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure Project: Addition of the Project to the Transportation Improvements List, Execution of Memorandum of Understanding with PCTPA for Right of Way Acquisition, Finding the Project Exempt from CEQA and Filing a Notice of Exemption, and Establishing an Administrative Process for Right of Way Acquisition and Delegate Various Authorities to the Executive Director May 25, 2022

Page 2

#### **Discussion**

## Addition to the list of SPRTA Transportation Improvements and Memorandum of Understanding

For SPRTA to acquire ROW for the SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure Project, the Project must be included in the SPRTA's list of Transportation Improvements. The Project is eligible for inclusion in the Transportation Improvements list because Placer County is a member of SPRTA and the Project is largely within, and benefits Placer County. Resolution 22-10 includes adding the SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure Project to the list of Transportation Improvements.

PCTPA is the implementing agency for the environmental, design, and right of way phases of the SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure Project while Caltrans will advertise, award, and administer (AAA) the construction of the Project. The proposed MOU (Attachment A to Resolution 22-10) identifies the roles and responsibilities of PCTPA and SPRTA in regard to ROW acquisition for the Project. SPRTA administrates the Tier I and II development impact fee programs; the SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure Project is not within the boundaries of these fee programs and will not use these fee program funds. The MOU stipulates that PCTPA will reimburse SPRTA for all SPRTA costs.

#### Finding the Project Categorically Exempt for CEQA

Caltrans is the Lead Agency for the Project under CEQA; both SPRTA and PCTPA are Responsible Agencies. As a Responsible Agency, SPRTA must rely on the Lead Agency's environmental document when acting on the Project but must prepare and issue its own findings regarding the Project.

PCTPA kicked off the Project in May 2018 by assembling a project development team (PDT) consisting of staff from PCTPA/SPRTA, Placer County, City of Auburn, Caltrans, and consultant staff. The PDT provided input on the design of the Project and other technical aspects required for federal and state approvals leading to construction. PCTPA and the consultant team submitted the Final Project Report and associated environmental documents to Caltrans on November 11, 2019. Caltrans approved the Final Project Report on December 6, 2019, signifying the completion of the Project Approval & Environmental Document phase of the Project. On December 6, 2019, Caltrans determined that the Project was Categorically Exempt under CEQA and is a Categorical Exclusion under NEPA (Exhibit A).

Staff has reviewed the Project to determine the required level of review under CEQA. The proposed Project is exempt from CEQA pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Section 15301(c) (Existing Facilities). This exemption applies to minor alteration of existing public or private facilities when the alteration involves negligible or no expansion of an existing use.

#### **SPRTA Board of Directors**

SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure Project: Addition of the Project to the Transportation Improvements List, Execution of Memorandum of Understanding with PCTPA for Right of Way Acquisition, Finding the Project Exempt from CEQA and Filing a Notice of Exemption, and Establishing an Administrative Process for Right of Way Acquisition and Delegate Various Authorities to the Executive Director May 25, 2022

#### Page 3

The purpose of the SR 49 Sidewalk Gaps Closure Project is to improve pedestrian accessibility on SR 49 between Interstate 80 and Dry Creek Road. The Project consists of constructing sidewalk to fill in gaps in the sidewalk network, accessible curb ramps, and associated improvements at various locations between post miles 3.7 and 7.5 (between Interstate 80 and Dry Creek Road) along State Route 49 (SR 49). The proposed improvements would include the minor alteration of existing facilities within State, County and City of Auburn right of way, involving no expansion of existing uses. No special circumstances exist that would create a reasonable possibility that the proposed Project will have a significant adverse effect on the environment. Therefore, this Project is exempt. Resolution 22-11 finds the Project exempt and directs staff to file a Notice of Exemption.

# Establishing an Administrative Process for Right of Way Acquisition and Delegating Various Authorities to the Executive Director for ROW Acquisition and Utility Relocations

The Project will close the gaps between sidewalks in the approximately 4.4-mile Highway 49 corridor between Interstate 80 and Dry Creek Road and construction requires acquiring portions of approximately 48 parcels. The majority of parcels require temporary construction easement rights while a small number require partial acquisitions. The Project has advanced to the point where it is time to start the right of way appraisal and acquisition process. Given the Project schedule with construction anticipated in 2023, it is timely to define the administrative process for right of way acquisition, including authorizing the Executive Director to take actions related to the right of way acquisition so the process can move forward efficiently.

Appraisals and offers are expected to begin in the second quarter of 2022 for most of the impacted properties. Offers are anticipated to be made by the third quarter 2022 with the intent to complete acquisition by the end of the year. The property acquisition types are detailed in the below table. A full list of impacted properties is attached.

Type of Acquisition	Number of Parcels	Type of Properties
Partial Acquisitions	5	2 Residential 3 Commercial
Temporary Construction Easement Acquisitions	43	14 Residential 28 Commercial 1 Industrial
Total	48	

#### Table 0 SR49 Right of Way Requirements

#### **SPRTA Board of Directors**

SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure Project: Addition of the Project to the Transportation Improvements List, Execution of Memorandum of Understanding with PCTPA for Right of Way Acquisition, Finding the Project Exempt from CEQA and Filing a Notice of Exemption, and Establishing an Administrative Process for Right of Way Acquisition and Delegate Various Authorities to the Executive Director May 25, 2022

#### Page 4

Utility conflicts are being identified through as-builts drawings, potholing and utility owner verification. Claim of liability will be provided by the utility owner which will determine the participating amount from PCTPA (if any). Liability determination is expected in the second quarter of 2022.

The current right of way process for SPRTA and PCTPA projects includes multiple board approvals for right of way transactions. The purpose of the proposed resolution is to authorize staff to complete many of the approval process determinations for this large number of parcels to ensure prompt delivery of the Right of Way phase of the Project.

SPRTA through its joint powers agreement is authorized to acquire property by purchase or by eminent domain. As this Project is funded in part with federal funds, the Project's real estate acquisition activities will adhere to applicable federal, state and local regulations. The following specific state and federal regulations apply to the project property acquisition program:

- 49 CFR Part 24, Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition for Federal and Federally-Assisted Programs, and the Uniform Act, P L 91-646, as amended
- California Code of Civil Procedure sections 1230.010 to 1273.050 (Eminent Domain Law)
- Government Code 7267 (Relocation Assistance and Appraisal Requirements)

The Project's goal is to reach a negotiated settlement with each of the property owners. If voluntary negotiations are unsuccessful, the real property negotiations will continue under the threat of condemnation, led by SPRTA. If necessary, at that time staff will return to the SPRTA board to hold a public hearing to consider approving a resolution of necessity under the eminent domain process.

In order to ensure timely response to the property owners and preserve the right of way schedule, staff recommends delegating authority to the Executive Director to approve and sign all appropriate documents to commence and complete real property transactions for the Project up to a Board approved right of way budget on a per parcel basis. The ROW appraisal process is still underway; upon its completion, staff would return to the Board to obtain approval of an acquisition budget for each parcel.

A sampling of the required approvals for the right of way program includes:

- 1. Notice of Decision to Appraise
- 2. Notice of Decision to Acquire
- 3. Real Estate Appraisal
- 4. Appraisal Review

# **SPRTA Board of Directors**

SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure Project: Addition of the Project to the Transportation Improvements List, Execution of Memorandum of Understanding with PCTPA for Right of Way Acquisition, Finding the Project Exempt from CEQA and Filing a Notice of Exemption, and Establishing an Administrative Process for Right of Way Acquisition and Delegate Various Authorities to the Executive Director May 25, 2022

Page 5

- 5. Just Compensation
- 6. Offer to Purchase Real Property
- 7. Counter Offers
- 8. Administrative Settlements
- 9. Purchase and Sale Agreements
- 10. Escrow Instructions
- 11. Grant Deeds
- 12. Accept real property
- 13. Right of Way Certification for the Project
- 14. Interagency agreements e.g. Cooperative Agreements

A sampling of the required approvals for utility relocation includes:

- 1. Notice To Owners
- 2. Utility Agreements
- 3. Encroachment Permits

Resolution #22-12 establishes an administrative process for ROW acquisition and delegates various authorities to the Executive Director for the acquisition of ROW and utility relocations for the Project including administering the appraisal process, negotiating and executing offers, purchase and sale agreements, and settlement agreements, and negotiating and executing rights of entry, temporary easements and utility agreements and permits. The Board retains the authority and responsibility to approve the appropriation of funds for the acquisition of the property and approve the maximum compensation for each parcel.

The PCTPA Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) concurred with the staff recommendation. Staff will report back to the Board on a quarterly basis on the status and budget of the right of way program.

RRC:ML:ss

# **RESOLUTION NO. 22-10**

# A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SOUTH PLACER REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY ADDING THE SR 49 SIDEWALK GAP CLOSURE PROJECT TO THE LIST OF TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS AND AUTHORIZING THE CHAIR TO EXECUTE A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING WITH PLACER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY FOR THE ACQUISITION OF RIGHTS OF WAY FOR THE PROJECT

The following resolution was duly passed by the Board of the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority at a regular meeting held May 25, 2022, by the following vote on roll call:

AYES:

NOES:

# ABSENT:

WHEREAS, the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency ("PCTPA") is proposing, in coordination with Caltrans and the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority ("Authority") the construction of the SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure Project ("Project") to construct sidewalk and accessible curb ramps at various locations between post miles 3.7 and 7.5 (between Interstate 80 and Dry Creek Road) along State Route 49 within the County of Placer and the City of Auburn; and

**WHEREAS**, the Authority was formed to provide for the coordinated planning, design, financing, acquisition, determination of the timing of construction, and construction, of certain transportation improvements located in the area of jurisdiction of the Authority; and

**WHEREAS**, the Authority is authorized to acquire real property in connection with the construction of projects benefitting its member agencies; and

**WHEREAS**, the Project is a transportation project which provides benefit to the County of Placer.

**NOW, THEREFORE**, pursuant to the authority of the Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement for the Planning, Design, Financing, Acquisition and Construction of Regional Transportation Improvements, dated October 1, 2003, ("JPA Agreement"), it is hereby resolved by the Board of Directors of the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority to add the SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure Project to the Authority's list of Transportation Improvements.

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED,** the Board Chair is directed to execute the Memorandum of Understanding Between Placer County Regional Planning Agency and South Placer Regional Transportation Authority for the Acquisition of Rights of Way for the SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure Project (Attachment A).

ATTEST\_

Paul Joiner, Chair

Executive Director

## MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN PLACER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY AND SOUTH PLACER REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY FOR THE ACQUISITION OF RIGHTS OF WAY FOR THE SR 49 SIDEWALK GAP CLOSURE PROJECT

This Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) is made and entered into on May 25, 2022, by and between the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA) and the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority (SPRTA).

# 1. RECITALS:

WHEREAS, PCTPA in coordination with Caltrans is constructing the SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure Project ("Project") to construct sidewalk and accessible curb ramps at various locations between post miles 3.7 and 7.5 along State Route 49 within the County of Placer and the City of Auburn; and

WHEREAS, SPRTA was formed to provide for the coordinated planning, design, financing, acquisition, determination of the timing of construction, and construction, of certain transportation improvements located in the area of jurisdiction of SPRTA; and

WHEREAS, SPRTA is authorized by its Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement (JPA) dated April 5, 2002 and Amended October, 2003, to acquire real property in connection with the construction of projects benefitting its member agencies; and

WHEREAS, the Project is a transportation project which provides benefit to the County of Placer and is included in the list of Transportation Improvements approved by SPRTA; and

WHEREAS the Parties desire to work cooperatively pursuant to this MOU to complete the Project.

# 2. PURPOSE:

The purpose of this MOU is to document the agreement between PCTPA and SPRTA to provide for the acquisition of rights of way by SPRTA for PCTPA's SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure Project, with reasonable rights of termination by either party.

# 3. DUTIES:

a. SPRTA agrees and consents to perform duties as necessary for the acquisition of rights of way for the SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure Project, in cooperation with PCTPA.

b. The parties agree that after acquisition of the necessary property by SPRTA, and the construction of the Project by PCTPA, the property and project will be

1

transferred to Caltrans, and SPRTA will have no responsibilities or obligations related to the property or the Project.

c. PCTPA agrees to fully reimburse SPRTA for all of SPRTA's costs associated with SPRTA's actions to acquire rights of way for the SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure Project.

# 4. TERM:

This MOU shall be effective as of the date first written above and shall remain in effect until terminated or upon completion of the SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure Project, whichever occurs first. Termination may be made by action of either PCTPA or SPRTA Board of Directors to withdraw from this MOU with sixty (60) day notice to the other party. PCTPA's obligation to fully reimburse SPRTA for all of SPRTA's costs shall survive termination of this MOU.

# 5. COMPENSATION:

PCTPA will compensate SPRTA for all documented actual SPRTA costs associated with SPRTA carrying out this MOU. The Staffing Agreement Between South Placer Regional Transportation Authority and Placer County Transportation Planning Agency executed on October 24, 2007, stipulates that PCTPA provides staff to perform the duties of SPRTA. Because PCTPA will be responsible for both providing staff time associated with SPRTA carrying out this MOU and paying those expenses, PCTPA will not bill SPRTA for PCTPA's staff time.

# 6. EFFECTIVE DATE:

This MOU is effective May 25, 2022.

# PLACER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY (PCTPA)

299 Nevada Street Auburn, CA 95603

Brian Baker, Chair

# SOUTH PLACER REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY (SPRTA)

299 Nevada Street Auburn, CA 95603

Paul Joiner, Chair

## **RESOLUTION NO. 22-11**

# A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SOUTH PLACER REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY FINDING THAT NO FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW IS REQUIRED UNDER THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT FOR THE SR 49 SIDEWALK GAP CLOSURE PROJECT

The following resolution was duly passed by the Board of the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority at a regular meeting held May 25, 2022 by the following vote on roll call:

## AYES: NOES: ABSENT:

WHEREAS, the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency ("PCTPA") is proposing, in coordination with Caltrans and the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority ("Authority"), the construction of the SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure Project ("Project") to construct sidewalk and accessible curb ramps at various locations between post miles 3.7 and 7.5 along State Route 49 within the County of Placer and the City of Auburn; and

**WHEREAS**, the Authority Board of Directors has directed staff to proceed with the actions necessary for the acquisition of real property required for the construction of the Project; and

**WHEREAS**, the Authority was formed to provide for the coordinated planning, design, financing, acquisition, determination of the timing of construction, and construction, of certain transportation improvements located in the area of jurisdiction of the Authority; and

**WHEREAS,** the Authority is authorized to acquire real property in connection with the construction of the Project; and

**WHEREAS**, Caltrans is the Lead Agency pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and both PCTPA and the Authority are Responsible Agencies pursuant to CEQA; and

**WHEREAS**, the Project is a project under CEQA, and

**WHEREAS**, Caltrans has previously determined that the project is Categorically Exempt pursuant to CEQA and received approval of a Categorical Exclusion under NEPA; and

WHEREAS, Section 15301(c) (Existing Facilities) of the State CEQA Guidelines (Chapter 3 of Division 6 of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations) provides an exemption from CEQA for projects involving the minor alteration of existing public or private facilities when the alteration involves negligible or no expansion of an existing use.

**NOW, THEREFORE**, the Board of Directors of the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority hereby finds this Project is exempt from CEQA pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Section 15301 and staff is directed to file a notice of exemption.

<u>Finding</u>: The Project is exempt from CEQA pursuant to section 15301(c) of the State CEQA Guidelines.

<u>Evidence</u>: The Project is exempt from CEQA under State CEQA Guidelines Section 15301(c). This exemption applies to the minor alteration of existing public facilities involving negligible or no expansion of use beyond that existing at the time of the lead agency's determination. The project consists of constructing sidewalk to fill in gaps in the sidewalk network, accessible curb ramps, and associated improvements at various locations between post miles 3.7 and 7.5 (between Interstate 80 and Dry Creek Road) along State Route 49. The proposed improvements would include the minor alteration of existing facilities within State, Placer County, and City of Auburn right-of-way, involving no expansion of existing uses. No special circumstances exist that would create a reasonable possibility that the proposed project will have a significant adverse effect on the environment. Therefore, the project is exempt.

Paul Joiner, Chair South Placer Regional Transportation Authority

ATTEST:

Executive Director

### CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

Dist-Co.Ret. (or Local Agency)       P.M.P.M.       EAProject No.       Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project No. (State Courty, and activities involved in this box. Use Continuation Street, if necessary.)         PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (Sinchy describe project Inducing neces, location, limits, right-of-way requirements, and activities involved in this box. Use Continuation Street, if necessary.)         Placer Courty, Transportation PRA 95 Idevald. Kaps Closure Project (project) is to provide pedestrian accessibility on SR 49 between Interstate 80 and Dry Creek Road. The project will close sidewalk gaps between Eim Avenue and Dry Creek Road. The project will close sidewalk gaps between Eim Avenue and Dry Creek Road.         Caltrans's project EA 03-2F340, and provide a contiguous accessible path from I-80 to Dry Creek Road.         Catartans's ECAO DETERMINITION (Check one)         Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is:         Exampt by Statule. (PRC 2100b); 14 CCR 15200 et seq.)         Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not app:         • If this project fails within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 1, 1 does not Inpact an environment al resource of hazardous or ortical concern where designated, procisely mapped, and oficially adopted pursuant to law.         • The project does not damage a sconic resource within an oficially designated state sconic highway.         • This project not cause a substantial adverse change in the significant effect on the environment and exceptic		0150/00)			
PHO_JECT DESCRIPTION: (Briefy describe project including need, purpose, location, limits, right-of-way requirements, and activities involved in this box. <i>Bio Continuation Sheeven</i> post. <i>It necessary</i> .         Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA) is proposing to construct sidewalks and accessibile crub ramps. <i>California</i> . The purpose of the SR 49 Sidewalk Caps Closure Project (project) is to provide podestrian accessibile or SR 49 Sidewalk Caps Closure Project (project) is to provide podestrian accessibile or SR 49 Sidewalk Caps Closure Project (project) is to provide podestrian accessibile or SR 49 Sidewalk Caps Closure Project (project) is to provide podestrian accessibile or SR 49 Sidewalk Caps Closure Project (project) is to provide podestrian accessibile or SR 49 Sidewalk Caps Closure Project (project) is to provide podestrian accessibile or SR 49 Sidewalk Caps Closure Project (project) is to provide podestrian accessibile or SR 49 Sidewalk Caps Closure Project (project) is to provide podestrian accessibile or SR 49 Sidewalk Caps Closure Project (project) is to provide podestrian accessibile or SR 49 Sidewalk Caps Closure Project (project) is to provide podestrian accessibile or SR 49 Sidewalk Caps Closure Project (project) is to provide podestrian accessibile or SR 49 Sidewalk Caps Closure Project (project) is the samp SR 40 and transmistion of this proposal supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not export on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not export on a scannination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not export on a scannination of this project and accessible prosesible or accessible prosesible or accessible prosesible or accessible project and caccessible prosesible or accessible prosesible or accessible prodest and caccessible provide state scance b	<b>3/Pla/49 3.7-7.5 3H830</b> Dist -Co - Bte (or Local Agency) P.M /P.M E.A/Proje	6158(82) Sect No Eederal-Aid Project No (Local Project)/Project No			
activities involved in this box. Use Continuation Steel, If necessary.)         Picer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA) is proposing to construct sidewalks and accessible curb ramps at various locations between post miles 3.7 and 7.5 along State Route 49 (SR 49) in and near Auburn, in Placer County, California. The purpose of the SR 49 Sidewalk Gaps Closure Project (project) is to provide pedestrian accessibility on SR 49 between Interstate 80 and Dry Creek Road. The project will close sidewalk gaps between Eim Arenue and Dry Creek Road. The project will close sidewalk gaps between Eim Arenue and Dry Creek Road. Cher proposed project will increase accessibile route in this corridor to 75 percent from 39 percent after completion of Calitans' project EA 03-2F340, and provide a configuous accessible path from I-80 to Dry Creek Road.         CALTFRANS CEGA DETERMINATION (Necko ene)       Exempt by Statute, (PRC 21080); 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)         Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, and the above statements, the project is:       Exempt by Statute, (PRC 21080; 1); 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)         Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not experit will not be assignificant direct on the annivorment date composities or oritical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law.         • The project flaw will not espinificant direct on the same place, orver time.       • The project dase not class and barres change in the significant direct on the same place. (Source esci.)         • The project dase not class and barres of the same place in the significant direct on the same place. (Soure esci.)       • The project dase not class and trans an					
at various locations between post miles 3.7 and 7.5 along State Roule 49 (SR 49) in and near Auburn, in Placer County, California. The purpose of the SR 49 Sidewalk Gaps Closure Project (Project) is to provide predestrian accessibility on SR 49 between Interstate 80 and Dry Creek Road. The project will close sidewalk gaps between Em Avenue and Dry Creek Road. California 7 project EA 03-2F340, and provide a contiguous accessible path from 1-80 to Dry Creek Road. CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one) CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one) CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one) California 7 project EA 03-2F340, and provide a contiguous accessible path from 1-80 to Dry Creek Road. CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one) California 7 project 16 (State 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Caregorical Exempt. Loss 1 . (PRC 21084); 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply in the project falls within exores class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, 14 does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, previsely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time. This project falls with the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. This project does not damage a sconic resource within an officially designated state scelic highway. This project does not actuage a sconic resource within an efficially defined tate scelic highway. This project does not damage a sconic resource within an environment law to Code § 65962.5 (Crotese List'). This project does not actuage a sconic resource. Common Sense Exemption. (This project does not an examination of this proposal and supporting information, and sconichighy bus the single	activities involved in this box. Use Continuation Sheet, if necessary.	)			
California. The purpose of the SR 45 Sidewalk Gaps Closure Project (project) is to provide pedestrian accessibility on SR 49 between Interstate 80 and Dry Creek Road. The project will close sidewalk gaps between Elm Avenue and Dry Creek Road. The project will solve as consible path from 1-80 to Dry Creek Road.  CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)  At Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEOA Lead Agency I Not Applicable – Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEOA Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is  Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080(b); 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:  I this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law.  There is not a reasoniable possibility that the project will have a significant diffect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.  This project is not charage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.  This project is not charage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.  This project is not charage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.  This project is not charage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.  This project is not charage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.  This project is not charage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.  This project is not charage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.  This project is not charage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.  This project is not charage a scenic resource within an officially designated stat					
49 between Inferstate 80 and Dry Creek Road. The project will close sidewalk gaps between Em Avenue and Dry Creek Road.         CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)         CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)         Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEOA Lead Agency       Into Applicable – Caltrans the project 14 03-28340, and provide a contiguous accessible path from 1-80 to Dry Creek Road.         Categorical Usempt Loss					
Road. The proposed project will increase accessible routes in this corridor to 75 percent from 39 percent after completion of caltrans' project RA 32-EF340, and provide a contiguous accessible path from 1-80 to Dry Creek Road.         CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)       Int Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEOA Lead Agency       Int Applicable – Caltrans the project RA 32-EF340, and provide a contiguous accessible path from 1-80 to Dry Creek Road.         CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)       Int Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEOA Lead Agency       Int Mapci Report under CEOA         Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is:       Interpret Path Path Path Path Path Path Path Pat					
completion of Caltrans' project EA 03-2F340, and provide a contiguous accessible path from I-80 to Dry Creek Read.         CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)       Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency       Not Applicable – Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEQA         Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is:       Strempt by Statute. (PRC 21080)1: 14 CCR 15260 ot seq.)         Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:       • If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designificant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same ylaco, over time.         • There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same ylaco, over time.       • There will not be a significant ensure within an officially designated state sects exemt by forther and successive projects of the same ylaco, over time.         • There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.       • This project to obes not damage a secnic resource within an exempt damage to a bistorical resource.         • There will not be a significant effect on the environment (I 4 CCR 15061[b][3].)         William Lerson       Samuel Vandell         Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmenta					
CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)       Not Applicable - Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEQA         Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is:       Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080); 14 CCR 15500 et seq.)         Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:       If this project fails within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law.         • There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time.         • There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive project and successive project and successive project does not clause a substantial adverse change in the significant er anditarial adverse change in the significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.         • This project does not anage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.         • This project does not anage a scenic resource within an environment (14 CCR 15061b)[3].)         William Larson       Samuel Vandell         Print Name: Senior Environmental Assessment (EA)       Signature         Signature       Date         Signature       Date         Print Name: Senior Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental asclament (EIS), and         • Ass consid					
□ Not Applicable - Caltrans is not the CEOA Lead Agency       □ Not Applicable - Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEOA         Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is:       □         □ Exempt by Statute, (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)       □         □ Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:       •         • If This project fails within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concernitiene designated, precisely impact, and officially adopted pursuant to law.       •         • There imme.       •       •       •         • The project fails within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concernitiene designated, precisely impact, and officially designated state scenic highway.       •         •       •       The is project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.       •         • <td></td> <td><u> </u></td>		<u> </u>			
Environmental Impact Report under CECA         Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is:         Categorically Exempt. Lotses 1       (PRC 210641(b): 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)         Categorically Exempt. Lotses 1       (PRC 210641(b): 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)         Stased on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:       (PRC 210841(t) CCR 15260 et seq.)         There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time.       There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time.         This project foodes not damage a scenic resource within an ortically designated state scenic highway.       This project foodes not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.         Common Senes Exemption. [This project does not fault within an exemption and this an exemption and this an exemption and this an exemption and this and exemption and this project.         Signature       Date         WEPA COMPLIANCE       Samuel Vandell         In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project.         • determined that this project.       Date         VEPA COMPLIANCE       Signature         • Date       State has det		Not Applicable – Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or			
□ Sempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]: 14 CCR 15280 et seq.)         □ Categorically Exempt. Class 1       . (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.)         □ Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:		Environmental Impact Report under CEQA			
Categorically Exempt. Class 1      (PRC 2104:14 CCR 15300 et seq.)         Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:		nd the above statements, the project is:			
Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:         • If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law.         • There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time.         • There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.         • This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.         • This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scene highway.         • This project does not damage a scenic resource within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061b][3].)         William Larson       Samuel Vandell         Print Name: Senice Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chiel       Putto Manager         Signature       Date         MEPA COMPLIANCE       Name         In accordance with 23 CFR 771.1170, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project:         • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environmental adjined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E		(5000 st sec)			
exply:       • If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law.         • There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time.         • There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.         • This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").         • This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.         • This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.         • This project is not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.         • This project is not cause a substantial adverse change in the significant of the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[D][3].)         William Larson       Samuel Vandell         Print Name: Project Manager       Date         Signature       Date         Signature       Date         • Date       Date         • As considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).       Common Assessment (EIS), and the section of the state has determined that this project is a Categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an Envicronmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental s					
<ul> <li>If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law.</li> <li>There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time.</li> <li>This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.</li> <li>This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.</li> <li>This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.</li> <li>Common Sense Exemption. (This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].</li> <li>William Larson Print Name: Senice Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date Date NEPA comPLIANCE In accordance with 32 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project hanager Calce TRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USG 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USG 326: The State has deter</li></ul>		nation, the following statements are true and exceptions do not			
There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time.     There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.     This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.     This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.     This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.     Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)      William Larson     Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief     Ment Achief     Signature     Date     Date     Nepped does not not adve a substantial adverse asignificant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental substances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).      CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)     23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project is no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b); to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and A Menorandum of the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Menorandum of the proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: <u>23 CFR 771.117(c)</u> activity (0(3)) <u>23 CFR 771.117(c)</u> activ	<ul> <li>If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it of</li> </ul>				
over time.       • There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.         • This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.         • This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.         Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fail within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)         William Larson       Samuel Vandell         Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental supporting information, the State has determined that this project:         In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project:         Asso considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).         CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)         23 USC 28: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:         2					
<ul> <li>There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.</li> <li>This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.</li> <li>This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.</li> <li>Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)</li> <li>William Larson</li> <li>Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief</li> <li>Signature</li> <li>Date</li> <li>Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief</li> <li>Signature</li> <li>Date</li> <li>Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Planner or Environmental Planner or Date</li> <li>Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Planner or Environmental Planner or Date</li> <li>Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Signature</li> <li>Oate</li> <li>Oate</li> <li>Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Planner or Environmental Planner or Environmental Planner or Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental sceletorine of the sceletorine of the Assessment (EA) or Environmental Inpact Statement (EIS), and</li> <li>has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EAv or Els under the National Environmental Plance (EA) or Environmental Plance or Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:</li> <li>23 USC 327: Based on an examinat</li></ul>		oject and successive projects of the same type in the same place,			
This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.     This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").     This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment [14 CCR 15061[b][3].     William Larson     Samuel Vandell     Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or     Environmental Branch Chief     Jate     Signature     Date     Date     NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has     determined that this project:     does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the     requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and     has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).     CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)     Z 3USC 326: The State has determined that this project is a categorically excluded from the     requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby     certifies that that acroject is a Categorical Exclusion under:		nave a significant effect on the environment due to unusual			
This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65982.5 ("Cortese List").     This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)	circumstances.	•			
• This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.   Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)   William Larson Samuel Vandell   Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Signature   Jump 2010 Jump 2010   Signature Jump 2010   Date Signature   Date Signature   Date Signature   MEPA COMPLIANCE Jump 2010   In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project:   • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and   • has considered unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b).   CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)   2 3 USC 36: The State has determined that this project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that thas categorical Exclusion under:   2 3 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(3)   2 3					
□       Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)         William Larson       Samuel Vandell         Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief       Print Name: Project Manager         Signature       Date         MEPA COMPLIANCE       Name Maddell         In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project:       Addet Maddell         In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project:       Addet Maddell         In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project as a considered unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b).       CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)         Image: 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is a categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or ES under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the St					
possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)         William Larson         Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief         Jume Date         Signature         Date         Open not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and         As considered unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b).         CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)         Signature       Signature         Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has defined by NEPA, and that there roject is a Categori		-			
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief       Print Name: Project Manager         Juile       Juile       Juile         Signature       Juile       Juile         Signature       Juile       Juile         NEPA COMPLIANCE       In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project:       Image: State Accord Accord State Accord Accord State Accord State Accord State Accord State Accord State Accord Accord State Accord State Accord Accord State Accord State Accord State Accord Accord State Accord Accord State Accord State Accord Accord State Accord State Accord Accord State Accord					
Environmental Branch Chief       July 124       July 3	William Larson	Samuel Vandell			
Signature       Date       Signature       Date         Signature       Date       Signature       Date         NEPA COMPLIANCE       In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project:       In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project is categorized unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).         CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)       23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Tile 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:         21 3 CFR 771.117(d): activity (c)(3)       32 GFR 771.117(d): activity (c)(3)         33 GFR 771.117(d): activity (d)       30 GFR 771.117(d): activity (d)         23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental aws for this project are being, or have been, carried		Print Name: Project Manager			
Signature       Date       Signature       Date         NEPA COMPLIANCE <ul> <li>In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project:</li> <li>o does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and</li> <li>has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).</li> </ul> <li>CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)</li> <li>23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:</li>	Environmental Branch Chief	1 11			
Signature       Date       Signature       Date         NEPA COMPLIANCE <ul> <li>In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project:</li> <li>o does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and</li> <li>has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).</li> </ul> <li>CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)</li> <li>23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:</li>	111/12-6 12/\$119	Sam Vandell 12/6/19			
NEPA COMPLIANCE         In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project:         • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and         • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).         CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)         ✓ 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State is a determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:         ✓ 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (c)(3)         ☐ Activity	Signature Date				
In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 2 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(3) 2 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() Activity	NEPA COMPLIANCE				
<ul> <li>does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and</li> <li>has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).</li> <li>CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)</li> <li>23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and A Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:         <ul> <li>23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(3)</li> <li>23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(3)</li> <li>23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: Sa USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.</li> </ul> </li> <li>William Larson         <ul> <li>Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Envi</li></ul></li></ul>		of this proposal and supporting information, the State has			
requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (ÉA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and         • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).         CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)         ☑ 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:         ☑ 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(3)         ☐ 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.         William Larson       Samual Vandell         Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief       Signature         Date       Date	determined that this project:				
<ul> <li>has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).</li> <li>CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)</li> <li>23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23. United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:</li></ul>					
CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)         Image: Signature         Image: Signature         Image: Signature         Image: Signature         Image: Signature         Image: Signature                 Image: Signature           Image: Signature           Image: Signature       Image: Signature           Image: Signature       Image:					
<ul> <li>23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:</li></ul>					
that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:		a significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and			
requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:					
Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: <sup>2</sup> 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(3) <sup>2</sup> 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() <sup>2</sup> Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State <sup>2</sup> 3 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.             William Larson             Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief <u>Waman</u> <u>12/6/m</u> <u>Signature</u> <u>Date</u>					
determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: <sup>2</sup> 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(3) <sup>2</sup> 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() <sup>2</sup> Activity					
∑ 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(3)             ☐ 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)()             ☐ Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State             ☐ 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a         Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable         Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the         Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.             William Larson         Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or         Environmental Branch Chief           Samual Vandell         Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer             Wagess         Signature           12/6/m         Date           Signature         Date					
<sup>[]</sup> 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() <sup>[]</sup> Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State <sup>[]</sup> 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.             William Larson         Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief         UL/6/49         Signature         Date					
<ul> <li>Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State</li> <li>23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.</li> <li>William Larson         <ul> <li>Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief</li> <li>Magagaa</li> <li>12/6/49</li> <li>Signature</li> <li>Date</li> </ul> </li> </ul>					
23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.         William Larson       Samual Vandell         Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief       Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer         William Larson       Signature					
Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.         William Larson         Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief       Samual Vandell         William Larson       Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer         William Larson       Samual Vandell         Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief       Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer         William Larson       Signature       Date					
Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.         William Larson       Samual Vandell         Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief       Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer         William Larson       Signature       12/6/19         Signature       Date       Signature	Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental	eview, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable			
William Larson       Samual Vandell         Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief       Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer         William Larson       12/6/19         Signature       Date					
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief					
Environmental Branch Chief <u>M. 9 m</u> Signature <u>Bate</u> <u>J2/6/19</u> <u>Jate</u> <u>J2/6/19</u> <u>Jate</u> <u>J2/6/19</u> <u>Jate</u> <u>J2/6/19</u> <u>Jate</u>					
Signature Date Date Date					
Signature Date Date Date	11	Sem the fill intition			
		12/6/19			
Date of Categorical Exclusion Checklist completion: Date of ECR or equivalent :	Signature Date /	¿Signature Date			

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).

#### CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM Continuation Sheet

Continued from page 1:

#### Biology: Refer to Natural Environment Study - Minimal Impacts

The following measures would be implemented to avoid, minimize, and/or mitigate impacts to riparian and wetland habitat:

- Prior to construction, Placer County Transportation Planning Agency will obtain a Section 404 Nationwide Permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Section 401 Water Quality Certification from the Central Valley RWQCB, and a Section 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement from CDFW for permanent impacts to waters of the U.S./State. To compensate for permanent impacts to waters of the U.S./State within Rock Creek (0.035 acres) and at Wetland Ditch #2 (0.0002 acres), PCTPA will purchase credits from a USACE- approved mitigation bank at a minimum ratio of 2:1, pay in-lieu fees to an agency-approved fund, or implement appropriate permittee-responsible mitigation, in coordination with the permitting agencies.
- Environmentally sensitive areas (ESAs) will be designated on project plans and in project specifications to avoid additional impacts to riparian and wetland habitats within the ESL (see NESmi Figure 3-1). Design personnel will coordinate with environmental personnel during the development of the project to determine the exact locations of proposed ESA areas.

ESA information will be shown on contract plans and discussed in the Special Provisions.

ESA provisions may include, but are not limited to, the use of temporary orange fencing to delineate the proposed limit of work in areas adjacent to sensitive resources, or to delineate and exclude sensitive resources from potential construction impacts. Contractor encroachment into ESAs will be restricted (including the staging/operation of heavy equipment or casting of excavation materials). ESA provisions shall be implemented as a first order of work and remain in place until all construction activities are complete.

• Containment measures and construction site Best Management Practices (BMPs) will be employed to prevent any construction material or debris from entering surface waters or their channels. BMPs for erosion control will be implemented and in place prior to, during, and after construction in order to ensure that no silt or sediment enters surface waters.

Caltrans' Standard Specifications require the Contractor to submit a Water Pollution Control Plan (WPCP). This plan must meet the standards and objectives to minimize water pollution impacts set forth in section 7-1.010 of Caltrans' Standard Specifications. The WPCP must also be in compliance with the goals and restrictions identified in the Central Valley Region Basin Plan. These standards/objectives, also referred to as BMPs, include but are not limited to:

- 1. Where working areas encroach on live or dry streams, lakes, or wetlands, CVRWQCB-approved physical barriers adequate to prevent the flow or discharge of sediment into these systems shall be constructed and maintained between working areas and streams, lakes and wetlands. During construction of the barriers, discharge of sediment into streams shall be held to a minimum. Discharge will be contained through the use of CVRWQCB-approved measures that will keep sediment from entering protected waters.
- 2. Oily or greasy substances originating from the Contractor's operations shall not be allowed to enter or be placed where they will later enter a live or dry stream, pond, or wetland.
- 3. Asphalt concrete shall not be allowed to enter a live or dry stream, pond, or wetland.
- All equipment maintenance, staging, and dispensing of fuel, oil, or any other such activities will occur in developed or designated nonsensitive upland habitat areas. The designated upland areas will be located in such a manner as to prevent any spill runoff from entering waters of the United States.
- To avoid attracting predators of sensitive species, the proposed project site will be kept as clean of debris as possible. All
  food-related trash items will be enclosed in sealed containers and regularly removed from the site(s).

To avoid spreading invasive plant species the following measures will be implemented:

# CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

				<b>Continuation Sh</b>			
3/Pla/49		(or Local Agency)	<b>3.7-7.5</b> P.M./P.M.	<b>3H830</b> E.A/Project No.	6158(82) Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project	ct)/Project No.	
•	Wee plar prop imp	ed Abatement Progra is included in the pro- posed project and inc	m. In compliance ject will not use sp orporated into the	with EO 13112 and guida ecies listed as invasive. Plans, Specifications, ar	ance from the FHWA, the landscaping and A weed abatement program shall be develo d Estimates (PS&E) package to avoid and . At a minimum, the program shall include	erosion control oped for the /or minimize the	
	-			terial will be removed fro erly in a landfill or other s	om the proposed project work area. All remo	oved invasive	
	-			Contractor shall inspect nt from one project locati	and clean construction equipment at the be on to another.	ginning of eac	
	-	During construction	, soil and vegetatio	n disturbance will be mir	nimized to the greatest extent feasible.		
	-	During construction, the Construction Contractor shall ensure that all material stockpiled is sufficiently watered or covere to prevent excessive amounts of dust. During construction, soil, gravel, and rock will be obtained from weed-free source					
	-	Only certified weed-	free straw, mulch,	and/or fiber rolls will be	used for erosion control.		
	-	After construction, affected areas adjacent to native vegetation will be revegetated with plant species that are native to the vicinity as approved by the District Biologist.					
	-	After construction, all revegetated areas will avoid the use of species listed on the California Invasive Plant Council (Cal- IPC) California Invasive Plant Inventory that have a High or Moderate rating.					
	-	Erosion control and/or revegetation sites will be monitored after construction to detect and control the introduction/invasion of nonnative species. The monitoring period will be determined in consultation with resource agencies.					
	-	Eradication procedures (e.g., spraying and/or hand weeding) will be outlined should an infestation occur; the use of herbicides will be prohibited within and adjacent to native vegetation, except as specifically authorized and monitored the District Biologist.					
	_	All woody invasive s	species will be rem	oved from the proposed	project limits.		
he follo	wing I	measures would be i	mplemented to avo	oid and minimize impacts	to migratory birds:		
•		shrubs) required for of the predicted nes	the project is com ting season for rap	pleted between October otors and migratory birds	ded that the removal of any woody vegetati 1st and January 31st prior to project constr in this area. Vegetation removal outside th ed biologist determines that no migratory bi	ruction, outside	
•		improvements are s September 30th), a biologist within 15 d with USFWS regard with provisions of th	cheduled during th focused survey for ays prior to the beg ing appropriate ac e CFGC. If a lapse	e nesting season of prot active nests of such bir ginning to project-related tion to comply with the N in project related work of	es construction, grading, or other project-rel ected raptors and migratory birds (Februar ds shall be conducted by a qualified contra- activities. If active nests are found, PCTP/ ligratory Bird Treaty Act of 1918 and with C of 15 days or longer occurs, another survey before the work can be reinitiated.	y 1st to ctor-supplied A shall consult CDFW to comp	

## **RESOLUTION NO. 22-12**

## A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SOUTH PLACER REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY ESTABLISHING AN ADMINISTRATIVE PROCESS FOR RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION RELATED TO THE SR 49 SIDEWALK GAP CLOSURE PROJECT

The following resolution was duly passed by the Board of the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority at a regular meeting held May 25, 2022, by the following vote on roll call:

## AYES: NOES: ABSENT:

WHEREAS, the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency ("PCTPA") is proposing, in coordination with Caltrans and the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority ("Authority") the construction of the SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure Project ("Project") to construct sidewalk and accessible curb ramps at various locations between post miles 3.7 and 7.5 along State Route 49 within the County of Placer and the City of Auburn; and

**WHEREAS**, Caltrans as the Lead Agency has determined the Project categorically exempt pursuant to CEQA and received approval of a Categorical Exclusion under NEPA; and

**WHEREAS**, the Authority as a Responsible Agency has determined the Project is categorical exempt from CEQA review and directed staff to file a notice of exemption; and

**WHEREAS**, the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority ("Authority") was formed to provide for the coordinated planning, design, financing, acquisition, determination of the timing of construction, and construction, of certain transportation improvements located in the area of jurisdiction of the Authority; and

**WHEREAS,** the Authority is authorized to acquire real property in connection with the construction of the Project; and

**WHEREAS,** the Authority Board of Directors has directed staff to proceed with the actions necessary for the acquisition of property by the Authority for the construction of the Project by PCTPA; and

**WHEREAS**, the Authority desires to authorize the Executive Director to take certain actions related to real property acquisition functions make the process more efficient.

**NOW, THEREFORE**, it is hereby resolved by the Board of Directors of the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority that:

1. <u>Delegation to Executive Director</u>. The following duties and functions are hereby delegated to the Executive Director, or his designee:

a) To oversee and administer the real property appraisal process, including but not limited to, providing Notices of Decision to Appraise and Notices of Decision to Acquire; causing real property required for the Project to be appraised; establishing a process for the review and approval of appraisals; approving and making written offers of just compensation; to acquire real property based upon the appraised value.

b) Following approval by the Board of Director of a maximum compensation offer for each parcel, to Offer to Purchase Real Property; negotiate and execute Counter Offers, Administrative Settlements, Purchase and Sale Agreements, and Settlement Agreements required for the Project; establish terms and conditions for the conveyance of the real property; open escrow accounts and provide escrow instructions; accept deeds, easement and other documents conveying real property to the Authority; and take other actions as necessary to complete the acquisition of the real property.

c) To negotiate and execute rights of entry, temporary easements, and other similar documents necessary to facilitate the construction of the Project.

d) For the purposes of effecting utility relocations: to provide Notices to Owners, execute Utility Agreements, and execute Encroachment Permits.

e) To provide the Board of Directors with a confidential written report on an as needed basis of the status of all real property acquisitions, including the appraised value, offers made and received, and other information the Executive Director deems appropriate.

2. <u>Retention of Authority by Board of Directors</u>. The Board of Directors hereby expressly retains authority over the following matters:

a) To approve the appropriation of funds for the acquisition of real property.

b) To approve the maximum compensation available to each parcel.

c) Other matters not expressly delegated in this Resolution.

3. <u>Standards for Acquisition and Relocation</u>. The Board of Directors hereby directs that the acquisition of real property for the Project shall conform to all applicable provisions of the California Government Code and Civil Code; and shall further conform to 49 CFR Part 24, Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition for Federal and Federally-Assisted Programs, and the Uniform Act, P L 91-646, as amended; and shall further conform to the California Department of Transportation standards and procedures as set forth in Chapter 17 (Local Programs) of the Department's Right of Way Manual. In the event of a conflict, the provisions of State law shall govern over the California Department of Transportation standards and procedures and Federal law govern over

California Government Code and Civil Code and the California Department of Transportation standards and procedures.

Paul Joiner, Chair South Placer Regional Transportation Authority

ATTEST:

Executive Director

# SOUTH PLACER REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY Technical Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes

# May 10, 2022 – 2:00 p.m.

STAFF: Rick Carter Mike Costa Solvi Sabol

# Highway 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure Infill Project

Rick Carter went over the four components related to the Highway 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure infill project that are being brought to the SPRTA Board. He explained that because PCTPA lacks legal authority to attain right-of-way (ROW), the Highway 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure infill project will need to be added to the SPRTA's Tier 1 project list. There will need to be an MOU between SPRTA and PCTPA. Rick said this will be of no cost to SPRTA as PCTPA will be taking on the funding obligation. SPRTA, as a Responsible Agency, will also need to file an NOE. This project requires ROW on five parcels and 43 temporary construction easements. Our schedule is to have ROW in place by the end of the year. The SPRTA Board will be delegating authority to the executive director to negotiate and sign related ROW documents. A companion item will be going to the PCTPA Board pertaining to the MOU and environmental. The TAC concurred with staff's recommendations.

# **Construction Cost Index Update**

Rick showed a Cost Index Inflation (CCI) table which reflects a 11.98% annual inflationary adjustment. This inflationary adjustment will be brought to the Board for consideration this month, and if approved, would go into effect July 1, 2022. The TAC concurred with taking the CCI to the SPRTA Board for their approval. The TAC concurred with staff's recommendation.

# Administrative Budget

# FY 2021/22 - Amendment #2

Rick Carter provided the FY 2021/22 Administrative Budget - Amendment #2 budget incorporates minor changes from the Overall Work Program and Budget - Amendment #2. The TAC concurred with the budget amendment.

# FY 2022/23 Administrative Budget – FY 2022/23

Rick Carter provided the FY 2022/23 Administrative Budget which reflects amending WSP's scope and fee for the SPRTA Fee Update, adding an additional \$75,000. He explained that the fee update will not be completed by July 1<sup>st</sup>. There are various issues that need to be

1

resolved and addressed. These include new requirements related to AB 602, LOS policies, prior assumptions, additional needed model runs, methodology, work related to fees received since the last update, and district boundaries. Rick said comments on TDM files are due by Friday. A short working group will be held after the SPRTA meeting. The TAC concurred with the budget.

The meeting concluded at approximately 2:30 pm.

# **Other Info / Upcoming Deadlines**

SPRTA Board Meeting:	May 25, 2022
Next TAC Meeting:	Tuesday, June 7, 2022 at 2:00 pm

RC:ML:ss